France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)

Preface: Expanded Core Framework Series

This is a new series using an **Expanded Core Framework** that evolved from the original **Core Framework** .

This expanded analysis was created through continued collaboration between Thomas J. Bryan and Claude (Anthropic's AI system). The collaboration improved both the methodology and the conclusions.

Human Contribution (Thomas J. Bryan):

- Research direction and case study selection
- Theoretical framework and interpretation
- Final analytical judgments and publication decisions

AI Contribution (Claude/Anthropic):

- Historical scholarship synthesis and bibliographic research
- Essay composition and structural analysis
- Intellectual challenge and critical questioning
- Pattern identification and comparative analysis

Thomas J. Bryan
October 2025
Published on Archive.org

Original preface ("An Unexpected Journey") published separately provides full context for how this research project developed.

France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)..... 1
Preface: Expanded Core Framework Series..... 1
France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)..... 11
Part 1 of 4: Ancien Régime and Revolutionary Transformation (1600-1815)..... 11
INTRODUCTION: REVOLUTION AS ELITE RECONSTITUTION..... 11
I. FOUNDATION CONDITIONS: ABSOLUTE MONARCHY AND ANCIEN RÉGIME STRUCTURE (1600-1789)..... 12
II. LABOR SYSTEMS: FROM FEUDAL TO REVOLUTIONARY DISRUPTION (1600-1815)... 19
III. CAPITAL AND RESOURCE CONTROL: LAND REDISTRIBUTION AND FINANCIAL POWER (1600-1815)..... 27
IV. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS: FROM PRIVILEGE TO PROPERTY (1600-1815)..... 33
V. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: FROM ENLIGHTENMENT TO NAPOLEONIC MYTH (1600-1815)..... 38
VI. WEALTH EXTRACTION MECHANISMS: FROM FEUDAL TO BOURGEOIS EXPLOITATION (1600-1815)..... 41
VII. INFORMATION AND EDUCATION CONTROL: ENLIGHTENMENT, PROPAGANDA, AND ELITE REPRODUCTION (1600-1815)..... 45
VIII. RESISTANCE SUPPRESSION: TERROR, CIVIL WAR, AND IMPERIAL REPRESSION (1789-1815)..... 49
IX. ELITE NETWORK COORDINATION: FROM VERSAILLES TO BANQUE DE FRANCE (1600-1815)..... 52
X. ASSESSMENT: PARTIAL ELITE DISPLACEMENT AND BOURGEOIS RECONSTITUTION (1600-1815)..... 56
CONCLUSION TO PART 1: ELITE RECONSTITUTION THROUGH REVOLUTIONARY TRANSFORMATION..... 61
France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)..... 63
Part 2 of 4: Constitutional Monarchies, Empire, and Republican Consolidation (1815-1914)... 63
INTRODUCTION: A CENTURY OF REGIME CHANGES, BOURGEOIS CONTINUITY..... 63
I. FOUNDATION CONDITIONS: REGIME INSTABILITY AND BOURGEOIS CONSOLIDATION (1815-1914)..... 64
II. LABOR SYSTEMS: FROM ARTISAN TO INDUSTRIAL WORKER (1815-1914)..... 73
III. CAPITAL AND RESOURCE CONTROL: BANKING DYNASTIES AND INDUSTRIAL FAMILIES (1815-1914)..... 79
IV. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS: CONSTITUTIONS, CODES, AND LABOR LAW (1815-1914)..... 86
V. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: BOURGEOIS TRIUMPHALISM, REPUBLICAN VIRTUE, AND COLONIAL MISSION (1815-1914)..... 91
VI. WEALTH EXTRACTION MECHANISMS: PROFITS, RENTS, AND COLONIAL EXPLOITATION (1815-1914)..... 94
VII. INFORMATION AND EDUCATION CONTROL: PRESS, SCHOOLS, AND EXPERT DISCOURSE (1815-1914)..... 97

VIII. RESISTANCE SUPPRESSION: STATE VIOLENCE AGAINST WORKERS (1815-1914) 100
IX. ELITE NETWORK COORDINATION: DYNASTIES, BOARDS, AND POLITICAL INFLUENCE (1815-1914)..... 102
X. ASSESSMENT: BOURGEOIS CONSOLIDATION THROUGH REGIME INSTABILITY (1815-1914)..... 104
CONCLUSION TO PART 2: REGIME CHANGES, BOURGEOIS CONTINUITY..... 107
France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)..... 108
Part 3 of 4: Wars, Dirigisme, and Postwar Boom (1914-1980)..... 108
INTRODUCTION: STATE CAPITALISM, WORKER GAINS, AND ELITE ADAPTATION.... 108
I. FOUNDATION CONDITIONS: WARS, CRISES, AND STATE CAPITALISM (1914-1980).... 109
II. LABOR SYSTEMS: FROM WARTIME MOBILIZATION TO POSTWAR GOLDEN AGE (1914-1980)..... 121
III. CAPITAL AND RESOURCE CONTROL: NATIONALIZATIONS AND FAMILY CAPITALISM (1914-1980)..... 127
IV. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS: EXPANSION OF WORKER RIGHTS (1914-1980)..... 134
V. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: RESISTANCE MYTH, DIRIGISME, SOCIAL MODEL (1914-1980)..... 137
VI. WEALTH EXTRACTION MECHANISMS: PROFITS, COLONIAL WARS, DIRIGISME (1914-1980)..... 140
VII. INFORMATION AND EDUCATION CONTROL: MEDIA, GRANDES ÉCOLES, STATE BROADCASTING (1914-1980)..... 144
VIII. RESISTANCE SUPPRESSION: COLONIAL WARS, STRIKE-BREAKING, MAY 1968 (1914-1980)..... 147
IX. ELITE NETWORK COORDINATION: PANTOUFLAGE, INTERLOCKING DIRECTORATES, FAMILY TIES (1914-1980)..... 150
X. COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT: WARS, STATE CAPITALISM, TEMPORARY WORKER GAINS (1914-1980)..... 153
France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)..... 158
Part 4 of 4: Neoliberal Integration and Contemporary France (1980-2025)..... 158
INTRODUCTION: THE GREAT REVERSAL..... 158
I. FOUNDATION CONDITIONS: CRISIS, EUROPEAN INTEGRATION, NEOLIBERAL IDEOLOGY (1980-2025)..... 159
II. LABOR SYSTEMS: FLEXIBILIZATION, PRECARITY, UNION COLLAPSE (1980-2025)..... 165
III. CAPITAL AND RESOURCE CONTROL: PRIVATIZATIONS, FINANCIALIZATION, BILLIONAIRE RISE (1980-2025)..... 170
IV. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS: EU CONSTRAINTS, LABOR FLEXIBILIZATION (1980-2025)..... 177
V. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: TINA, COMPETITIVENESS, POPULISM (1980-2025)..... 179
VI. WEALTH EXTRACTION MECHANISMS: FINANCIALIZATION, LABOR SHARE DECLINE (1980-2025)..... 182

VII. INFORMATION AND EDUCATION CONTROL: MEDIA CONCENTRATION, GRANDES ÉCOLES (1980-2025)....184
VIII. RESISTANCE SUPPRESSION: STRIKE-BREAKING, POLICE VIOLENCE (1980-2025) 187
IX. ELITE NETWORK COORDINATION: PANTOUFLAGE, INTERLOCKS, MACRON PRESIDENCY (1980-2025)....189
X. COMPREHENSIVE 425-YEAR ASSESSMENT: ELITE ADAPTATION ACROSS REGIME TRANSFORMATIONS (1600-2025)....192
CONCLUSION: 425 YEARS OF ELITE ADAPTATION, NOT TRANSFORMATION....198
France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)....201
THEMATIC BIBLIOGRAPHY....201
I. PRIMARY SOURCES AND DOCUMENT COLLECTIONS....201
II. GENERAL HISTORIES OF FRANCE....202
III. ANCIEN RÉGIME (1600-1789)....202
IV. FRENCH REVOLUTION (1789-1799)....203
V. NAPOLEONIC PERIOD (1799-1815)....205
VI. NINETEENTH CENTURY (1815-1914)....205
**VII. LABOR HISTORY....207
VIII. COLONIALISM AND IMPERIALISM....207
**IX. WORLD WARS AND INTERWAR PERIOD....208
X. POSTWAR PERIOD (1945-1980)....209
XI. NEOLIBERAL PERIOD (1980-2025)....210
XII. WEALTH, INEQUALITY, AND ELITE STUDIES....212
XIII. COMPARATIVE AND THEORETICAL WORKS....213
XIV. REFERENCE WORKS AND DATA SOURCES....213
XV. FILMS AND DOCUMENTARIES (Supplementary)....214

France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)

Part 1 of 4: Ancien Régime and Revolutionary Transformation (1600-1815)

INTRODUCTION: REVOLUTION AS ELITE RECONSTITUTION

Did the French Revolution (1789-1799) eliminate the old ruling class and democratize wealth, or did it enable a new elite to replace the old while maintaining similar patterns of concentrated power? This question drives analysis of one of history's most dramatic regime transformations.

The evidence demonstrates **partial elite displacement and reconstitution**. The Revolution destroyed aristocratic privilege, confiscated church and noble lands, established legal equality, and executed thousands of nobles during the Terror. These were real transformations with lasting impacts. However, the Revolution also created conditions for **bourgeois elite consolidation** rather than democratizing wealth to the masses.

The confiscated lands—approximately 6-10% of France from the church plus significant émigré noble properties—were sold primarily to wealthy bourgeois buyers, not distributed to landless peasants. Protestant banking families like Rothschild, Hottinguer, and Mallet maintained their financial positions across regime changes. The Napoleonic Civil Code (1804) secured bourgeois property rights while Le Chapelier Law (1791) prohibited worker organizations for over 80 years.

Quantitative continuity: Before the Revolution, the top 10% owned approximately 60-70% of total wealth (including aristocracy, clergy, and bourgeoisie combined). By 1815-1820, the top 10% still owned approximately 60-70% of wealth—but now concentrated in bourgeois hands rather than distributed among three estates. Wealth concentration **persisted** through revolutionary transformation, though its composition changed.

Part 1 examines France from absolutist consolidation under Louis XIV through the Revolutionary and Napoleonic periods, tracking how elite power adapted to dramatic political upheaval. The analysis documents the Ancien Régime's social structure, revolutionary violence and land redistribution, Napoleonic consolidation, and mechanisms enabling bourgeois elite emergence from revolutionary chaos.

Scope and Structure

This part covers 215 years of French history through five major phases:

1. **Absolute monarchy consolidation** (1600-1715): Louis XIV centralizing power, transforming nobility into court dependents
2. **Ancien Régime crisis** (1715-1789): Fiscal collapse, reform failures, revolutionary outbreak
3. **Revolutionary transformation** (1789-1799): Regime changes, Terror, land redistribution, class violence
4. **Napoleonic consolidation** (1799-1815): Empire, Civil Code, banking establishment, bourgeois property security
5. **Assessment**: Evaluating elite continuity vs. displacement

The 9-part framework documents foundation conditions enabling transformation, labor systems shifting from feudal to wage labor, capital concentration through land redistribution, legal frameworks protecting bourgeois property, narratives legitimizing changes, wealth extraction mechanisms evolving, information control patterns, resistance suppression through Terror and war, and elite network coordination across regime changes.

I. FOUNDATION CONDITIONS: ABSOLUTE MONARCHY AND ANCIEN RÉGIME STRUCTURE (1600-1789)

A. Louis XIV and Absolutist Consolidation (1643-1715)

The consolidation of absolute monarchy under Louis XIV created the centralized state structure that would later enable both revolutionary transformation and Napoleonic administration.

Cardinal Richelieu (Chief Minister 1624-1642) laid foundations:

- **Suppressing noble revolts**: Breaking resistance of grands (great nobles)
- **Intendants system**: Royal officials bypassing traditional noble authority in provinces
- **Centralization**: Concentrating power in crown, weakening traditional feudal networks
- **Foreign policy**: Thirty Years' War establishing France as European power

Louis XIV (reigned 1643-1715, personal rule 1661-1715) perfected absolutism:

- **"L'État, c'est moi"**: "I am the state" - identifying royal person with state authority
- **Versailles**: Constructing massive palace (1661-1710s) concentrating nobility under royal surveillance
    - Approximately 10,000 nobles, officials, servants at Versailles during peak
    - Elaborate court rituals making nobles compete for royal favor
    - Transforming warrior aristocracy into dependent courtiers

- **Military expansion**: Creating professional standing army of 150,000-400,000 (largest in Europe)
- **Wars**: Near-continuous warfare expanding and defending French borders
    - War of Spanish Succession (1701-1714): Massive costs nearly bankrupting state

Mercantilism under Colbert (Finance Minister 1665-1683):

- **State-directed economy**: Protecting French manufacturers through tariffs
- **Manufactures royales**: State workshops for luxury goods (Gobelins tapestries, Sèvres porcelain)
- **Colonial expansion**: Developing New France (Canada), Louisiana, Caribbean sugar islands
- **Infrastructure**: Building roads, canals facilitating commerce
- **Tax reform attempts**: Limited success due to noble resistance

Revocation of Edict of Nantes (1685):

- **Protestant exile**: Ending religious toleration, forcing Huguenot emigration
- **Economic impact**: Approximately 200,000 Protestants fleeing France
- **Lost capital**: Protestant merchants, bankers, artisans taking skills and capital to Netherlands, England, Prussia
- **Ironically**: Many Protestant banking families remaining or returning, later dominating French finance

State structure legacy:

- Centralized bureaucracy continuing through Revolution, Napoleon, and beyond
- Intendants replaced by Revolutionary/Napoleonic prefects but administrative structure persisting
- Standing army and state apparatus providing infrastructure for future regimes

B. Ancien Régime Social Structure: The Three Estates (1715-1789)

French society was legally divided into three estates with distinct privileges, obligations, and economic positions.

First Estate: Clergy

- **Numbers**: Approximately 130,000 individuals (0.5% of 28 million population in 1789)
- **Hierarchy**:
    - Upper clergy (bishops, abbots): From aristocracy, wealthy
    - Lower clergy (parish priests): Often from commoner backgrounds, poor
- **Wealth**: Collectively owning 6-10% of land (estimates vary)
- **Income**:
    - Tithe: Collecting one-tenth of agricultural production
    - Property income: Rents from church lands

    - Estimated annual church revenue: 100-120 million livres
- **Privileges**:
    - Exempt from most royal taxes
    - Own courts and legal jurisdiction
    - Don gratuit ("free gift"): Voluntary payment to crown instead of taxes (~3 million livres annually)
- **Political role**: First Estate in Estates-General

Second Estate: Nobility

- **Numbers**: Approximately 400,000 individuals (1.5% of population)
    - Including families, perhaps 1-2% of population
- **Categories**:
    - **Noblesse d'épée** (nobility of the sword): Ancient aristocracy, military tradition
    - **Noblesse de robe** (nobility of the robe): Purchased offices (venal offices) conferring nobility
        - Parlements (high courts): Judges purchasing hereditary offices
        - Royal administration: High officials with noble status
- **Wealth**: Collectively owning 20-25% of land
    - Great aristocrats: Dukes, princes owning vast estates
    - Provincial nobility: Some wealthy, many relatively poor
- **Income sources**:
    - Feudal dues: Cens (land rent), champart (portion of harvest), banalités (seigneurial monopolies)
    - Property rents
    - Court pensions (for high aristocracy)
    - Estimated aggregate noble income: 200+ million livres annually
- **Privileges**:
    - Exempt from taille (major direct tax)
    - Hunting rights
    - Seigneurial justice: Administering law on estates
    - Monopoly on military officer positions (after 1781)
- **Political role**: Second Estate in Estates-General, parlements blocking royal reforms

Third Estate: Everyone Else (98% of population)

Peasants (80-85% of population, ~22-24 million people):

- **Land tenure**:
    - Approximately 40% owning some land (often small plots)
    - 50% sharecroppers (métayers), tenant farmers (fermiers)
    - 10% landless agricultural laborers
- **Burdens**:
    - Feudal dues to seigneurs: Cens, champart, corvée (labor obligations), banalités
    - Royal taxes: Taille (land tax), gabelle (salt tax), vingtième (income tax)

    - Church tithe: One-tenth of harvest
    - **Total extraction**: Peasants keeping approximately 50-60% of production after all obligations
- **Living conditions**: Most living at subsistence level, vulnerable to harvest failures
- **Regional variation**:
    - Northern France: More free peasants, more productive agriculture
    - Southern France: More sharecropping, more feudal dues remaining

Bourgeoisie (8-10% of population, ~2-3 million):

- **Composition**:
    - Merchants: International and domestic trade
    - Financiers: Bankers, tax farmers
    - Manufacturers: Textile producers, ironmasters, others
    - Professionals: Lawyers, doctors, notaries
    - Officials: Holding non-noble offices
- **Wealth**:
    - Elite bourgeoisie (merchants, financiers): Fortunes of 100,000-1,000,000+ livres
    - Collectively owning 20-25% of land (regional variation)
    - Controlling commerce, finance, manufacturing
- **Grievances**:
    - Excluded from political power despite wealth
    - Bearing heavy tax burden (unlike privileged orders)
    - Careers blocked (military officer positions reserved for nobility after 1781)
- **Revolutionary potential**: Educated, wealthy, but politically excluded

Urban workers (5-8% of population, ~1.5-2 million):

- **Artisans**: Guild masters, journeymen, apprentices
- **Wage laborers**: Factory workers, domestic servants, day laborers
- **Living conditions**:
    - Skilled workers earning 1-2 livres daily
    - Unskilled earning 0.5-1 livre daily
    - Bread costing 0.25-0.5 livre per pound (when available)
    - Rent consuming 20-30% of income
- **Sans-culottes**: Urban workers becoming revolutionary force in Paris (literal: those who wore trousers, not aristocratic knee breeches)

C. Fiscal Crisis and the Road to Revolution (1774-1789)

The Ancien Régime's fiscal crisis resulted from structural problems: military spending, tax exemptions for privileged orders, inability to reform.

Debt accumulation:

- **Seven Years' War** (1756-1763): Adding approximately 1 billion livres to debt
- **American Revolutionary War** (1778-1783): France supporting American independence
    - Cost: Approximately 1-1.5 billion livres
    - Motivation: Revenge against Britain, not ideological support for liberty
- **Total debt by 1788**: Approximately 4-5 billion livres
    - Annual debt service: Approximately 300 million livres
    - Total annual revenues: Approximately 500 million livres
    - **Debt service consuming 50-60% of revenues**

Tax structure problems:

- **Direct taxes**: Taille, vingtième falling primarily on Third Estate
    - Nobility, clergy largely exempt or paying reduced rates
    - Regional variation: Pays d'états (with provincial estates) paying less than pays d'élection
- **Indirect taxes**: Gabelle (salt tax), aides (consumption taxes), customs
    - Collected by tax farmers (fermiers généraux): Private financiers purchasing right to collect taxes, keeping portion
    - Approximately 140 million livres collected by Farmers-General annually, ~50 million kept as fees
- **Inefficiency**: Complex system with many exemptions, privileges, regional variations
    - Estimated 40-50% of potential revenues lost to exemptions, corruption, inefficiency

Reform attempts and failures:

Turgot (Controller-General 1774-1776):

- **Reforms**: Abolishing guilds, eliminating corvée (labor service), free grain trade
- **Opposition**: Parlements, nobles, clergy resisting
- **Dismissal**: Louis XVI firing Turgot after 2 years under pressure

Necker (Director-General of Finances 1776-1781, 1788-1789):

- **Borrowing**: Financing government through loans rather than tax reform
- **Compte rendu** (1781): Publishing budget showing crown finances
    - Controversy: Claiming surplus when debt increasing
- **Protestant**: Swiss Protestant banker, facing Catholic opposition
- **First dismissal** (1781): After attempting provincial assemblies to bypass parlements

Calonne (Controller-General 1783-1787):

- **Recognition**: Admitting fiscal crisis depth
- **Universal land tax**: Proposing tax on all land including nobles, clergy
- **Assembly of Notables** (1787): Convening 144 great aristocrats, clergy, officials
    - Rejecting reforms: Refusing to tax themselves

    - Demanding Estates-General: Calling for traditional representative body

Brienne (Principal Minister 1787-1788):

- **Continuing reform attempts**: Proposing taxes on privileged orders
- **Parlement resistance**: Paris Parlement refusing to register edicts
- **Exile**: Louis XVI exiling parlement to Troyes
- **Forced recall**: Restoring parlement, promising Estates-General
- **Bankruptcy**: State effectively bankrupt by 1788

Estates-General convocation (May 5, 1789):

- **First since 1614**: 175-year gap in traditional representative institution
- **Elections**: Each estate electing representatives
    - First Estate: 291 clergy (mostly lower clergy)
    - Second Estate: 270 nobles
    - Third Estate: 578 deputies (lawyers, officials, merchants)
- **Cahiers de doléances**: Lists of grievances from each constituency
    - Common demands: Tax reform, legal equality, representation
- **Voting dispute**: Third Estate demanding voting by head (giving them majority with progressive clergy/nobles), not by estate
    - Traditional: Each estate voting separately, each having one vote (privileged orders controlling 2-1)

Economic crisis (1788-1789):

- **Harvest failure** (1788): Worst harvest of century
- **Bread prices**: Doubling during winter 1788-1789
- **Urban unrest**: Food riots in Paris, provincial cities
- **Rural crisis**: Peasants unable to pay dues, taxes during subsistence crisis

These multiple crises—fiscal, political, economic—converged in spring 1789, creating revolutionary situation.

D. Economic Conditions and Wealth Distribution Pre-Revolution

Population and urbanization:

- **Total population**: Approximately 28 million (1789)—largest in Europe
- **Urbanization**: Only 15-20% urban
    - Paris: ~650,000 (Europe's second-largest city after London)
    - Lyon: ~150,000
    - Marseille: ~120,000
    - Bordeaux: ~110,000
    - Most population in rural villages and small towns

Agricultural economy:

- **Dominance**: Agriculture producing 70-80% of national income
- **Methods**: Traditional farming, limited enclosure movement
- **Productivity**: Lower than England—French yields approximately 60-70% of English
- **Commercial crops**: Wine (major export), grain, livestock
- **Regional specialization**: Wheat in Paris basin, wine in Bordeaux/Burgundy, pastoral in west

Manufacturing:

- **Textiles**: Largest industry
    - Silk: Lyon (luxury production)
    - Linen: Normandy, Brittany
    - Cotton: Alsace (beginning mechanization)
    - Wool: Widespread
- **Metallurgy**: Iron production using charcoal (coal use limited)
    - Le Creusot ironworks (founded 1782, later Schneider family)
    - Scattered forges throughout country
- **Domestic system**: Rural textile production through merchant putting-out
- **Guild system**: Urban production regulated by corporations (guilds)
    - Paris: Approximately 130 guilds controlling artisan production
    - Masters, journeymen, apprentices in hierarchy
    - Compagnonnage: Journeyman associations for mutual aid

Colonial trade:

- **Saint-Domingue** (Haiti): Crown jewel of French empire
    - Sugar, coffee production using slave labor
    - Approximately 500,000 enslaved Africans (1789)
    - Producing 40% of world's sugar, 60% of coffee
    - Enormous profits for French planters, merchants, refiners
- **Triangular trade**:
    - French manufactured goods shipped to Africa
    - African slaves to Caribbean
    - Sugar, coffee, cotton to France
    - Ports: Nantes, Bordeaux, La Rochelle prospering from slave trade
- **Other colonies**: Canada (lost 1763), Louisiana (sold to Spain 1763, later to U.S.), Indian possessions (minimal), islands (Martinique, Guadeloupe)

Wealth distribution estimates:

- **Top 10%**: Owning approximately 60-70% of total wealth
    - Aristocracy: ~25% of wealth (from 1.5% of population)
    - Clergy: ~10% of wealth (from 0.5% of population)

    - Wealthy bourgeoisie: ~25-35% of wealth (from ~5% of population)
- **Middle groups** (10-50th percentile): ~20-25% of wealth
    - Small landowners, artisans, petty bourgeoisie
- **Bottom 50%**: ~5-15% of wealth
    - Landless peasants, urban workers, servants

Income distribution:

- Great aristocrats: Annual incomes of 100,000-1,000,000+ livres
- Wealthy bourgeois merchants/financiers: 50,000-500,000 livres
- Provincial nobles: 5,000-20,000 livres
- Skilled urban workers: 300-700 livres
- Unskilled workers, poor peasants: 200-400 livres
- **Ratio**: Top incomes approximately 2,500-5,000 times bottom incomes

This extreme inequality, combined with fiscal crisis and subsistence crisis, created conditions for revolution.

II. LABOR SYSTEMS: FROM FEUDAL TO REVOLUTIONARY DISRUPTION (1600-1815)

A. Peasant Agriculture and Feudal Obligations (1600-1789)

French agriculture operated through complex mixture of peasant landholding and feudal obligations extracting surplus from agricultural production.

Land tenure patterns:

- **Peasant owners**: Approximately 40% of peasants owning some land
    - Holdings typically small: Average 2-5 hectares
    - Fragmentation: Inheritance dividing plots, creating tiny parcels
    - Still subject to feudal dues despite ownership
- **Sharecroppers** (métayers): ~30-40% of rural population
    - Working land for half of harvest going to landowner
    - Providing own tools, animals (or sharing with owner)
    - Common in central and southern France
- **Tenant farmers** (fermiers): ~10-20%
    - Renting land for fixed payment (in kind or money)
    - More common in northern France
    - Better off than sharecroppers
- **Landless laborers**: ~10% of rural population
    - Day laborers (journaliers) working for wages

    - Domestic farm servants (valets de ferme)
    - Most vulnerable group

Feudal obligations (droits seigneuriaux): Despite peasant ownership, seigneurs retained feudal rights:

- **Cens**: Annual land rent payment to seigneur
- **Champart** (also terrages, tasques): Portion of harvest (typically 1/10 to 1/6) paid to seigneur
- **Corvée seigneuriale**: Labor obligations
    - Working seigneur's land several days per year
    - Maintaining roads, bridges on estate
- **Banalités**: Seigneurial monopolies
    - Peasants required to use seigneur's mill, wine press, oven
    - Paying fees for use
- **Hunting rights**: Seigneur's exclusive hunting rights
    - Peasants prohibited from killing game eating their crops
- **Lods et ventes**: Transfer fees when land sold (typically 1/12 to 1/8 of sale price)

Royal taxes:

- **Taille**: Direct tax on land/person
    - Heaviest burden on peasants
    - Nobles, clergy exempt or paying reduced rates
    - Regional variation: taille personnelle vs. taille réelle
- **Gabelle**: Salt tax
    - Required salt purchases at monopoly prices
    - Varying by region (high in some areas, exempt in others)
- **Vingtième**: "Twentieth" tax on income
    - Theoretically 5% but often higher
    - Applied to all estates (including nobles) but enforcement unequal
- **Capitation**: Poll tax
    - Theoretically universal but nobles paying far less per capita
- **Aides**: Consumption taxes on wine, other goods

Tithe (dîme):

- Church collecting approximately 1/10 of agricultural production
- Variation: Some areas 1/12, others 1/8
- Used for: Church expenses, clergy salaries, poor relief (theoretically)

Total peasant burden: Combining all obligations (feudal, royal, ecclesiastical):

- Estimates: Peasants retaining 50-60% of production
- Meaning: 40-50% extracted through various obligations
- Variation: Heavy in some regions (northeast), lighter in others (southwest)

- Crisis years: Burden becoming unsustainable during harvest failures

Agricultural methods:

- **Three-field rotation**: Wheat, oats/barley, fallow
- **Limited enclosure**: Unlike England, open fields dominating
- **Traditional tools**: Wooden plows, hand tools, limited innovation
- **Productivity**: Yields approximately 5-7 bushels per bushel planted (vs. 10+ in England)
- **Subsistence orientation**: Most production for consumption, limited commercialization

B. Urban Artisan Production and Guild System (1600-1789)

Urban production operated through guild corporations regulating manufacturing and controlling labor.

Guild structure:

- **Masters**: Owned workshops, employed workers
    - Required: Serving apprenticeship, producing "masterpiece," paying fees
    - Hereditary: Masters' sons having easier access
    - Wealth: Wide variation—some prosperous, others barely above journeymen
- **Journeymen** (compagnons): Skilled workers employed by masters
    - Daily wages: 1-2 livres for skilled trades
    - Many never becoming masters: Limited openings, high costs
    - Mobility: Traveling between cities gaining experience (tour de France)
- **Apprentices**: Learning trade, unpaid or minimal wages
    - Terms: Typically 3-7 years depending on trade
    - Often: Masters' children or relatives

Major guild trades:

- Construction: Masons, carpenters, roofers
- Textiles: Weavers, dyers, tailors
- Leather: Shoemakers, tanners, saddlers
- Food: Bakers, butchers, innkeepers
- Metalwork: Blacksmiths, locksmiths, armurers

Guild functions:

- **Quality control**: Regulating production standards
- **Monopoly**: Exclusive rights to practice trade in city
- **Price setting**: Establishing prices, preventing competition
- **Training**: Apprenticeship system
- **Social control**: Monitoring members' behavior, religious observance

Compagnonnage (journeyman associations):

- **Mutual aid societies**: Supporting members during illness, providing burial funds
- **Labor action**: Occasionally organizing strikes (though illegal)
- **Rituals**: Elaborate ceremonies, secret traditions
- **Conflict**: Different compagnonnages sometimes violent rivals

Manufactures royales (royal workshops):

- **State-sponsored production**: Luxury goods for royal consumption, export
- **Examples**:
    - Gobelins: Tapestries (Paris)
    - Sèvres: Porcelain
    - Saint-Gobain: Mirrors, glass
    - Royal arsenals: Weapons, ships
- **Workers**: Sometimes better paid, more secure than guild workers
- **Organization**: State officials managing, not traditional guilds

Working conditions:

- **Hours**: Sunrise to sunset (12-14 hours typical)
- **Workshop**: Masters' homes, small-scale production
- **Hazards**: No safety regulations, occupational diseases common
- **Discipline**: Masters exercising patriarchal authority

Wages and living standards:

- **Skilled workers**: 1-2 livres daily (1780s)
- **Unskilled laborers**: 0.5-1 livre daily
- **Women**: Earning 1/2 to 2/3 of male wages for similar work
- **Cost of living**:
    - Bread: 0.25-0.5 livre per pound (when available)
    - Rent: Consuming 20-30% of income
    - Clothing: Expensive, workers owning few garments
- **Subsistence**: Most workers living close to poverty line, vulnerable to price increases

C. Colonial Slavery: Saint-Domingue and the Triangular Trade (1600-1789)

French colonial wealth depended fundamentally on enslaved African labor in Caribbean sugar and coffee plantations.

French slave trade:

- **Scale**: French ships transporting approximately 1.2-1.4 million enslaved Africans (1600-1800)
- **Major ports**: Nantes (43% of trade), La Rochelle, Bordeaux, Le Havre
- **Peak period**: 1783-1792, approximately 30,000-40,000 enslaved people transported annually

- **Middle Passage**: Approximately 15-20% dying during Atlantic crossing
- **Trade goods**: French manufactured goods (textiles, weapons, metal goods) traded in Africa for slaves

Saint-Domingue (Haiti):

- **Enslaved population**: Approximately 500,000 (1789)—largest concentration in Caribbean
- **White population**: ~30,000 planters, managers, poor whites
- **Free people of color**: ~28,000 (gens de couleur libres)—often slaveholders themselves
- **Plantations**: 793 sugar plantations, 3,117 coffee plantations, indigo, cotton (1789)

Code Noir (1685): Legal framework regulating slavery:

- **Property status**: Defining enslaved people as movable property (meubles)
- **Minimal protections**: Theoretically requiring food, clothing, religious instruction
- **Punishments**: Authorizing brutal punishments
    - Ears cut off, hamstringing, execution for resistance
- **Restrictions**: Prohibiting enslaved people from owning property, gathering, bearing arms
- **Marriage**: Requiring owner permission, recognizing slave families (often ignored)

Plantation conditions:

- **Work regime**:
    - 12-18 hour days during harvest (grinding season)
    - Six days per week
    - Sunday: Enslaved people cultivating own provision grounds
- **Mortality**: Death rates exceeding birth rates
    - Approximately 5-10% annual mortality
    - Requiring continuous imports to maintain labor force
- **Punishments**: Whipping, torture, execution maintaining discipline
- **Resistance**: Running away (marronage), work slowdowns, occasional revolts

Colonial profits:

- **Sugar production**: Saint-Domingue producing ~80,000 tons annually by 1780s
    - Selling for approximately 100 livres per ton in France
    - Total value: ~8 million livres annually
- **Coffee production**: ~35,000 tons annually
    - Even more valuable per pound than sugar
    - Total value: ~10-15 million livres annually
- **Planter wealth**: Large planters earning 50,000-500,000 livres annually
- **Merchant profits**:
    - Slave traders: Earning 10-20% profits on successful voyages
    - Colonial merchants: Earning commissions on imports/exports

    - Refiners: Processing raw sugar in French ports (Nantes, Bordeaux)

Total colonial trade value: Approximately 180-200 million livres annually by 1780s (including all French Caribbean colonies)

This represented roughly one-third of French foreign trade, demonstrating colonial slavery's centrality to French economy.

D. Revolutionary Period Labor Disruptions (1789-1799)

The Revolution fundamentally disrupted Ancien Régime labor systems while creating new patterns of exploitation and control.

August 4, 1789: Abolition of Feudalism

- **Night session**: National Assembly in emotional session abolishing feudal privileges
- **Announced**: "The National Assembly destroys the feudal regime entirely"
- **Reality**: More complex
    - Personal servitude: Abolished without compensation
    - "Useful" rights (property-based): Requiring compensation
    - Peasants: Refusing to pay compensation, forcing further reforms
    - Final abolition: 1793 (Jacobin Convention) eliminating compensation requirements

Guild abolition (March 1791, Allarde Law):

- **Free enterprise**: Declaring anyone could practice any trade
- **Ending monopolies**: Guilds losing legal status, monopoly rights
- **Artisan response**: Mixed—some welcoming freedom, others losing protections

Le Chapelier Law (June 1791): Most significant for long-term labor history:

- **Prohibiting associations**: Banning worker coalitions, employer associations
- **Quote**: "There must be no more corporations in the state, only the individual and the state"
- **Penalties**: Fines, imprisonment for organizing
- **Effect**:
    - Preventing worker organizing for over 80 years (until 1864 strike legalization, 1884 union legalization)
    - Treating individual contracts as only legitimate labor relations
    - Serving employer interests by preventing collective action

Maximum laws (1793-1794):

- **Maximum général** (September 1793): Price and wage controls during Terror
    - Fixing maximum prices for grain, bread, other necessities

    - Fixing maximum wages at 1790 levels plus 50%
    - Purpose: Preventing inflation, ensuring affordable food
- **Enforcement**: Uneven—workers sometimes demanding enforcement, employers evading
- **Repeal** (December 1794): Thermidorians abolishing controls, restoring market

Land redistribution:

- **Biens nationaux**: Church lands (1789) and émigré noble lands (1792) nationalized
- **Sales**: Auctioned to raise revenue
    - Initial: Large lots favoring wealthy buyers
    - Later: Smaller lots enabling peasant purchases
- **Buyers**:
    - Approximately 70-80% purchased by bourgeoisie (merchants, lawyers, officials, wealthy peasants)
    - Approximately 20-30% by peasants
    - Very little to landless laborers
- **Quantification**:
    - Church lands: ~600,000-800,000 hectares sold
    - Émigré lands: ~500,000-700,000 hectares sold
    - Total: Perhaps 5-10% of France's agricultural land redistributed

Urban workers (sans-culottes):

- **Revolutionary mobilization**: Parisian workers as crucial force in revolutionary journées
    - July 14, 1789: Storming Bastille
    - October 5-6, 1789: Women's March to Versailles
    - August 10, 1792: Attacking Tuileries, overthrowing monarchy
- **Political demands**:
    - Price controls (Maximum)
    - Bread subsidies
    - Revolutionary Terror against hoarders, speculators
    - Redistribution of wealth
- **Social composition**:
    - Artisans, shopkeepers, wage workers
    - Not poorest (truly destitute not mobilizing)
- **Repression**: After Thermidor (July 1794), sans-culottes crushed as political force

Conscription:

- **Levée en masse** (August 1793): Mass conscription of unmarried men 18-25
- **Scale**: Eventually ~1 million men under arms
- **Social impact**:
    - Peasants: Resisting conscription in some regions
    - Labor force: Removing young men from agriculture, industry

- **Military service**: Different from modern—desertion common, brutal discipline

Colonial labor: Saint-Domingue:

- **Revolutionary period**: 1789-1791 planters initially supporting revolution against royal authority
- **Slave revolt** (August 1791): Massive uprising of enslaved people
- **Civil war**: Slaves vs. planters vs. free people of color vs. Spanish vs. British intervention
- **Abolition** (1794): Convention abolishing slavery in all French colonies
    - Motivated by: Military necessity (enlisting former slaves against British), revolutionary principles
    - Reality: Not enforced in Martinique (British-occupied), restored by Napoleon 1802

E. Napoleonic Labor System (1799-1815)

Napoleon consolidated bourgeois control over labor through legal frameworks protecting property and employers.

Civil Code (Code Napoléon, 1804): Labor provisions:

- **Article 1781**: "The master is believed on his affirmation" regarding wages and payment of salary for previous year
    - Meaning: In disputes, employer's word taken over worker's
    - Representing: Clear class bias in supposedly "equal" law
- **Individual contracts**: Reinforcing Le Chapelier prohibition on worker associations
- **Patrimony**: Protecting bourgeois property rights

Livret system (1803):

- **Worker passbooks**: All workers required to carry livret recording employment
- **Employer control**: Employer holding livret, releasing only when worker left with permission
- **Mobility restriction**: Without livret, workers unable to travel, find new employment
- **Purpose**: Preventing worker mobility, maintaining discipline

Continuing guild prohibition:

- Le Chapelier Law remaining in force
- Strikes: Illegal, subject to prosecution
- Worker organizing: Prohibited

Industrial expansion:

- **Continental System** (1806-1814): Excluding British goods, protecting French manufacturers
- **Textile industry**: Benefiting from protection

- **Metallurgy**: Growing to supply military
- **Wages**: Generally stagnating despite inflation from war economy

Military conscription continuing:

- **Grande Armée**: Eventually 2-3 million men conscripted
- **Casualties**: Approximately 400,000-600,000 French deaths in Napoleonic Wars
- **Labor impact**: Removing young men, though many returning after wars

Colonial labor:

- **Slavery restoration** (1802): Napoleon restoring slavery in colonies
- **Saint-Domingue**: Independent Haiti (1804) after defeating French expedition
    - France losing its most profitable colony
    - Approximately 50,000 French soldiers dying in failed expedition (mostly from disease)

III. CAPITAL AND RESOURCE CONTROL: LAND REDISTRIBUTION AND FINANCIAL POWER (1600-1815)

A. Ancien Régime Land Ownership and Wealth Distribution

Land represented the primary form of wealth in pre-industrial France, with ownership concentrated among privileged orders.

Land ownership distribution (1789):

- **Nobility**: 20-25% of all land
    - Great aristocrats: Some owning 10,000+ hectares
    - Provincial nobility: Many owning modest estates (500-1,000 hectares)
    - Regional variation: Higher noble ownership in north/east, lower in south
- **Clergy**: 6-10% of land
    - Monasteries, bishoprics, cathedral chapters owning extensive properties
    - Income: Rents plus tithe creating substantial church revenues
- **Bourgeoisie**: 20-25% of land (estimates vary)
    - Merchants, lawyers, officials purchasing estates
    - Regional variation: Higher bourgeois ownership near cities
- **Peasants**: 30-40% of land
    - But: Fragmented into small holdings
    - Average: 2-5 hectares (insufficient for family subsistence alone)
- **Crown and royal domain**: 5-10%

Noble wealth beyond land:

- Court aristocrats: Receiving royal pensions
    - Great nobles: 50,000-500,000 livres annually from king
    - Versailles: Approximately 25-30 million livres annually in pensions distributed
- Feudal dues: Continuing income from seigneurial rights
- Urban property: Some nobles owning Parisian hôtels particuliers
- Government bonds: Some investing in royal debt

Church wealth:

- Land holdings: Generating rental income
- Tithe: Collecting approximately 100-120 million livres annually
- Don gratuit: "Free gift" to crown (~3 million livres) far below potential tax revenue
- Property: Urban buildings, monasteries, cathedrals

Bourgeois wealth:

- Land purchases: Wealthy bourgeois buying estates, acting like nobility
- Commerce: International and domestic trade
    - Colonial trade: Particularly profitable
    - Bordeaux merchants: Some fortunes of 500,000-1,000,000+ livres
- Manufacturing: Textile merchants, ironmasters
- Finance: Banking, tax farming (see below)

B. Financial System and Banking Families

Despite France lagging Britain in financial development, sophisticated banking networks operated, dominated by Protestant families who would maintain positions through revolutionary upheaval.

Tax farming:

- **Farmers-General** (Fermiers généraux): Company of approximately 40-60 financiers
- **Contract**: Purchasing right to collect indirect taxes (aides, gabelle, customs)
    - Paying crown fixed sum annually (approximately 140-150 million livres)
    - Keeping surplus beyond expenses
    - Profits: Approximately 30-50 million livres annually distributed among farmers
- **Wealth**: Individual farmers accumulating fortunes of 1-10 million livres
- **Unpopularity**: Seen as parasites, profiting from oppressive taxes
- **Revolutionary fate**: Many farmers-general guillotined during Terror (including chemist Lavoisier)

Protestant banking families: Despite religious restrictions (Protestants not fully emancipated until 1787), Huguenot families dominated French banking:

Necker family:

- Jacques Necker (1732-1804): Swiss Protestant banker
- Career: Accumulating fortune before entering government service
- Finance Minister: 1777-1781, 1788-1789
- Wealth: Several million livres from banking
- Daughter: Germaine de Staël, famous intellectual

Mallet family:

- Guillaume Mallet (1747-1826): Genevan banker in Paris
- Mallet Frères bank: Founded 1713, continuing through Revolution and beyond
- Specialization: Government loans, international transactions
- Survival: Maintaining position through all regime changes

Hottinguer family:

- Jean-Conrad Hottinguer (1764-1841): Swiss banker establishing Paris house (1786)
- Revolutionary period: Surviving Terror through caution
- Napoleonic period: Becoming major government banker
- Later: Hottinguer & Cie remaining important through 19th-20th centuries

Delessert family:

- Étienne Delessert (1735-1816): Swiss Protestant banker in Paris
- Manufacturing: Also investing in sugar refining
- Revolutionary period: Family navigating carefully, maintaining wealth

These Protestant banking families would prove crucial to elite continuity—their financial expertise made them indispensable to every regime, enabling them to maintain positions from Ancien Régime through Revolution, Empire, Restoration, and beyond.

Other financial institutions:

- Caisse d'Escompte (1776): Discount bank providing commercial credit
- Rentes: Government bonds held by bourgeoisie, some nobles
- Notaries: Arranging loans, handling property transactions

C. Revolutionary Land Confiscation and Redistribution

The Revolution's most profound economic impact was transferring land ownership from church and émigré nobility to new owners—primarily bourgeoisie.

Church property nationalization (November 2, 1789):

- **Decree**: "All ecclesiastical goods are at the disposition of the nation"

- **Justification**:
    - Paying state debts
    - Church wealth being national patrimony
    - Clergy receiving state salaries instead
- **Scale**: Approximately 6-10% of France's land (estimates vary)
    - Perhaps 3-4 billion livres value
    - 600,000-800,000 hectares

Assignats (December 1789):

- **Paper currency**: Backed by confiscated church lands (biens nationaux)
- **Purpose**: Raising immediate revenue while organizing land sales
- **Initial**: 400 million livres issued
- **Expansion**: Continuing issues totaling billions
- **Inflation**: Massive inflation by 1795-1796
    - Assignats declining to ~1% of face value
- **Wealth transfer**: Debtors (including land purchasers) benefiting; creditors, fixed-income receivers losing

Émigré property confiscation (1792):

- **Émigrés**: Nobles fleeing France (approximately 100,000-150,000)
- **Law**: Declaring émigré lands forfeit to nation
- **Scale**: Perhaps 500,000-700,000 hectares additional land
- **Motivation**: Punishing counter-revolutionaries, raising revenue

Land sales process:

- **Auctions**: Public sales, highest bidder winning
- **Initial sales**: Large lots favoring wealthy buyers
    - Bourgeois merchants, lawyers, officials major purchasers
    - Speculation: Some buying to resell at profit
- **Later reforms**: Smaller lots enabling peasant purchases
    - 1793: Maximum lot sizes
    - Installment payments permitted
- **Payment**: Assignats accepted, then nearly worthless

Who bought the land? Historical research indicates approximately:

- **70-80%**: Purchased by bourgeoisie
    - Urban bourgeois: Merchants, lawyers, officials, doctors
    - Rich peasants: Substantial landowners (laboureurs)
- **20-30%**: Purchased by peasants
    - Mostly: Already landowning peasants adding to holdings
    - Very few: Landless laborers acquiring land

- **Result**: Wealth transfer from church/nobility to bourgeoisie, not democratization to masses

Quantitative significance:

- Total redistributed: Perhaps 1-1.5 million hectares (5-10% of France)
- Value: 4-5 billion livres at face value
- Impact: Creating bourgeois landed class with stake in revolutionary settlement
- Irreversibility: Making Bourbon restoration of church/émigré lands impossible—too many new owners defending titles

D. Napoleonic Period: Consolidating Bourgeois Property

Napoleon's regime secured bourgeois gains through legal codification and financial institution-building.

Banque de France (1800):

- **Creation**: Napoleon establishing central bank
- **Structure**: Private bank with government oversight
- **Governors**: 15 regents, 3 censors from major banking houses
    - Protestant banking families: Heavily represented (Hottinguer, Mallet, Delessert, Périer, others)
    - Function: Providing government loans, stabilizing currency
- **Note issue**: Monopoly on banknote issue in Paris (later extended)
- **Significance**: Protestant banking elite maintaining positions from Ancien Régime through Revolution to Empire

Civil Code (1804): Property provisions:

- **Article 544**: "Property is the right to enjoy and dispose of things in the most absolute manner"
    - Establishing bourgeois property rights as sacrosanct
- **Inheritance**: Requiring equal division among sons
    - Effect: Breaking up large estates over generations (including reconstituted émigré properties)
    - BUT: Wealthy families circumventing through trusts, corporate ownership, strategic marriages
- **Contract law**: Protecting bourgeois commercial transactions
- **Mortgages**: Establishing clear property titles, enabling land as collateral

Confirmation of biens nationaux sales:

- Napoleon: Guaranteeing purchasers' titles
- Church: Concordat (1801) requiring church to renounce confiscated properties in exchange for state salaries for clergy

- Émigrés: Some returning, but properties not restored (compensation much later, 1825, inadequate)

Industrial development:

- **Continental System** (1806-1814): Excluding British goods
    - Purpose: Weakening Britain economically
    - Effect: Protecting French manufacturers from British competition
    - Beneficiaries: Textile manufacturers, metallurgy
- **Government contracts**: War production enriching suppliers
    - Uniforms, weapons, provisions: Major contracts
    - Some: Fortunes made from military supply
- **Infrastructure**: Roads, canals improving commerce

Elite wealth examples:

- **Ouvrard**: Financier accumulating fortune from war contracts, Spanish colonial silver
- **Textile manufacturers**: Alsace cotton, Lyons silk expanding
- **Bankers**: Protestant banking houses profiting from government loans
- **Marshals**: Napoleon's military elite receiving estates, titles, fortunes
    - Creating new imperial nobility from revolutionary generals

E. Wealth Concentration Before and After Revolution

Pre-Revolution wealth distribution (1789):

- **Top 1%**: ~30-35% of total wealth
    - Great aristocrats, bishops, wealthy bourgeois
- **Top 10%**: ~60-70% of total wealth
    - Nobility, clergy, bourgeoisie combined
- **Middle groups** (10-50 percentile): ~20-25%
- **Bottom 50%**: ~5-15%

Post-Revolution wealth distribution (1815-1820):

- **Top 1%**: ~25-30% of wealth (modest compression)
- **Top 10%**: ~60-70% of wealth (similar to pre-Revolution)
- **Middle groups**: ~20-25% (similar)
- **Bottom 50%**: ~5-15% (similar)

Key finding: Overall wealth concentration **persisted** at similar levels, but **composition changed**:

- Pre-Revolution: Aristocracy + clergy + bourgeoisie = top 10%
- Post-Revolution: Bourgeoisie = top 10% (aristocracy/clergy displaced or absorbed)

Bourgeois beneficiaries:

- Land purchasers: Acquiring church/émigré estates
- Merchants: Colonial trade (until 1804), commercial expansion
- Manufacturers: Continental System protection enabling industrial growth
- Bankers: Protestant families maintaining positions
- Officials: Revolutionary and Napoleonic administrators accumulating wealth

Losers:

- Guillotined nobles: Obvious losers (though heirs sometimes recovering)
- Émigrés: Losing lands, many never recovering
- Church: Losing lands, tithe, institutional wealth (though clergy receiving state salaries)
- Peasants: Gaining from feudal abolition but rarely purchasing significant land
- Urban workers: Losing guild protections, prohibited from organizing

The Revolution **redistributed** elite wealth from aristocratic to bourgeois forms but **maintained** concentrated ownership patterns.

IV. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS: FROM PRIVILEGE TO PROPERTY (1600-1815)

A. Ancien Régime Legal Complexity and Privilege

French law before the Revolution was characterized by complexity, regional variation, and legal privilege for aristocracy and clergy.

Multiple legal systems:

- **Written law** (South): Roman law tradition in Midi
- **Customary law** (North): Germanic customary law (coutumes)
    - Paris custom most important
    - Hundreds of local variations
- **Royal edicts**: King as source of law, but requiring parlement registration
- **Result**: Legal confusion, unpredictability, difficulty knowing what law applied

Parlements (high courts):

- **Judicial function**: Hearing appeals, major civil and criminal cases
- **Political function**: Registering (or refusing) royal edicts
    - Could remonstrate against edicts
    - King could override through lit de justice (personal attendance)

- **Composition**: Noble judges (noblesse de robe) who purchased offices
- **Thirteen parlements**: Paris most important, others in provincial capitals
- **Role**: Defending noble privileges, often blocking reforms

Privileged justice:

- **Clergy**: Separate ecclesiastical courts for clergy
- **Nobility**: Seigneurial justice on estates
    - Nobles judging disputes involving peasants on their lands
    - Higher penalties for commoners injuring nobles than vice versa
- **Torture**: Judicial torture (question) used to extract confessions

Lettres de cachet:

- **Arbitrary detention**: Royal orders imprisoning individuals without trial
- **Uses**: Punishing political opponents, disciplining wayward family members
- **Bastille**: Prison housing many letter de cachet prisoners
- **Grievance**: Widely resented as arbitrary power

B. Revolutionary Legal Transformation (1789-1799)

The Revolution fundamentally transformed French law, establishing principles persisting to present.

Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen (August 26, 1789): Revolutionary legal principles:

- **Article 1**: "Men are born and remain free and equal in rights"
    - Abolishing legal distinctions between estates
- **Article 2**: Natural rights include "liberty, property, security, and resistance to oppression"
    - Establishing property as fundamental right
- **Article 6**: "Law is the expression of the general will"
    - Popular sovereignty replacing royal authority
- **Article 7-9**: Legal protections
    - No arbitrary arrest
    - Presumption of innocence
    - Freedom from ex post facto laws
- **Article 17**: "Property being an inviolable and sacred right"
    - Protecting property (including biens nationaux purchasers)

Constitutional monarchy period (1789-1792):

- **Constitution of 1791**:
    - Constitutional monarchy, limited suffrage
    - Active citizens: Approximately 4.3 million (paying taxes equivalent to 3 days' labor)

    - Passive citizens: Poor men, all women excluded from voting
    - Unicameral Legislative Assembly
- **Judicial reform**:
    - Elected judges replacing venal offices
    - Juries for criminal trials
    - Uniform law codes proposed

Republican period (1792-1799):

- **Constitution of 1793** (never implemented):
    - Universal male suffrage
    - Direct democracy elements
    - Social rights (education, public assistance)
    - Suspended during Terror
- **Revolutionary Government** (1793-1794): Dictatorship of Committee of Public Safety
    - Revolutionary tribunals
    - Law of Suspects: Authorizing mass arrests
    - No due process during Terror
- **Constitution of 1795** (Directory):
    - Return to property-based suffrage
    - Bicameral legislature
    - Weak executive (five directors)

Le Chapelier Law (June 14, 1791): Already discussed under labor, but bears repeating for legal significance:

- **Prohibiting associations**: "There are no more corporations in the State; there is only the particular interest of each individual and the general interest"
- **Effect**: Outlawing unions, strikes, employer associations
- **Duration**: Until 1864 (strike legalization), 1884 (union legalization)
- **Class bias**: Despite supposed equality, clearly favoring employers

C. Terror and Revolutionary Justice (1793-1794)

The Reign of Terror represented extreme revolutionary violence, supposedly defending Revolution but killing tens of thousands.

Revolutionary Tribunal (Paris):

- **Created**: March 1793
- **Composition**: Judges appointed by Convention (not elected)
- **Process**:
    - Minimal defense rights
    - No appeals
    - Fast trials (sometimes minutes)

- **Verdicts**: Guilty → guillotine; acquittal rare
- **Victims**:
    - Approximately 2,600 guillotined in Paris
    - Including: Marie Antoinette, Girondins, Danton, eventually Robespierre

Law of Suspects (September 17, 1793):

- **Definition**: "Suspects" included:
    - Former nobles
    - Relatives of émigrés
    - Those "who cannot justify their means of existence and performance of civic duties"
    - Critics of Revolution
- **Result**: Mass arrests (approximately 300,000-500,000 imprisoned during Terror)

Revolutionary committees:

- **Surveillance committees**: In every commune, watching for counter-revolutionaries
- **Local Terror**: Committees denouncing neighbors, settling personal scores
- **Arbitrariness**: No consistent standards, paranoia, scores-settling

Casualties:

- **Guillotined after trial**: ~16,000-17,000
- **Summary executions**: ~25,000+ (including fusillades at Lyon, noyades at Nantes)
- **Prison deaths**: Thousands dying in overcrowded prisons
- **Total**: Approximately 40,000-50,000 killed during Terror (September 1793-July 1794)

Regional variation:

- **Vendée**: Worst violence during civil war (discussed below)
- **Lyon**: Suppressing federalist revolt, thousands executed
- **Nantes**: Drownings (noyades) of prisoners in Loire River
- **Paris**: Regular guillotine executions in Place de la Révolution

Social composition of victims:

- **Not primarily aristocrats**: Despite image, most victims from Third Estate
- **Peasants**: ~28% of victims
- **Workers/artisans**: ~31%
- **Bourgeoisie**: ~14%
- **Clergy**: ~7%
- **Nobility**: ~8%
- **Significance**: Terror as class war within Third Estate, not just against aristocracy

Thermidorian Reaction (July 27, 1794):

- **Robespierre overthrown**: Moderates arresting Robespierre, Saint-Just, others
- **Executions**: Robespierre and allies guillotined (July 28)
- **Ending Terror**: Revolutionary Tribunal reformed, Law of Suspects repealed
- **White Terror**: Revenge killings of Jacobins in some regions

D. Napoleonic Legal Consolidation: The Civil Code

Napoleon's most lasting legacy was legal codification establishing modern French law.

Civil Code (Code Napoléon, promulgated March 21, 1804):

Property law:

- **Article 544**: "Property is the right to enjoy and dispose of things in the most absolute manner, provided they are not used in a way prohibited by laws or statutes"
    - Establishing absolute property rights
    - Protecting bourgeois ownership
    - Confirming biens nationaux titles
- **Expropriation**: Only for public utility with compensation

Contract law:

- Freedom of contract: Individuals free to make agreements
- Enforceability: State enforcing private contracts
- Commercial transactions: Facilitating bourgeois business

Family law:

- **Patriarchal**: Father as head of household
- **Married women**: Under husband's authority, limited property rights
- **Divorce**: Permitted (unlike Ancien Régime) but restricted, favoring men

Inheritance law:

- **Equal division**: Sons receiving equal shares (revolutionary principle maintained)
- **Testamentary freedom**: Limited—only 1/4 to 1/2 of estate could be freely bequeathed
- **Effect**: Breaking up large estates over generations
    - BUT: Wealthy families finding workarounds (trusts, corporations, strategic marriages)

Labor law:

- **Article 1781**: "The master is believed on his affirmation" regarding wages
    - Employer's word over worker's in disputes
    - Clear class bias
- **Individual contracts**: Le Chapelier prohibition maintained

Significance:

- **Bourgeois triumph**: Code protecting bourgeois property, business, family structure
- **Equality**: Legal equality (no noble privileges) but property inequality protected
- **Durability**: Basic structure remaining French law to present
- **Export**: Imposed in occupied territories, influencing European law

Other Napoleonic codes:

- **Code of Civil Procedure** (1806): Governing civil lawsuits
- **Commercial Code** (1807): Regulating commerce, bankruptcy
- **Code of Criminal Procedure** (1808): Criminal justice procedures
- **Penal Code** (1810): Defining crimes and punishments

E. Concordat and Church-State Relations (1801)

Napoleon reconciling with Catholic Church while maintaining revolutionary gains.

Concordat (July 15, 1801):

- **Church recognition**: Catholicism recognized as "religion of the great majority of Frenchmen"
    - NOT official state religion (religious freedom maintained)
- **Bishops**: Pope appointing bishops, Napoleon nominating them
- **Clergy salaries**: State paying clergy (creating dependency)
- **Church property**: Church renouncing claims to confiscated lands
- **Significance**:
    - Reconciling Catholics to regime
    - Securing biens nationaux purchasers' titles
    - State controlling church through salaries, appointments

---

V. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: FROM ENLIGHTENMENT TO NAPOLEONIC MYTH (1600-1815)

A. Enlightenment Critique of Ancien Régime

The intellectual foundations for Revolution emerged from Enlightenment criticism of church, aristocracy, and absolutism.

Voltaire (1694-1778):

- **Church criticism**: Attacking superstition, religious intolerance, church power

    - "Écrasez l'infâme!" ("Crush the infamous thing"—religious fanaticism)
- **Toleration**: Advocating religious freedom
- **Enlightened despotism**: Favoring reform by enlightened monarchs
- **Not democrat**: Fearing "mob rule," preferring rule by educated elite

Rousseau (1712-1778):

- ***The Social Contract*** (1762): "Man is born free, and everywhere he is in chains"
- **Popular sovereignty**: Power residing in people, not king
- **General will**: Community's common good over individual interests
- **Equality**: Attacking wealth inequality, luxury
- **Influence**: Inspiring Jacobins, Robespierre

Montesquieu (1689-1755):

- ***The Spirit of the Laws*** (1748): Comparative study of political systems
- **Separation of powers**: Executive, legislative, judicial as checks on each other
- **English constitution**: Praising British balanced government
- **Influence**: Constitutional monarchists, American founders

Philosophes (enlightenment intellectuals):

- ***Encyclopédie*** (1751-1772): Diderot and d'Alembert editing comprehensive encyclopedia
    - Disseminating enlightenment ideas
    - Rationalism, empiricism, skepticism of authority
- **Salons**: Social gatherings discussing ideas, often hosted by wealthy women
- **Censorship**: Royal authorities occasionally suppressing works

Physiocrats:

- **Turgot, Quesnay**: Advocating free trade, agricultural improvement
- **Opposition to mercantilism**: Attacking state regulations, monopolies
- **"Laissez-faire, laissez-passer"**: Free market principles
- **Influence**: Inspiring economic liberals

These critiques created intellectual climate questioning traditional authority, though most philosophes favored reform, not revolution.

B. Revolutionary Narratives: Liberty, Equality, Terror

Revolutionary discourse evolved through phases, from constitutional monarchy to radical republicanism to Thermidorian moderation.

1789: Rights and constitutional monarchy:

- **Declaration of Rights**: Liberty, equality, property as natural rights
- **Regeneration**: Revolution as moral renewal, creating virtuous citizens
- **National unity**: Three estates becoming one nation
- **Constitutionalism**: King remaining but under constitutional limits

1792-1794: Radicalization and virtue:

- **Republic**: After August 10, 1792, monarchy abolished
- **Sovereignty**: Popular sovereignty, direct democracy advocated
- **Virtue**: Robespierre's obsession with civic virtue
    - "Virtue without terror is impotent; terror without virtue is blind"
    - Justifying Terror as defending virtuous republic
- **Enemies**: Paranoia about counter-revolutionaries, fifth columnists
- **Revolutionary festivals**: Creating new republican culture
    - Festival of the Supreme Being (June 8, 1794)
    - Revolutionary calendar renaming months, years
    - Replacing Christian culture with civic religion

Sans-culotte political culture:

- **Direct action**: Popular mobilization in journées
- **Surveillance**: Neighborhood committees watching for counter-revolutionaries
- **Economic demands**: Price controls, bread subsidies, wealth redistribution
- **Egalitarianism**: Attacking wealth, luxury, aristocratic manners
    - Addressing as "Citizen," not "Monsieur"
    - Revolutionary clothing (long trousers, red caps)

1794-1799: Thermidorian moderation:

- **Ending "chaos"**: Thermidorians presenting Terror as temporary madness
- **Order**: Prioritizing stability, property protection
- **Defending biens nationaux**: Property purchasers defending titles against royalist restoration threats

C. Napoleonic Myth-Making: Order, Meritocracy, Glory

Napoleon constructed narrative positioning himself as Revolution's heir while restoring order.

"Order after chaos":

- **Stability**: Napoleon ending revolutionary upheaval, Directory corruption
- **Strong government**: Replacing weak Directory with effective administration
- **Legal codes**: Civil Code providing clear, uniform law
- **Narrative**: Portraying Revolution as necessary but gone too far, requiring his steadying hand

"Careers open to talent":

- **Meritocracy**: Napoleon claiming to reward ability, not birth
- **Examples**: Marshals from modest backgrounds (Ney, Murat, others)
- **Reality**: Many officials from old regime elite (Talleyrand, others)
- **Imperial nobility**: Creating new nobility based on service
    - Contradicting revolutionary equality but claiming different from hereditary aristocracy

Military glory:

- **Victories**: Austerlitz, Jena, Friedland creating image of military genius
- **Grandeur**: French power, prestige presented as national achievement
- **Nationalism**: Identifying French greatness with Napoleon's rule
- **Propaganda**: Bulletins, paintings, monuments glorifying emperor

"Revolution's heir":

- **Civil Code**: Preserving legal equality, property rights
- **Biens nationaux**: Confirming revolutionary land redistribution
- **Reconciliation**: Concordat reconciling with church while maintaining revolutionary gains
- **Narrative**: Revolutionary principles in orderly form, not aristocratic reaction

These narratives legitimized Napoleon's dictatorship as necessary consolidation of revolutionary gains.

VI. WEALTH EXTRACTION MECHANISMS: FROM FEUDAL TO BOURGEOIS EXPLOITATION (1600-1815)

A. Ancien Régime Extraction: Multiple Layers of Surplus Appropriation

Pre-revolutionary extraction operated through feudal dues, royal taxes, ecclesiastical tithes, and colonial slavery.

Peasant surplus extraction: Combining all obligations:

- **Seigneurial dues**: Perhaps 10-15% of production
    - Cens, champart, banalités, other feudal rights
- **Royal taxes**: Perhaps 10-20% of production
    - Taille, gabelle, vingtième, capitation, aides
- **Tithe**: 10% of production
    - Though effective rate sometimes lower (8-9%)

- **Total**: Approximately 40-50% of agricultural production extracted

Quantification (rough estimates for 1780s):

- Total agricultural production value: ~2-2.5 billion livres annually
- To seigneurs: ~300-400 million livres
- To royal state: ~400-500 million livres
- To church: ~100-120 million livres
- Total extraction: ~800-1000 million livres (40-50% of production)
- Peasants retaining: ~1.2-1.5 billion livres for consumption, seed, market

Colonial extraction: Saint-Domingue:

- **Sugar production** (~80,000 tons annually by 1780s):
    - Sale value in France: ~8 million livres
    - Production costs (including purchasing enslaved people): ~4-5 million livres
    - Planter profits: ~3-4 million livres
- **Coffee production** (~35,000 tons):
    - Value: ~10-15 million livres
    - Profits: ~5-8 million livres
- **Total profits**: Saint-Domingue plantations generating ~8-12 million livres annually for planters
- **Processing, shipping**: Additional profits for French merchants, refiners, shippers (~10-20 million livres)
- **Slave trade**: Nantes, Bordeaux merchants earning ~1-2 million livres annually from trade

Tax farming profits:

- Farmers-General collecting ~140-150 million livres annually
- Costs: ~90-100 million livres
- Profits: ~30-50 million livres distributed among ~40-60 farmers
- Individual incomes: ~500,000-1,000,000+ livres for major farmers

Venal offices:

- Office purchases: Buyers paying crown for positions
    - Prices: From thousands of livres for minor offices to millions for high positions (parlementaire)
- Office income: Fees, salaries from positions
- Resale: Offices could be sold, bequeathed
- Effectively: Converting wealth into noble status, official positions

B. Revolutionary Period Wealth Transfers

The Revolution involved massive wealth transfers through land confiscation, inflation, and war contracting.

Biens nationaux sales:

- **Total value**: Church + émigré lands worth ~4-5 billion livres
- **Sales proceeds**: Difficult to calculate precisely due to assignat inflation
    - Early buyers: Paying face value in assignats
    - Later buyers: Paying in nearly worthless paper
- **Beneficiaries**:
    - Bourgeois purchasers: Acquiring estates worth billions at depreciated prices
    - Peasant purchasers: Smaller gains but significant for individuals
- **Losers**:
    - Church: Losing 6-10% of France's land
    - Émigrés: Losing estates
    - Original owners: Receiving nothing

Assignat inflation wealth transfer:

- **Issued**: Billions of livres in assignats (1790-1796)
- **Depreciation**: From face value to ~1% by 1796
- **Beneficiaries**:
    - Debtors: Paying off debts in worthless paper
    - Biens nationaux buyers: Purchasing land with depreciated currency
    - Government: Financing spending through money printing
- **Losers**:
    - Creditors: Receiving worthless paper
    - Fixed-income receivers: Salaries, pensions, rentes losing value
    - Savers: Wealth denominated in livres evaporating
- **Magnitude**: Effectively transferring billions of livres from creditors to debtors

War contracting:

- **Military supply**: Revolutionary wars requiring massive spending
    - Uniforms, weapons, food, horses, equipment
- **Contracts**: Private suppliers providing goods
    - Some becoming wealthy from war profits
    - Corruption: Embezzlement, overcharging common
- **Examples**: Ouvrard and other financiers accumulating fortunes

C. Napoleonic Period Extraction

Napoleon's empire generated wealth through war indemnities, the Continental System, and government contracts.

War indemnities:

- **Defeated nations**: Paying France for peace
- **Examples**:
    - Austria: Multiple indemnities after defeats
    - Prussia: 100+ million francs (Tilsit, 1807)
    - Spain: Charles IV paying Napoleon for "alliance"
- **Total**: Hundreds of millions of francs flowing to France from 1796-1814

Continental System (1806-1814):

- **Purpose**: Excluding British goods from Europe
- **Effect**: Protecting French manufacturers
- **Beneficiaries**:
    - French textile manufacturers: Capturing continental markets
    - Metallurgy: Supplying military without British competition
    - Smuggling: Fortunes made evading blockade
- **Costs**: Consumers paying higher prices, some sectors (colonial goods) suffering

Government contracts:

- **Military supply**: Napoleonic wars requiring enormous expenditures
- **Contractors**: Supplying Grande Armée
- **Profits**: War suppliers accumulating substantial wealth

Tax collection:

- **Efficiency**: Napoleon's administration more efficient than Ancien Régime
- **Revenues**: Collecting 600-700 million francs annually
- **Costs**: War expenses exceeding revenues, requiring borrowing

D. Banking Profits and Financial Services

Protestant banking families profited across regime changes through government lending and financial services.

Banque de France (1800-1815):

- **Discount operations**: Providing commercial credit
- **Note issue**: Paper money issuance generating profits
- **Government loans**: Financing Napoleon's regime
- **Profits**: Distributed to private shareholders (Protestant banking families)

Government lending:

- **War financing**: Napoleon requiring substantial loans

- **Bankers**: Hottinguer, Mallet, others providing credit
- **Interest**: Earning returns on government bonds

Commercial banking:

- **International transactions**: Financing trade
- **Bills of exchange**: Facilitating payments
- **Speculation**: Currency, commodity speculation

These banking profits enabled Protestant families to maintain and increase wealth despite revolutionary upheaval.

VII. INFORMATION AND EDUCATION CONTROL: ENLIGHTENMENT, PROPAGANDA, AND ELITE REPRODUCTION (1600-1815)

A. Ancien Régime: Limited Literacy and Censorship

Pre-revolutionary information control operated through censorship, church dominance of education, and limited literacy.

Censorship:

- **Royal censors**: Reviewing manuscripts before publication
- **Privilege system**: Publishers requiring royal permission
- **Banned books**: Voltaire, Rousseau, others sometimes prohibited
- **Underground circulation**: Banned works circulating anyway through clandestine networks
- **Bastille**: Housing some imprisoned writers

Church control of education:

- **Primary education**: Parish priests, religious orders teaching
    - Curriculum: Catechism, basic literacy, obedience
    - Limited coverage: Rural areas often lacking schools
- **Secondary education**: Jesuit colleges, other religious schools
    - Classical curriculum: Latin, rhetoric, philosophy
    - Elite access: Tuition required, excluding poor
- **Universities**: Medieval corporations, church-controlled
    - Theology, law, medicine faculties
    - Traditional curricula, little scientific innovation

Literacy rates:

- **Overall**: Approximately 30-40% male literacy, 20-30% female (late 18th century)
- **Urban vs. rural**: Far higher urban literacy
- **Regional**: Northern France more literate than south
- **Class**: Bourgeoisie nearly universal literacy, nobility varied, peasants mostly illiterate

Enlightenment public sphere:

- **Salons**: Wealthy hosts (often women) gathering intellectuals
- **Cafés**: Public spaces for discussion
- **Reading societies**: Bourgeois associations sharing books, newspapers
- **Lending libraries**: Rental libraries expanding access to books
- **Print explosion**: Books, pamphlets, newspapers increasing

B. Revolutionary Period: Press Explosion and Propaganda

The Revolution unleashed unprecedented print and public discourse while also creating new forms of propaganda and control.

Press explosion (1789-1792):

- **Newspapers**: Hundreds founding during early Revolution
    - Approximately 500+ newspapers founded 1789-1800 (most short-lived)
- **Political spectrum**: From royalist to radical republican
    - *L'Ami du Peuple* (Marat): Radical
    - *Le Père Duchesne* (Hébert): Sans-culotte paper
    - *Le Moniteur*: Official government paper
- **Pamphlets**: Thousands published
- **Public reading**: Sans-culottes gathering to hear papers read aloud

Revolutionary festivals:

- **New culture**: Creating republican civic religion
- **Examples**:
    - Festival of Federation (July 14, 1790): Celebrating Revolution anniversary
    - Festival of the Supreme Being (June 8, 1794): Robespierre's deist ceremony
- **Purpose**: Educating citizens, creating unity, replacing Catholic culture

Revolutionary calendar:

- **Adopted**: October 1793
- **Structure**: 12 months of 30 days, 5-6 extra days, renaming everything
    - Vendémiaire, Brumaire, Frimaire... replacing January, February, etc.
    - Decades replacing weeks (10-day cycles)
- **Purpose**: Completely remaking culture, eliminating Christian calendar

- **Abandoned**: Napoleon restoring Gregorian calendar (1806)

Terror period censorship (1793-1794):

- **Royalist press**: Suppressed entirely
- **Moderate republican papers**: Sometimes shut down
- **Surveillance**: Revolutionary committees monitoring discourse
- **Self-censorship**: Fear of denunciation silencing criticism

Directory period:

- **Partial press freedom**: More freedom than Terror, less than 1789-1792
- **Government papers**: Official organs propagandizing for regime
- **Opposition press**: Alternately tolerated, suppressed

C. Napoleonic Education System: Creating Merit-Based Elite

Napoleon's educational reforms created institutions reproducing elite across generations.

Lycées (1802):

- **Secondary schools**: 37 state lycées established by 1814
- **Curriculum**: Classical education, mathematics, sciences
- **Students**: Bourgeois boys primarily
    - Tuition: Approximately 800 francs annually—prohibitive for workers
    - Scholarships: Some for talented students from modest backgrounds (limited)
- **Purpose**: Creating capable administrators, officers for empire
- **Military discipline**: Organized like military institutions

Baccalauréat:

- **Examination**: Standardized secondary-leaving exam (1808)
- **University admission**: Required for higher education
- **Meritocracy**: Supposedly selecting by ability
- **Reality**: Preparation requiring expensive secondary education

Grandes Écoles:

- **École Polytechnique** (founded 1794, reorganized by Napoleon):
    - Training military engineers
    - Entrance exam: Highly selective
    - Students: Becoming elite of military, administration, industry
- **École Normale Supérieure** (1794, closed, reopened 1810):
    - Training teachers for lycées
    - Intellectual elite
- **Purpose**: Creating technically competent elite loyal to regime

Primary education:

- **Limited**: Napoleon neglecting primary education
    - "For the people, primary instruction is enough"
- **Church role**: Allowing church to resume primary education role
- **Result**: Mass literacy not prioritized

University system:

- **Imperial University** (1808): Centralized control of all education
- **Faculties**: Law, medicine, theology, sciences, letters
- **Purpose**: Producing professionals (lawyers, doctors) for society

This educational system created **meritocratic elite reproduction**:

- Accessible: Theoretically open to talent
- Reality: Expensive secondary education, requiring family resources
- Result: Bourgeois families disproportionately accessing elite education
- Effect: Creating appearance of meritocracy while reproducing class hierarchies

D. Napoleonic Propaganda and Censorship

Napoleon combined modern propaganda with strict censorship to control information.

Censorship:

- **Press restriction**: Only 4 newspapers permitted in Paris (1811)
- **Government control**: All papers subject to censorship
- **Banned topics**: Criticism of emperor, military defeats, economic problems
- **Publishers**: Required official authorization
- **Books**: Censorship of books, plays

Propaganda:

- **Bulletins**: Official military reports distributed widely
    - Often exaggerating victories, minimizing defeats
    - "To lie like a bulletin" becoming saying
- **Paintings**: David, Gros, others glorifying Napoleon
    - Napoleon Crossing the Alps: Heroic image (though he rode mule)
- **Architecture**: Arc de Triomphe, Vendôme Column celebrating victories
- **Catechism**: Imperial Catechism teaching children to honor emperor

Result: Information control enabling Napoleon to maintain image despite mounting problems.

VIII. RESISTANCE SUPPRESSION: TERROR, CIVIL WAR, AND IMPERIAL REPRESSION (1789-1815)

A. Revolutionary Violence: The Reign of Terror (1793-1794)

The Terror represents revolutionary violence justified as defending the Revolution from enemies internal and external.

Context:

- **Foreign invasion**: Austria, Prussia invading France (1792)
- **Civil war**: Vendée rebellion, federalist revolts
- **Economic crisis**: Inflation, food shortages
- **Paranoia**: Belief in vast conspiracies against Revolution

Machinery of Terror:

- **Committee of Public Safety**: 12-member body wielding dictatorial power
    - Robespierre, Saint-Just, Couthon dominant
- **Revolutionary Tribunal**: Fast trials, minimal defense, high conviction rate
- **Revolutionary committees**: Local surveillance, denunciation
- **National Guard, Revolutionary Army**: Enforcing decrees

Quantification:

- **Guillotined after trial**: ~16,000
    - Paris: ~2,600
    - Provinces: ~13,000+
- **Summary executions**: ~25,000+
    - Lyon: Approximately 2,000 executed after revolt suppression
    - Nantes: Drownings (noyades)—thousands
    - Toulon: Mass executions
- **Prison deaths**: Thousands dying in overcrowded, disease-ridden prisons
- **Total**: Approximately 40,000-50,000 during Terror (September 1793-July 1794)

Famous victims:

- **Louis XVI**: Guillotined January 21, 1793
- **Marie Antoinette**: Guillotined October 16, 1793
- **Girondins**: Moderate republicans, 22 executed October 31, 1793
- **Danton, Desmoulins**: Revolutionary leaders executed April 5, 1794 for "indulgence"
- **Robespierre, Saint-Just**: Leaders of Terror themselves executed July 28, 1794

Social composition of victims: Contrary to popular image, most victims were from Third Estate:

- Peasants: ~28%
- Urban workers/artisans: ~31%
- Bourgeoisie: ~14%
- Clergy: ~7%
- Nobility: ~8%
- Soldiers: ~6%
- Other: ~6%

This demonstrates Terror as class conflict **within** Third Estate, not just against aristocracy.

B. Vendée War: Civil War in Western France (1793-1796)

The most brutal violence occurred in Vendée region during suppression of royalist peasant rebellion.

Causes:

- **Conscription**: Levée en masse triggering peasant resistance
- **Church**: Anger at Civil Constitution of Clergy, persecution of non-juring priests
- **Economic**: Grain requisitions, assignat inflation hurting peasants
- **Royalism**: Some peasants loyal to monarchy, influenced by nobles, priests

Rebellion outbreak (March 1793):

- **Peasant armies**: 30,000-40,000 peasants rising
- **Leadership**: Local nobles, priests organizing
- **Initial success**: Defeating republican forces, capturing towns
- **Catholic and Royal Army**: Peasant forces' self-designation

Republican suppression:

- **General Turreau's *colonnes infernales*** ("infernal columns") (1794):
    - Systematic destruction of Vendée region
    - Villages burned
    - Mass killings of civilians
    - Scorched earth policies
- **Drownings**: Nantes—prisoners drowned in Loire River
- **Mass executions**: Thousands shot in groups

Casualties:

- **Estimates vary widely**: 170,000-450,000 total deaths
    - Combatants: Perhaps 50,000-100,000
    - Civilians: Perhaps 120,000-350,000 (including famine, disease from destruction)
- **Population decline**: Vendée losing perhaps 20-30% of population
- **Modern analysis**: Some historians characterizing as genocide

Significance:

- **Counter-revolutionary movement**: Demonstrating Revolution not universally supported
- **State violence**: Republic willing to use extreme violence against internal enemies
- **Class dimension**: Peasants fighting revolutionary bourgeoisie
- **Memory**: Remaining divisive in French memory

C. September Massacres and Other Revolutionary Violence (1792)

Beyond organized Terror, mob violence occurred periodically.

September Massacres (September 2-6, 1792):

- **Context**: Prussian invasion, panic in Paris
- **Prisons**: Mobs invading prisons, "trying" and executing prisoners
- **Victims**: Approximately 1,200-1,400 killed
    - Including: Priests, nobles, common criminals, prostitutes
- **Process**: Improvised tribunals, quick "trials," killings with pikes, axes
- **Danton**: Possibly encouraging violence (disputed), certainly not stopping it

Federalist revolts:

- **Lyon**: Second city rebelling against Jacobin centralization (1793)
    - Suppressed: Republican army capturing city (October 1793)
    - Repression: Approximately 2,000 executed, buildings demolished
- **Toulon**: Port surrendering to British (1793)
    - Recaptured: Republican forces retaking (December 1793)—Napoleon's first fame
    - Repression: Mass executions

Thermidorian White Terror:

- **After July 1794**: Revenge killings of Jacobins
- **Southern France**: Particularly violent in Rhône valley, Provence
- **Victims**: Perhaps 2,000-3,000 former Jacobins, sans-culottes

D. Napoleonic Repression: Police State and Wars

Napoleon combined domestic repression with massive military conscription and warfare.

Police state:

- **Fouché**: Minister of Police (1799-1810, with interruptions)
    - Extensive spy network
    - Monitoring opposition
- **Political prisoners**: Opponents imprisoned, exiled
- **Press censorship**: Strict control of information

- **Public order**: Rapid suppression of any disorder

Conscription:

- **Annual levies**: Conscripting young men for Grande Armée
- **Scale**: Eventually ~2-3 million men conscripted over Napoleonic Wars
- **Resistance**: Some desertion, draft evasion
    - But: Generally effective system
- **Casualties**: Approximately 400,000-600,000 French soldiers dying
    - Battles, disease, cold (Russian campaign)

Wars:

- **Near-continuous warfare**: 1799-1815 (except Peace of Amiens 1802-1803)
- **Campaigns**: Italy, Egypt, Austria, Prussia, Spain, Russia
- **Costs**:
    - Human: Hundreds of thousands dead
    - Economic: Enormous expenditures
    - Social: Families losing sons, fathers
- **Civilian casualties**: Spanish guerrilla war particularly brutal

Colonial repression: Saint-Domingue:

- **Expedition** (1802): 20,000-40,000 French soldiers sent to restore slavery
- **Resistance**: Former slaves led by Toussaint Louverture, Dessalines fighting
- **Casualties**:
    - French: ~50,000 soldiers dying (mostly disease: yellow fever)
    - Haitians: Tens of thousands killed in fighting
- **Failure**: Haiti winning independence (1804), France losing colony

---

IX. ELITE NETWORK COORDINATION: FROM VERSAILLES TO BANQUE DE FRANCE (1600-1815)

A. Ancien Régime Elite Networks: Versailles and Provincial Power

Pre-revolutionary elite coordination operated through royal court, parlements, and provincial governors.

Versailles as elite institution:

- **Concentration**: Louis XIV bringing nobility to Versailles
- **Court life**: Elaborate rituals, etiquette

    - Lever (king's rising), coucher (retiring): Nobles attending
    - Constant competition: For royal favor, positions, pensions
- **Function**: Transforming independent nobility into dependent courtiers
    - Nobles spending fortunes maintaining Versailles presence
    - Career advancement depending on royal favor
- **Coordination**: Creating national elite network despite regional rivalries

Royal administration:

- **Intendants**: Royal officials coordinating provincial administration
- **Contrôleur général des finances**: Finance minister coordinating economic policy
- **Ministers**: Royal council coordinating state

Parlements:

- **Paris Parlement**: 200+ judges (parlementaires)
    - Aristocratic body (noblesse de robe)
    - Coordinating resistance to royal reforms
- **Provincial parlements**: Similar elite bodies in provinces
- **Function**: Defending noble privileges, blocking fiscal reforms

Tax farmers:

- **Company of Farmers-General**: 40-60 financiers
- **Coordination**: Sharing profits, coordinating tax collection
- **Network**: Connections to royal administration, aristocracy

Colonial merchants:

- **Port cities**: Nantes, Bordeaux, La Rochelle merchant networks
- **Slave trade**: Coordinating voyages, plantation supply
- **Chamber of Commerce**: Merchant associations lobbying crown

B. Revolutionary Elite Transformation

The Revolution destroyed some elite networks while creating new ones.

National Assembly (1789-1791):

- **Composition**: Third Estate deputies (lawyers, officials, merchants) dominating
- **Clubs**: Political clubs coordinating:
    - Jacobin Club: Robespierre, Saint-Just, other radicals
    - Feuillants: Constitutional monarchists
    - Cordeliers: Sans-culotte club (Danton, Marat)

Committee of Public Safety (1793-1794):

- **Dictatorship**: 12-member committee wielding near-absolute power
- **Robespierre**: Dominant figure
- **Revolutionary coordination**: Using committees, representatives on mission to enforce policies

Directory (1795-1799):

- **Five directors**: Weak executive
- **Councils**: Legislature divided between Council of 500, Council of Ancients
- **Thermidorian elite**: Property-owning bourgeoisie consolidating

Biens nationaux purchasers:

- **New landed elite**: Bourgeois acquiring church, émigré estates
- **Common interest**: Defending titles against royalist restoration
- **Political coordination**: Supporting regimes protecting their property

C. Protestant Banking Families: Elite Continuity Across Regimes

The most striking elite continuity came from Protestant banking families maintaining positions from Ancien Régime through Revolution to Empire.

Continuity examples:

Hottinguer family:

- **Jean-Conrad Hottinguer** (1764-1841): Establishing bank in Paris (1786)
- Revolutionary period: Surviving Terror through caution
- **Directory**: Lending to government
- **Napoleon**: Becoming regent of Banque de France (1800)
    - Major government lender
- **Restoration**: Continuing as major banker
- **Mechanism**: Financial expertise making family indispensable regardless of regime

Mallet family:

- **Guillaume Mallet**: Genevan banker in Paris from 1760s
- Revolutionary period: Bank continuing operations
- **Banque de France**: Becoming regent (1800)
- **Survival**: Maintaining position through all 19th-century regime changes

Périer family:

- **Jacques Constantin Périer**: Industrialist and banker
- **Claude Périer**: Son becoming regent of Banque de France
- **Dynasty**: Family remaining important through 19th century

    - Casimir-Pierre Périer: Prime Minister (1831-1832)

Delessert family:

- **Étienne Delessert**: Swiss Protestant banker
- **Benjamin Delessert**: Son, regent of Banque de France, industrialist
- **Survival**: Maintaining wealth, influence across regimes

Mechanism of survival:

- **Financial expertise**: Skills needed by every regime
- **Capital**: Access to credit essential for governments
- **Caution**: Avoiding visible political positions during Terror
- **Adaptability**: Serving whichever regime held power
- **Networks**: International connections (Geneva, London) providing escape routes if needed

D. Napoleonic Elite Integration

Napoleon created new elite while incorporating some old regime families, banking particularly on meritocratic appearances while maintaining class hierarchies.

Banque de France (1800):

- **Structure**: Private bank with 200 shareholders
- **Governors and Regents**: 15 regents, 3 censors
- **Composition**: Protestant banking families dominating:
    - Hottinguer, Mallet, Périer, Delessert, others
    - Also: Some old regime nobles (converting to banking)
- **Function**: Coordinating financial elite, providing government loans

Imperial nobility:

- **Marshals**: Military leaders elevated to nobility
    - Ney, Murat, Bernadotte, others
    - Receiving estates, titles
- **Senators**: Senate members becoming new nobility
- **Legion of Honor** (1802): Creating new hierarchy of merit
- **Integration**: Combining revolutionary officers with some old regime nobles

Conseil d'État (Council of State):

- **Advisory body**: Top administrators
- **Recruitment**: Often Polytechnique graduates
- **Function**: Coordinating government policy, drafting legislation

Prefects:

- **Provincial administration**: Replacing revolutionary chaos with centralized control
- **Appointment**: Napoleon appointing prefects for each department
- **Background**: Mix of revolutionary officials, old regime nobles, new men
- **Coordination**: Creating administrative elite loyal to regime

Grandes Écoles networks:

- **Polytechnique alumni**: Entering military, administration, industry
- **Napoleonic creation**: Beginning elite reproduction through educational institutions
- **Long-term significance**: Creating mechanism for elite coordination across generations

E. Intermarriage and Social Networks

Elite families consolidated through strategic marriages and social networks.

Banking-industry marriages:

- Protestant banking families intermarrying
- Connections to industrial families
- Creating dense kinship networks

Old regime survivors:

- Some émigré families returning
- Marriages between old and new elite
- Gradual reintegration

Social spaces:

- Salons: Elite social gatherings
- Masonic lodges: Many elite belonging
- Clubs: Exclusive social clubs

These networks would continue evolving through 19th century, with Protestant banking families remaining central.

X. ASSESSMENT: PARTIAL ELITE DISPLACEMENT AND BOURGEOIS RECONSTITUTION (1600-1815)

The Revolution's Contradictory Legacy

The French Revolution was simultaneously:

- A **real transformation** eliminating aristocratic privilege, confiscating vast properties, executing thousands of nobles and clergy
- An **elite reconstitution** creating conditions for bourgeois dominance maintaining similar wealth concentration patterns

What genuinely changed:

1. **Legal equality established**: Abolition of three estates, no more privileged orders before law
2. **Feudalism eliminated**: Peasants freed from seigneurial obligations—real material improvement
3. **Church wealth confiscated**: 6-10% of France's land redistributed
4. **Aristocratic political power broken**: Nobility losing automatic access to offices, parlements abolished
5. **Cultural transformation**: Secularization, nationalism, citizenship concepts

What persisted in new forms:

1. **Wealth concentration**: Top 10% owning ~60-70% of wealth before and after Revolution (though different families)
2. **Property rights**: Civil Code protecting bourgeois property as firmly as Ancien Régime protected noble estates
3. **Labor exploitation**: Le Chapelier Law prohibiting worker organizations for 80+ years
4. **Elite education**: Grandes Écoles creating new form of elite reproduction
5. **Centralized state**: Revolutionary/Napoleonic administration as centralized as Louis XIV

Quantitative Assessment: Who Won, Who Lost?

Clear winners:

- **Bourgeois land purchasers**: Acquiring church/émigré estates worth billions at depreciated prices
    - Example: Lawyer purchasing estate for 10,000 livres in assignats when estate worth 100,000 livres
- **Protestant banking families**: Maintaining positions from Ancien Régime through all regime changes
    - Hottinguer, Mallet, Périer, Delessert becoming Banque de France regents
- **Revolutionary officials**: Some accumulating wealth through office, speculation
- **Debtors**: Inflation destroying debts, enabling cheap land purchases
- **Industrial manufacturers**: Continental System protecting French industry

Modest winners:

- **Landowning peasants**: Purchasing small plots of biens nationaux (20-30% of sales)

- **All peasants**: Benefiting from feudal abolition—no longer paying seigneurial dues
    - Saving perhaps 10-15% of production previously going to seigneurs
    - But: Still paying royal taxes, market prices

Clear losers:

- **Guillotined nobles, clergy**: Obvious losses (though heirs sometimes recovering)
- **Émigrés**: Losing estates, many never recovering
- **Church**: Losing lands, institutional wealth, corporate existence
- **Creditors**: Fixed-income receivers, bondholders losing to inflation
- **Landless peasants**: Not accessing land redistribution
- **Urban workers**: Losing guild protections, prohibited from organizing

Ambiguous:

- **Surviving nobles**: Some adapting, joining new elite (especially through banking, industry)
- **Military elite**: Napoleonic marshals from modest backgrounds becoming wealthy, ennobled

Wealth Distribution Continuity

Pre-Revolution (1789):

- Top 1%: ~30-35% of wealth
- Top 10%: ~60-70% of wealth (nobility + clergy + bourgeoisie)
- Bottom 50%: ~5-15% of wealth

Post-Revolution (1815-1820):

- Top 1%: ~25-30% of wealth
- Top 10%: ~60-70% of wealth (now primarily bourgeoisie)
- Bottom 50%: ~5-15% of wealth

The **overall pattern persisted** despite elite composition transformation.

Mechanisms of Elite Reconstitution

How did new bourgeois elite consolidate power?

1. **Land acquisition**: Purchasing biens nationaux at favorable prices
2. **Legal protection**: Civil Code securing property rights
3. **Labor control**: Le Chapelier Law preventing worker organizing
4. **Financial power**: Banking families maintaining credit monopoly
5. **Educational gatekeeping**: Grandes Écoles creating meritocratic appearance while reproducing class

6. **Political representation**: Property-based suffrage ensuring bourgeois control (until 1848)
7. **State power**: Prefects, administration staffed by bourgeois officials

Comparative Assessment: France vs. Netherlands

Key differences illuminating patterns of elite adaptation:

Netherlands:

- **Elite continuity**: Same families (Hope, Mees) from VOC era to contemporary
- **No revolution**: Gradual constitutional evolution
- **Mechanism**: Colonial empire → multinationals, banking dynasties maintaining positions

France:

- **Elite reconstitution**: Aristocracy displaced, bourgeoisie replacing
- **Revolution**: Violent transformation eliminating noble privilege
- **Mechanism**: Land redistribution → bourgeois ownership, Protestant banking families surviving

Common patterns:

- Wealth concentration persisting (~60-70% for top 10%)
- Banking families crucial to continuity
- Educational institutions reproducing elite
- State-business integration
- Worker organizations suppressed

Was Revolution Progressive?

Arguments for:

- Eliminated feudalism—real liberation for peasants
- Established legal equality
- Secularized society
- Created democratic ideals inspiring future movements
- Meritocracy replacing birth privilege (partially)

Arguments against:

- Wealth concentration maintained
- Worker organizations prohibited
- Colonial slavery continued (until Haiti independence)
- Replaced aristocratic with bourgeois exploitation
- Terror killing tens of thousands

Synthesis: The Revolution was **progressive relative to Ancien Régime** but **established new forms of elite dominance**. It eliminated feudal exploitation but created conditions for industrial capitalism. It proclaimed equality while protecting property inequality.

The Napoleon Question

Was Napoleon Revolution's heir or betrayal?

Heir:

- Maintained legal equality (Civil Code)
- Protected biens nationaux purchases
- Preserved revolutionary land settlement
- Rewarded talent (supposedly)

Betrayer:

- Restored monarchy (though not Bourbon)
- Created new nobility
- Dictatorial power
- Restored slavery (1802)
- Endless wars killing hundreds of thousands

Assessment: Napoleon **consolidated bourgeois gains** from Revolution while eliminating democratic elements. He was Revolution's heir in protecting bourgeois property, betrayer in eliminating liberty.

Long-Term Significance

The period 1600-1815 established patterns persisting through French history:

1. **Centralized state**: From Louis XIV through Napoleon to Fifth Republic
2. **Protestant banking dominance**: Families surviving to 19th-20th centuries
3. **Grandes Écoles elite**: Educational reproduction continuing to present
4. **Civil Code**: Basic legal framework remaining
5. **Bourgeois hegemony**: Merchant-industrial-financial class dominance until 20th century

The Revolution **transformed** French society while **maintaining** fundamental patterns of concentrated wealth and power. It replaced aristocratic privilege with bourgeois property rights, feudal exploitation with wage labor, but preserved elite dominance through new mechanisms.

This partial transformation would characterize subsequent French history—regime changes (Restoration, July Monarchy, Second Empire, Third Republic) maintaining bourgeois power while gradually expanding democratic participation without fundamentally redistributing wealth.

CONCLUSION TO PART 1: ELITE RECONSTITUTION THROUGH REVOLUTIONARY TRANSFORMATION

The period 1600-1815 witnessed one of history's most dramatic regime transformations—from absolute monarchy through revolutionary upheaval to Napoleonic empire. Yet wealth concentration patterns proved remarkably persistent despite elite composition changing.

The Revolution was real: It destroyed aristocratic privileges, confiscated church properties, executed thousands of nobles and clergy, abolished feudalism, established legal equality. These were genuine, lasting transformations affecting millions of lives.

But elite reconstitution occurred: The same Protestant banking families (Hottinguer, Mallet, Périer, Delessert) maintained financial power across regime changes. Bourgeois purchasers of biens nationaux created new landed elite. Approximately 60-70% wealth concentration for top 10% persisted from Ancien Régime through revolutionary transformation, though changing hands from aristocracy/clergy to bourgeoisie.

The Revolution succeeded in eliminating feudal exploitation—peasants gained from abolishing seigneurial dues. But it failed to democratize wealth—landless peasants rarely acquired land, urban workers lost guild protections and were prohibited from organizing for over 80 years through Le Chapelier Law.

Napoleon's Civil Code consolidated bourgeois gains, protecting property rights while treating workers as individuals facing employers. The Banque de France placed Protestant banking families at the financial system's center. The Grandes Écoles began creating meritocratic elite reproduction mechanisms.

Part 2 will examine whether 19th-century regime changes (Restoration, July Monarchy, Second Empire, Third Republic) further democratized or consolidated bourgeois elite power, tracking the same banking families and watching industrial dynasties (De Wendel, Schneider, Peugeot) emerge alongside them.

The central question remains: If even revolutionary transformation maintaining similar wealth concentration patterns, what would it take to genuinely democratize economic power? France's experience suggests that **political regime transformation without property redistribution** and **allowing elite financial expertise to remain indispensable** enables elite reconstitution despite dramatic surface changes.

[End of Part 1]

Part 2 Preview: Constitutional Monarchies, Empire, and Republican Consolidation (1815-1914)

- Bourbon Restoration and émigré compensation

- July Monarchy: "Get rich and you can vote"
- June Days (1848) and Paris Commune (1871): Worker uprisings crushed
- Banking dynasties expanding: Rothschild dominance
- Industrial families: De Wendel steel, Schneider armaments, Peugeot automobiles
- Third Republic: Universal male suffrage but bourgeois dominance maintained
- Colonial expansion: Algeria, Indochina wealth extraction
- Assessment: Century of regime changes, bourgeois elite persistence

France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)

Part 2 of 4: Constitutional Monarchies, Empire, and Republican Consolidation (1815-1914)

INTRODUCTION: A CENTURY OF REGIME CHANGES, BOURGEOIS CONTINUITY

Did the 19th century's dramatic regime changes—three monarchies, two republics, one empire, two revolutions, one commune—democratize wealth and power, or did they consolidate bourgeois elite dominance behind changing constitutional forms? The period 1815-1914 provides a crucial test of elite adaptation through political transformation.

The evidence demonstrates **bourgeois elite consolidation** despite regime instability. The same Protestant banking families who survived the Revolution (Rothschild, Hottinguer, Mallet) expanded their power. New industrial dynasties emerged—De Wendel in steel, Schneider in armaments, Peugeot in automobiles, Michelin in tires—maintaining family control across generations. Wealth concentration remained at approximately 60-70% for the top 10% throughout the century despite universal male suffrage (1848) and republican governance.

Worker uprisings were systematically crushed: the June Days (1848) killed approximately 3,000 Parisian workers, arrested 15,000, and established that the bourgeois republic would use violence to maintain property. The Paris Commune (1871) ended with approximately 20,000-30,000 Communards executed during "Bloody Week," 40,000 arrested, and the destruction of the first worker-controlled government.

Yet this same period saw real worker gains: unions legalized (1884), 10-hour workday established (1900), workplace safety regulations, strike rights (1864). Real wages increased approximately 50-60% during 1850-1914. These improvements occurred **within** a framework of maintained elite dominance—concessions granted to prevent revolution, not fundamental power transfer.

The century also witnessed massive colonial expansion. Algeria's conquest (1830-1847) led to European settler colonialism expropriating indigenous lands. Indochina's colonization (1858-1880s) created rubber plantations using forced labor. By 1914, France controlled the world's second-largest colonial empire, extracting wealth that enriched metropolitan bourgeoisie while funding limited domestic reforms.

Part 2 examines how French elite navigated constitutional monarchies, revolutionary challenges, imperial adventures, and republican consolidation while maintaining concentrated wealth and political influence across a century of surface instability.

Scope and Structure

This part covers 99 years through five major periods:

1. **Bourbon Restoration** (1815-1830): Returned monarchy, émigré compensation, limited franchise
2. **July Monarchy** (1830-1848): "Bourgeois monarchy," industrial expansion, worker exclusion
3. **Second Republic and Empire** (1848-1870): Universal suffrage, June Days, Napoleon III's modernization
4. **Franco-Prussian War and Commune** (1870-1871): Defeat, worker uprising, bloody suppression
5. **Third Republic consolidation** (1871-1914): Republican stability, colonial expansion, Belle Époque

The 9-part framework documents foundation conditions enabling bourgeois consolidation, labor systems evolving from artisan to industrial, capital concentration through banking and industry, legal frameworks protecting property while gradually permitting unions, narratives legitimizing bourgeois rule, wealth extraction mechanisms including colonial profits, information control through education and press, resistance suppression through state violence, and elite network coordination across regime changes.

I. FOUNDATION CONDITIONS: REGIME INSTABILITY AND BOURGEOIS CONSOLIDATION (1815-1914)

A. Bourbon Restoration: Attempting to Turn Back the Clock (1815-1830)

The restoration of Louis XVIII (brother of guillotined Louis XVI) attempted reconciling monarchy with revolutionary changes.

Congress of Vienna and Restoration (1814-1815):

- **Allied victory**: Defeating Napoleon, restoring Bourbons
- **Louis XVIII**: Returning with Allied support
- **Hundred Days**: Napoleon's return (March-June 1815), final defeat at Waterloo
- **Second Restoration**: Louis XVIII definitively restored
- **Allied occupation**: Foreign troops occupying France until 1818, indemnity of 700 million francs

Charter of 1814: Constitutional monarchy with severe limitations:

- **King**: Executive power, appointing ministers, dissolving Chamber
- **Bicameral legislature**:
    - Chamber of Peers: Appointed by king (including old aristocrats)
    - Chamber of Deputies: Elected by heavily restricted franchise
- **Suffrage**: Only men paying 300+ francs annual direct taxes could vote
    - **Approximately 100,000 voters** (~0.3% of 30 million population)
    - Property requirement ensuring wealthy dominance
- **Eligibility**: Deputies required to pay 1,000+ francs taxes, be 40+ years old
    - Ensuring bourgeois/wealthy noble monopoly
- **Guarantees**: Charter promising to respect biens nationaux sales, legal equality, Civil Code
    - Revolutionary land settlement irreversible—too many bourgeois purchasers defending titles

White Terror (1815-1816):

- **Royalist violence**: Southern France especially violent
- **Victims**: Former revolutionaries, Bonapartists, Protestants
- **Killings**: Hundreds murdered by royalist mobs
- **Chambre introuvable**: Ultra-royalist Chamber (1815-1816) pursuing harsh policies
    - Louis XVIII dissolving chamber as too extreme

Émigré indemnity (1825): Controversial compensation for émigrés who lost property:

- **Law**: Allocating 1 billion francs to compensate former émigré nobles
- **Financing**: Converting 5% government bonds to 3%, effectively forcing bondholders to fund compensation
- **Beneficiaries**: Approximately 70,000 émigrés receiving payments
    - Great aristocrats: Receiving hundreds of thousands of francs
    - Smaller nobles: Receiving thousands
- **Bourgeois anger**: Bondholders (many bourgeois) losing value, peasants fearing land claims
- **Significance**: Attempting to restore aristocratic wealth, but amounts insufficient to restore Ancien Régime status

Charles X (1824-1830): More reactionary than Louis XVIII:

- **Ultra-royalist**: Attempting to restore aristocratic power
- **Sacrilege Law** (1825): Making church desecration punishable by death
- **Press restrictions**: Censoring opposition publications
- **July Ordinances** (July 25, 1830):
    - Dissolving newly elected Chamber
    - Restricting press freedom

    - Further limiting already minimal franchise
- **Overreach**: Provoking July Revolution (1830)

Economic development (1815-1830): Despite political reaction, economic modernization beginning:

- **Banking**: Rothschild establishing dominance, Laffitte and others expanding
- **Industry**: Textile mechanization, coal mining expansion
- **Infrastructure**: Canal construction
- **Colonial**: Conquest of Algeria beginning (1830)

B. July Monarchy: "Get Rich and You Can Vote" (1830-1848)

The July Revolution replaced Charles X with Louis-Philippe, creating "bourgeois monarchy."

July Revolution (July 27-29, 1830—"Trois Glorieuses"):

- **Barricades**: Parisian workers, students, bourgeois rising against Charles X
- **Fighting**: Approximately 200 killed among insurgents, 150+ soldiers
- **Charles X abdication**: Fleeing to exile
- **Louis-Philippe**: Duke of Orleans, cousin of Charles X, proclaimed "King of the French"
    - Note: "King of the French" (not "King of France")—attempting popular legitimacy

Charter of 1830: Revised constitution slightly expanding participation:

- **Suffrage**: Property qualification reduced from 300 to 200 francs taxes
    - **Approximately 170,000 voters** (~0.5% of 33 million population)
    - Still extreme limitation
- **Eligibility**: Deputies required to pay 500 francs (down from 1,000), age 30 (down from 40)
- **Slight liberalization**: But maintaining bourgeois monopoly
- **Guizot's motto**: "Enrichissez-vous" ("Get rich")—advising those wanting to vote to get wealthy enough to qualify

The "Bourgeois Monarchy": Louis-Philippe cultivating bourgeois image:

- **Dress**: Wearing bourgeois dress (including umbrella), not royal regalia
- **Citizen-King**: Styling himself as representative of middle class
- **Reality**: Extremely wealthy himself (Orléans fortune substantial)
- **Governance**: Dominated by financial aristocracy (haute banque), industrial bourgeoisie

Guizot dominance (effectively Prime Minister 1840-1848):

- **François Guizot**: Historian turned politician, representing conservative wing
- **Policy**: Defending restricted suffrage, opposing reforms
- **Corruption**: Electoral corruption, government bribing deputies

- **Opposition**: Growing liberal, republican, socialist opposition

Economic expansion (1830-1848):

- **Railways**: First line (Paris-Saint-Germain, 1837), network expanding
    - Rothschild financing major lines (Nord, others)
    - By 1848: ~2,000 km of track (vs. ~5,000 km Britain)
- **Industry**: Coal production increasing from 1.5 million tons (1830) to 5 million (1847)
    - Textile mechanization continuing
    - Metallurgy: Le Creusot (Schneider family, 1836), other ironworks
- **Banking**: Rothschild dominance, but others emerging (Laffitte, Pereire brothers planning investments)
- **Colonial**: Algeria conquest continuing, settling European colonists

Social tensions:

- **Worker poverty**: Industrialization creating proletariat, living in urban slums
- **Lyon uprisings** (1831, 1834): Silk workers rebelling, suppressed
- **Associations**: Worker mutual aid societies forming despite Le Chapelier Law
- **Socialist ideas**: Louis Blanc, Proudhon, other theorists proposing alternatives
- **Legitimist/republican opposition**: Monarchists (supporting Bourbon line) and republicans both opposing July Monarchy

Economic crisis (1846-1848):

- **Harvest failures**: Potato blight, grain harvest failure (1846-1847)
- **Food prices**: Doubling, creating subsistence crisis
- **Industrial recession**: Manufacturing depression (1847-1848)
- **Unemployment**: Rising sharply in cities
- **Reform banquets**: Opposition organizing banquet campaign demanding suffrage expansion
    - Government banning Paris banquet (February 22, 1848)
    - Triggering revolution

C. Second Republic and Second Empire: From Universal Suffrage to Dictatorship (1848-1870)

The 1848 Revolution established universal male suffrage—then crushed worker radicalism before Napoleon's nephew restored empire.

February Revolution (February 22-24, 1848):

- **Barricades**: Workers, students rising in Paris
- **Guizot resignation**: Louis-Philippe dismissing Guizot (February 23)
- **Continued unrest**: Crowds demanding republic

- **Louis-Philippe abdication**: King fleeing to England (February 24)
- **Provisional Government**: Moderate republicans and socialists forming government
- **Revolutionary measures**:
    - Universal male suffrage proclaimed
    - National Workshops: Providing employment to unemployed workers
    - 10-hour workday in Paris (11 hours provinces)

Universal male suffrage: Revolutionary transformation:

- **Electorate**: From 170,000 to ~9 million eligible voters
- **Impact**: Peasants, workers suddenly enfranchised
- **April 1848 elections**: Electing Constituent Assembly
    - Moderate republicans winning majority
    - Radicals disappointed
    - Peasants: Generally conservative, fearful of urban radicalism

June Days (June 23-26, 1848): Worker uprising and brutal suppression:

- **Cause**: Government closing National Workshops (June 21)
    - Approximately 100,000 workers facing unemployment
- **Insurrection**: Workers erecting barricades throughout Paris
- **Scale**: Largest urban insurrection in French history to that point
    - Perhaps 40,000-50,000 workers participating
- **Government response**: General Cavaignac deploying 30,000 troops plus National Guard
- **Fighting**: Four days of brutal combat
- **Casualties**:
    - Approximately 3,000 insurgents killed
    - Approximately 1,500 troops/National Guard killed
    - Approximately 1,500 summary executions after fighting
    - Approximately 15,000 arrested
    - Approximately 4,000 deported to Algeria
- **Significance**:
    - **Bourgeois republic demonstrating willingness to massacre workers**
    - Establishing pattern: Universal suffrage acceptable, worker power not
    - Socialist Louis Blanc fleeing into exile
    - Worker movement crushed for generation

Louis-Napoleon Bonaparte elected President (December 1848):

- **Candidate**: Nephew of Napoleon I, trading on uncle's legend
- **Support**: Peasants, conservatives, some workers (nostalgic for Napoleon)
- **Victory**: Winning 5.5 million votes vs. 1.4 million for Cavaignac
- **Constitution**: Four-year term, no reelection

Coup d'état (December 2, 1851):

- **Illegal seizure**: Louis-Napoleon overthrowing Second Republic
- **Resistance**: Limited republican resistance crushed
    - Perhaps 400 killed in Paris
    - Provincial resistance in south, suppressed
    - 26,000 arrested, 10,000 deported
- **Plebiscite**: Rigged referendum approving coup (7.5 million yes, 640,000 no)
- **Constitution of 1852**: Creating authoritarian presidency
- **Empire proclaimed**: December 2, 1852—Napoleon III becoming emperor

Second Empire (1852-1870): Authoritarian modernization:

Political system:

- **Dictatorship**: Napoleon III holding executive power
- **Legislature**: Weak, controlled
- **Universal male suffrage**: Maintained but manipulated through official candidates
- **Repression**: Press censorship, political opponents imprisoned/exiled
- **"Liberal Empire" (1860s)**: Gradual liberalization allowing more debate

Economic modernization:

- **Railways**: Expanding from 3,600 km (1851) to 17,900 km (1870)
    - Major lines financed by Rothschild, Pereire brothers (Crédit Mobilier)
- **Banking**:
    - Crédit Mobilier (Pereire brothers, 1852): Investment bank financing industry
    - Crédit Lyonnais (1863): Deposit bank becoming France's largest
    - Société Générale (1864): Major deposit bank
- **Industry**:
    - Coal: Increasing from 5 million tons (1850) to 13 million (1869)
    - Iron/steel: Production quadrupling
    - Textiles: Mechanization advancing
- **Urban reconstruction**:
    - **Haussmann's Paris** (1853-1870): Prefect Baron Haussmann remaking capital
        - Wide boulevards replacing medieval streets (partly for riot control—harder to barricade)
        - Approximately 60% of Paris rebuilt
        - Property owners profiting from increased land values
        - Working-class displacement to periphery
- **Free trade**: Cobden-Chevalier Treaty (1860) reducing tariffs with Britain

Colonial expansion:

- **Algeria**: Continued conquest, land expropriation, European settlement
- **Indochina**: Beginning conquest (1858-1862)—Cochinchina (southern Vietnam)

- **Mexico**: Disastrous intervention (1861-1867) attempting to install Maximilian as emperor
    - Failure, French withdrawal, Maximilian executed
- **West Africa**: Expanding Senegal colony

D. Franco-Prussian War and Paris Commune (1870-1871)

France's defeat by Prussia created power vacuum enabling worker uprising—crushed bloodily.

Franco-Prussian War (July 1870-May 1871):

- **Causes**: Diplomatic tensions, Bismarck's manipulation, Napoleon III's weakness
- **Declaration**: France declaring war (July 19, 1870)
- **Disasters**: French armies defeated rapidly
    - Battle of Sedan (September 1-2): Napoleon III captured with 100,000 troops
- **Republic proclaimed**: September 4, Paris crowds declaring Third Republic
- **Siege of Paris** (September 1870-January 1871):
    - Prussian forces besieging capital
    - Parisians suffering starvation, reduced to eating rats, zoo animals
    - Approximately 65,000 dying from disease, starvation during siege
- **Armistice**: January 28, 1871
- **Elections**: February 1871, electing National Assembly
    - Rural France: Voting for monarchists (wanting peace)
    - Paris: Voting for republicans (wanting to continue resistance)
- **Treaty of Frankfurt** (May 10, 1871):
    - France: Ceding Alsace-Lorraine to new German Empire
    - Indemnity: 5 billion francs
    - German occupation: Until indemnity paid

Paris Commune (March 18-May 28, 1871): First worker-controlled government, violently suppressed:

Outbreak:

- **Government attempt**: Thiers government attempting to seize Paris National Guard cannons (March 18)
- **Resistance**: Parisians preventing seizure, killing two generals
- **Government flight**: Thiers and Assembly fleeing Paris to Versailles
- **Commune proclaimed**: March 28, elected municipal council

Commune measures (March-May):

- **Social reforms**:
    - Separation of church and state
    - Free education for all children
    - Debt moratorium: Suspending rent and debt payments

    - Worker cooperatives: Abandoned workshops given to workers
    - Night work banned for bakers
- **Political measures**:
    - Paris self-governance
    - Elected officials recallable
    - Officials receiving worker wages (not privileged salaries)
- **Military**: National Guard as people's army
- **Limitations**: Only 72 days, under siege, internal divisions

Bloody Week (La Semaine Sanglante, May 21-28): Versailles government assault on Paris:

- **Army entry**: Government troops entering Paris (May 21)
- **Barricades**: Communards defending neighborhoods
- **Fighting**: House-to-house combat, summary executions
- **Communard violence**: Executing hostages (Archbishop of Paris, others)—approximately 100
- **Government massacre**:
    - Approximately 10,000-20,000 Communards killed during fighting
    - Approximately 10,000 executed after capture (summary executions at walls)
    - **Total deaths: ~20,000-30,000**
- **Prisoners**: Approximately 40,000 arrested
    - Trials: 13,500 tried, 10,000 found guilty
    - Deportations: 4,500 deported to New Caledonia (Pacific colony)
- **Comparison**: More Communards killed in one week than Terror killed in one year

Significance:

- **Class war**: Bourgeois republic slaughtering workers to maintain order
- **Memory**: Creating powerful martyrdom narrative for left
- **International**: Inspiring workers worldwide, Marx writing *The Civil War in France*
- **Long-term**: Trauma making French left suspicious of parliamentary socialism

E. Third Republic Consolidation (1871-1914)

Despite bloody birth, Third Republic stabilized as bourgeois parliamentary regime with gradually expanding democracy.

Constitutional laws (1875):

- **No single constitution**: Three constitutional laws establishing republic
- **Legislature**:
    - Chamber of Deputies: Elected by universal male suffrage
    - Senate: Conservative upper house (indirect election by local councils)
        - Protecting property, blocking radical reforms
- **President**: Weak, ceremonial (after monarchist restoration attempts failed)

- **Result**: Parliamentary republic with bourgeois dominance

Republican consolidation (1870s-1880s):

- **Monarchist threat**: Initially, Assembly had monarchist majority
    - But: Monarchists divided (Legitimists vs. Orleanists), restoration failing
- **16 Mai crisis** (1877): President MacMahon attempting to impose monarchist government
    - Chamber resisting, MacMahon backing down
    - Establishing parliamentary supremacy
- **Republican dominance**: By 1880s, republicans controlling government

Progressive legislation (1880s-1900s):

- **Ferry Laws** (1881-1882): Free, compulsory, secular primary education
    - Creating national identity through French language education
    - Literacy: Rising from ~70% to ~95% by 1914
- **Press freedom** (1881): Removing censorship restrictions
- **Divorce** (1884): Legalizing divorce (banned since Restoration)
- **Trade unions** (1884): Waldeck-Rousseau law legalizing unions
    - Ending Le Chapelier prohibition after 93 years
- **Associations law** (1901): Legalizing associations (though restricting religious orders)
- **Separation of church and state** (1905): Ending Concordat, secularizing state

Labor legislation:

- **Factory inspection** (1892): Establishing workplace inspections
- **Women and children** (1892): Limiting women to 11-hour day, children to 10 hours
- **Workplace accidents** (1898): Employer liability for injuries
- **10-hour day** (1900): Maximum workday for most workers
- **Weekly rest** (1906): Mandatory one day off per week

Dreyfus Affair (1894-1906):

- **Case**: Jewish army officer Alfred Dreyfus falsely convicted of treason (1894)
- **Anti-Semitism**: Case exposing deep anti-Semitism in military, church, right
- **Intellectuals**: Émile Zola's "J'Accuse" (1898) defending Dreyfus
- **Division**: Splitting France between Dreyfusards (pro-Dreyfus) and anti-Dreyfusards
- **Resolution**: Dreyfus exonerated (1906)
- **Significance**: Strengthening republican left, anti-clerical movement

Belle Époque (1890s-1914):

- **Cultural flourishing**: Art, literature, entertainment thriving
- **Economic growth**: Industry expanding, living standards rising
- **Paris**: World capital of culture

- **Colonial empire**: Expanding to second-largest globally
- **Contradictions**: Prosperity coexisting with worker poverty, colonial brutality

Colonial expansion:

- **Algeria**: 800,000 European settlers by 1914, indigenous majority dispossessed
- **Indochina**: Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos colonized (completed 1880s)
- **West Africa**: Senegal, Ivory Coast, Guinea, Mali, others
- **North Africa**: Tunisia (1881), Morocco (1912)
- **Pacific**: New Caledonia, Tahiti, others
- **Total**: Second-largest empire after Britain by 1914

II. LABOR SYSTEMS: FROM ARTISAN TO INDUSTRIAL WORKER (1815-1914)

A. Persistence and Decline of Artisan Production (1815-1880s)

Traditional artisan production persisted alongside industrialization until late 19th century.

Artisan structure:

- **Masters**: Owning small workshops
    - Employing few workers (often family members)
    - Producing for local markets
- **Compagnons** (journeymen): Skilled workers
    - Many never becoming masters due to capital requirements
    - Compagnonnage associations continuing mutual aid, job placement
- **Apprentices**: Learning trades

Major artisan trades:

- **Textiles**: Silk weavers (canuts) in Lyon, other textile workers
- **Clothing**: Tailors, shoemakers, hatmakers
- **Construction**: Masons, carpenters, stonecutters
- **Metalwork**: Blacksmiths, locksmiths, toolmakers
- **Printing**: Typesetters, printers (often radical politically)
- **Furniture**: Cabinet makers, upholsterers

Lyon silk workers (canuts):

- **Skilled weavers**: Producing luxury silk fabrics
- **Putting-out system**: Merchants providing materials, workers weaving at home

- **Uprisings**:
    - **1831**: Workers demanding minimum prices, uprising suppressed
        - Slogan: "Live working or die fighting"
        - Approximately 100 killed
    - **1834**: Another uprising, more brutal suppression
        - Approximately 600 killed
- **Mechanization**: Gradually replacing hand weavers through 19th century

Artisan vulnerability:

- **Competition**: Factory production undercutting artisan prices
- **Deskilling**: Machines reducing skill requirements
- **Declining independence**: Masters becoming workers or small manufacturers
- **Proletarianization**: Independent producers forced into wage labor

B. Industrial Labor and Factory System (1830s-1914)

Industrial capitalism gradually transforming labor markets, creating proletariat.

Coal mining:

- **Employment**: Growing from ~30,000 (1830) to ~200,000 (1914)
- **Regions**: Nord-Pas-de-Calais, Loire, Centre, Provence
- **Conditions**:
    - 10-12 hour shifts underground (until 1900 law)
    - Dangerous: Explosions, cave-ins, lung disease
    - Company towns: Miners living in company housing
    - Truck system: Forced purchases from company stores
- **Wages**: 3-5 francs daily (1890s), below industrial average
- **Strikes**: Frequent, often violent
    - **Decazeville** (1886): Long strike, mine manager killed by mob
    - **Carmaux** (1892): Miners supported by Jean Jaurès (socialist leader)

Iron and steel:

- **Major centers**:
    - **Le Creusot**: Schneider family firm, 35,000 workers by 1900
    - **Lorraine**: De Wendel family ironworks
    - **Other**: Scattered works throughout France
- **Employment**: Growing to ~150,000 by 1900
- **Conditions**:
    - Extreme heat, dangerous
    - 12-hour shifts common until 1900
    - Skilled workers (puddlers, rollers) relatively well-paid
    - Unskilled laborers poorly paid

- **Company towns**: Schneider dominating Le Creusot entirely

Textiles:

- **Largest industry**: ~750,000 workers (1866), declining to ~600,000 (1906) due to stagnation
- **Mechanization**: Steam looms, spinning jennies replacing hand labor
- **Regions**:
    - North: Cotton, wool mills (Lille, Roubaix, Tourcoing)
    - Alsace: Cotton mills
    - Lyon: Silk continuing
- **Workers**: Predominantly women and children
- **Conditions**:
    - Low wages: Women earning 2-3 francs daily, men 3-5 francs
    - Long hours: 12-14 hours until reforms
    - Dangerous machinery, textile dust lung disease

Railways:

- **Employment**: Growing from thousands to 300,000+ by 1910
- **Skilled workers**: Engineers, mechanics relatively well-paid (5-8 francs daily)
- **Status**: Seen as elite workers, but strikes occasionally
- **Conditions**: Long hours, dangerous

Construction:

- **Paris reconstruction**: Haussmann employing tens of thousands
- **Workers**: Masons, carpenters, laborers
- **Wages**: 4-6 francs daily for skilled, 2-3 for unskilled
- **Conditions**: Seasonal, dangerous, no job security

Wage trends (1850-1914):

- **Real wages**: Increasing approximately 50-60% overall
    - Faster growth 1850-1880, slower 1880-1914
    - Skilled workers gaining more than unskilled
- **Regional variation**: Paris wages highest, provincial lower
- **Gender gap**: Women earning 50-65% of male wages
- **Purchasing power**: Bread declining from 50%+ of budget to 30-40% by 1900

C. Agricultural Labor: Peasant Landownership and Rural Exodus

French agriculture characterized by peasant smallholders, but rural population declining through urbanization.

Peasant landownership:

- **Increasing**: From ~40% owning land (1789) to ~55-60% by 1880s
    - Revolutionary land sales, inheritance fragmentation
- **Small holdings**: Average 5-10 hectares (many smaller)
- **Fragmentation**: Inheritance laws dividing property among children
    - Plots becoming tiny, inefficient
- **Regional variation**: North more productive, south more subsistence-oriented

Agricultural wage laborers:

- **Proportion**: Declining from ~30% to ~20% of rural population
    - Many migrating to cities
- **Seasonal work**: Harvests requiring temporary workers
- **Wages**: 2-3 francs daily (lower than urban), often paid partly in kind
- **Poverty**: Agricultural laborers among poorest French workers

Rural depopulation:

- **Peak rural population**: Mid-19th century (~25-26 million)
- **Decline**: Beginning 1850s-1860s, accelerating
    - By 1914: ~22 million rural (from 39 million total)
- **Migration**: Peasants moving to cities for factory work
    - Paris: Growing from 1 million (1850) to 2.9 million (1914)
    - Industrial cities: Lille, Lyon, Marseille expanding

Agricultural modernization (gradual):

- **Mechanization**: Threshers, reapers slowly adopted
- **Fertilizers**: Chemical fertilizers increasing yields
- **Specialization**: Wine, dairy, vegetables for urban markets
- **Productivity**: Increasing but lagging Britain, Germany

D. Labor Organization and Strikes (1864-1914)

Workers gradually gaining right to organize despite continued restrictions and state violence.

Right to strike (1864):

- **Law**: Legalizing strikes, no longer criminal
- **Limitations**: Intimidation, violence remaining illegal
    - Effectively: Peaceful strikes permitted, pickets often prosecuted
- **Employers**: Free to fire strikers, hire replacements

Unions legalized (1884, Waldeck-Rousseau Law):

- **Syndicats**: Trade unions finally legal after 93 years
- **CGT** (Confédération Générale du Travail, 1895): National union federation

    - **Revolutionary syndicalism**: Advocating general strike to overthrow capitalism
    - Rejecting parliamentary socialism, political parties
    - Anarcho-syndicalist orientation
- **Membership**: Growing slowly—~400,000 (1900), ~1 million (1914)
    - Still only ~10-15% of industrial workers
- **Fragmentation**: Divided by ideology (reformist vs. revolutionary), craft

Major strikes:

Carmaux strike (1892):

- **Miners**: Striking after company firing union leader (who won parliamentary seat)
- **Duration**: Three months
- **Jean Jaurès**: Socialist leader supporting strikers
- **Outcome**: Partial victory, worker dismissed but company forced to recognize union

Decazeville strike (1886):

- **Miners**: Striking for wages, working conditions
- **Violence**: Manager thrown from window, killed
- **Repression**: Army deployed, strikers prosecuted
- **Outcome**: Strikers defeated, leaders imprisoned

Strike waves (1906-1910):

- **May Day 1906**: CGT calling for 8-hour day
    - Mass demonstrations, some violent confrontations
- **Multiple sectors**: Miners, construction workers, postal workers striking
- **Government response**: Briand deploying troops, mobilizing railway workers into army

Villeneuve-Saint-Georges (1908):

- **Strike**: Construction workers striking
- **Police**: Firing on strikers, killing 4
- **Significance**: Demonstrating republic still willing to use violence

1910 railway strike:

- **CGT**: Calling general strike of railway workers
- **Briand response**: Mobilizing workers into army
    - Making strike military insubordination, punishable by court-martial
- **Defeat**: Strike broken, CGT weakened

Labor organization patterns:

- **Skilled workers**: More unionized than unskilled

- **Large enterprises**: Easier to organize than small workshops
- **Regional**: North, Paris more organized than south
- **Gender**: Men more unionized than women
- **Ethnicity**: Immigrant workers (Italian, Belgian) difficult to organize

E. Women and Child Labor

Women and children formed substantial portion of workforce, facing worse conditions and pay.

Women workers:

- **Proportion**: Approximately 30-35% of industrial workforce
- **Sectors**: Concentrated in textiles, clothing, domestic service, food processing
- **Wages**: 50-65% of male wages for similar work
    - Justification: Women supposedly working for "pin money" not family support (false—many supporting families)
- **Conditions**: Same long hours, dangerous conditions as men
- **Domestic service**: Largest single category of women's employment
    - Perhaps 1 million domestic servants by 1900
    - Long hours, low pay, vulnerable to sexual abuse

Child labor:

- **Age**: Children working from age 10-12 (legally)
    - Reality: Younger children working illegally
- **Proportion**: Perhaps 10-15% of industrial workers in mid-19th century
- **Sectors**: Textiles, mining (sorting coal), glass-making, others
- **Wages**: Very low, fraction of adult wages
- **Conditions**: Dangerous, harmful to development

Child labor laws:

- **1841**: First law limiting child labor to 8 hours (age 8-12), 12 hours (13-16)
    - Rarely enforced, minimal inspection
- **1874**: Strengthening law, raising age limits
    - 10 minimum age
    - Still inadequate enforcement
- **1892**: Prohibiting under-13 employment, limiting 13-16 to 10 hours
    - Improving enforcement through inspectors

Women's work laws:

- **1892**: Limiting women to 11-hour day
- **1900**: 10-hour day for women and adolescents
- **Night work**: Prohibited for women in some industries
- **Maternity**: No paid leave until 20th century

III. CAPITAL AND RESOURCE CONTROL: BANKING DYNASTIES AND INDUSTRIAL FAMILIES (1815-1914)

A. Banking Dynasties: Rothschild Dominance and Protestant Elite

The 19th century witnessed consolidation of banking power in few families, Protestant and Jewish, financing French industrialization and government.

Rothschild family ascendancy:

James de Rothschild (1792-1868):

- **Arrival**: Youngest of five Rothschild brothers, establishing Paris house (1812)
- **Strategy**: Financing French government, railways, industry
- **Government loans**: Becoming French government's primary banker
    - Financing Bourbon Restoration indemnities
    - Lending to Louis-Philippe government
    - Financing Second Empire projects
- **Railways**:
    - Financing Chemin de Fer du Nord (Northern Railway)—most profitable line
    - Also: Est (Eastern), Midi lines
    - By 1850s: Controlling ~40% of French railway capital
- **Industry**: Investing in coal, metallurgy, wine (Château Lafite)
- **Wealth**: By 1850s, one of Europe's wealthiest families
    - Fortune estimated at hundreds of millions of francs

Alphonse de Rothschild (1827-1905): James's son continuing dominance:

- **1871**: Financing 5 billion franc indemnity to Germany after Franco-Prussian War
    - Arranging international loan, enabling French payment ahead of schedule
- **Suez Canal**: Financing Lesseps's canal (though eventually British purchasing controlling share)
- **Wealth**: Continuing family fortune

Rothschild power:

- **Political influence**: Access to all governments regardless of regime
- **International network**: Five brothers in five countries (London, Paris, Vienna, Frankfurt, Naples) coordinating
- **Information**: Private courier network providing faster information than governments
- **Conservative**: Supporting established order, opposing revolutionary movements

Other Protestant banking families:

Hottinguer family:

- **Jean-Conrad Hottinguer**: Continuing from Part 1
- **Banking**: Hottinguer & Cie remaining significant through 19th century
- **Government lending**: Participating in syndicates

Mallet family:

- **Mallet Frères**: Continuing from Part 1
- **Private bank**: Serving wealthy clients
- **Survival**: Maintaining through all regimes

Neuflize family:

- **Jean Neuflize** (1830-1900): Establishing bank
- **Industrial finance**: Specializing in industrial companies

Pereire brothers (Isaac and Émile):

- **Sephardic Jews**: Unlike Rothschild (Ashkenazi)
- **Saint-Simonianism**: Influenced by early socialist ideas advocating industrial development
- **Crédit Mobilier** (1852): Investment bank financing railways, industry
    - Model: Mobilizing small investors' savings through bonds
    - Contrast to Rothschild: More speculative, aggressive
    - Projects: Railways, urban development, Compagnie Générale Transatlantique (shipping)
- **Rivalry**: Competing with Rothschild through 1850s-1860s
- **Collapse**: Crédit Mobilier failing (1867)
    - Overextended, risky investments
    - Pereire brothers forced out
- **Legacy**: Pioneering investment banking techniques

B. Industrial Dynasties: Family Capitalism in Steel, Arms, Automobiles

Major industrial firms remained family-controlled across generations, creating hereditary wealth.

De Wendel family (steel and iron):

Origins: François de Wendel (1778-1825) establishing Hayange ironworks (Lorraine, 1803)

Expansion:

- **Multiple generations**: Sons and grandsons expanding operations

- **Vertical integration**: Owning mines, ironworks, finishing mills
- **Location**: Lorraine (taken by Germany 1871-1918, complicating operations)
- **Products**: Rails, girders, military supplies

By 1914:

- **Employees**: ~20,000
- **Production**: Major French steel producer
- **Wealth**: Family fortune in tens of millions of francs
- **Political influence**: Multiple family members serving as deputies, senators
    - François de Wendel (1874-1949): Deputy, senator, representing Meurthe-et-Moselle

Schneider family (steel and armaments):

Eugène Schneider (1805-1875):

- **Acquisition**: Purchasing Le Creusot ironworks (1836) with brother Adolphe
- **Development**: Transforming into major steel and armaments producer
- **Products**: Railways equipment (locomotives, rails), ships, artillery, industrial machinery
- **Company town**: Le Creusot population growing to 35,000+ by 1900
    - Schneider dominating: Housing, schools, hospitals, stores
    - Paternalistic: Providing services, expecting loyalty
- **Wealth**: Personal fortune 20-30 million francs by death

Henri Schneider (1840-1898): Eugène's son continuing expansion:

- **Armaments**: Major supplier to French military, also exporting
- **Navy**: Building warships at Chalon-sur-Saône yards
- **Vertical integration**: Owning coal mines, steelworks, finishing operations

By 1914:

- **Employees**: ~35,000 at Le Creusot, more at other sites
- **Sales**: Major French armaments producer
- **Wealth**: Family fortune 50+ million francs
- **Political**: Eugène Schneider served as deputy (1845-1875)

Peugeot family (textiles → automobiles):

Origins: Textile manufacturers, ironmongery (18th-19th centuries)

Armand Peugeot (1849-1915):

- **Bicycles**: Manufacturing bicycles from 1882
- **Automobiles**:

    - First automobile (1889): Steam tricycle
    - Gasoline cars: From 1890
    - Mass production: From 1896
- **Growth**: By 1914, major French automaker
    - Production: ~10,000 cars (1913)
    - Employees: Several thousand

Family wealth:

- Multiple Peugeot branches maintaining ownership
- By 1914: Fortune in millions of francs
- 20th century: Continuing family control (discussed Part 3-4)

Michelin family (tires):

Aristide Barbier (1800-1863): Creating small rubber factory (Clermont-Ferrand)

André and Édouard Michelin (grandsons):

- **Taking over**: 1886, transforming company
- **Innovation**:
    - Detachable pneumatic tire (1891)
    - Automobile tires from 1895
    - Michelin Guide (1900): Promoting automobile tourism
- **Growth**: By 1914, major tire manufacturer
    - Employees: ~4,000
- **Family wealth**: Millions of francs

C. Deposit Banks and Financial Concentration (1850s-1914)

Alongside haute banque (high banking families), modern deposit banks emerged.

Crédit Lyonnais (founded 1863, Lyon):

- **Founder**: Henri Germain
- **Model**: Accepting deposits from middle-class savers, lending to businesses
- **Growth**: Expanding to Paris, provinces, internationally
- **By 1913**: France's largest bank
    - Assets: ~5 billion francs
    - Branches: 500+ in France, dozens abroad
    - Deposits: From hundreds of thousands of small savers
- **Conservative**: After early speculative losses, adopting conservative lending
- **Ownership**: Joint-stock company (not family), but management dynasty

Société Générale (founded 1864, Paris):

- **Model**: Similar to Crédit Lyonnais, deposit banking
- **Imperial support**: Created with Napoleon III's encouragement
- **Growth**: Expanding nationally and internationally
- **By 1913**: Second-largest French bank
    - Assets: ~4 billion francs
    - Branches: 400+

Banque de Paris et des Pays-Bas (Paribas, 1872):

- **Merger**: Of multiple banks creating major institution
- **Specialization**: Investment banking, international finance
- **Industrial finance**: Lending to major corporations
- **Foreign loans**: Financing foreign governments (Russia especially)

Capital Markets:

- **Paris Bourse**: Stock exchange growing substantially
- **Government bonds**: Major investment for bourgeoisie
- **Railroad bonds**: Widely held by middle-class savers
- **Foreign securities**: French investors holding substantial Russian, Ottoman, Latin American bonds

Financial power concentration: By 1914, French financial sector dominated by:

- **Haute banque**: Rothschild, Neuflize, Hottinguer, Mallet (private banks)
- **Deposit banks**: Crédit Lyonnais, Société Générale, Crédit Industriel (big three)
- **Investment banks**: Paribas, others
- **Interlocking directorates**: Bankers sitting on industrial boards, industrialists on bank boards

D. Colonial Wealth Extraction (1830-1914)

French colonial empire expanding dramatically, extracting wealth through land expropriation, forced labor, and resource exploitation.

Algeria (conquered 1830-1847, settled 1830-1914):

Conquest:

- **Pretext**: Diplomatic incident (1830)
- **Invasion**: Charles X ordering invasion (July 1830)
- **Resistance**: Abd el-Kader leading resistance (1832-1847)
- **Suppression**: General Bugeaud employing brutal tactics
    - Razzia: Destroying villages, crops, herds
    - Enfumades: Suffocating civilians in caves (1844-1845)

    - Casualties: Estimated 500,000-1,000,000 Algerian deaths from war, famine, disease (1830-1875)

Land expropriation:

- **Sequestration**: French authorities confiscating "vacant" land (actually communal, tribal lands)
- **Cantonment**: Forcing Algerians onto reduced reserves
- **European settlers**: Acquiring expropriated lands cheaply
- **Scale**: By 1914, Europeans owning ~2.7 million hectares best agricultural land
    - Algerians: Retaining ~7-8 million hectares poorer land

European settlement:

- **Settlers**: French, Spanish, Italian, Maltese colonists
- **Population**: ~800,000 Europeans by 1914 (vs. ~5 million Algerians)
- **Wealth**: Settlers owning large estates, producing wine (major export to France)
- **Political power**: Europeans controlling colonial administration
    - Algerians: No political rights, subject to Code de l'indigénat (discriminatory laws)

Profits:

- **Wine production**: Europeans producing ~10-15 million hectoliters annually by 1914
    - Exporting to France (tariff-free)
    - Metropolitan wine producers: Competing, sometimes opposing Algerian imports
- **Minerals**: Phosphates, iron ore
- **Trade**: Providing captive market for French manufacturers
- **Total value**: Difficult to calculate, but billions of francs over 19th century

Indochina (Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos conquered 1858-1880s):

Conquest:

- **Cochinchina** (southern Vietnam): Conquered 1858-1862
- **Tonkin and Annam** (northern and central Vietnam): 1883-1885
- **Cambodia**: Protectorate 1863
- **Laos**: Protectorate 1893
- **Resistance**: Sustained Vietnamese resistance suppressed brutally

Economic exploitation:

- **Rice**: Vietnam major rice exporter
    - Production increasing under French for export
    - Vietnamese peasants: Often going hungry while rice exported
- **Rubber**: Plantations established (especially 1900s-1920s)
    - **Michelin**: Major plantation owner

    - Forced labor: Corvée system compelling Vietnamese to work plantations
    - Conditions: Brutal, high mortality
- **Mining**: Coal, tin in north
- **Opium monopoly**: Colonial government monopolizing opium sales
    - Revenue: Approximately 20-30% of colonial budget from opium
    - Deliberately: Encouraging addiction to raise revenue

Forced labor:

- **Corvée**: Legal requirement to perform unpaid labor for colonial administration
    - Roads, railways, public works
    - 10-20 days annually required
- **Contract labor**: Recruiting (often through coercion) for plantations, mines
- **Conditions**: Harsh discipline, minimal pay, high death rates

Colonial administration costs and profits:

- **Budget**: Colonial budget theoretically self-financing, not subsidized by metropole
- **Reality**: Extraction exceeding costs, wealth flowing to France
    - Merchants: Profiting from import/export
    - Plantation owners: Profits from rubber, rice
    - Administrators: Salaries, corruption
    - Total: Billions of francs in aggregate value over period

West Africa:

- **Senegal**: Oldest colony, peanut production for French market
- **Ivory Coast**: Coffee, cacao
- **Guinea, Mali, others**: Various resources
- **Exploitation**: Similar patterns—forced labor, cash crop production, captive markets

Total colonial profits (estimates): Calculating precise profits difficult, but:

- **Trade**: France's colonial trade worth ~3-4 billion francs annually by 1913
- **Investments**: French capital invested in colonies earning 4-6% returns
- **Administrative costs**: Colonies supposedly self-financing
- **Net benefit**: Substantial wealth flowing to French investors, merchants, colonial officials

E. Wealth Distribution (1815-1914)

Top 1% wealth share:

- **1815-1820**: ~25-30% (post-Revolution compression)
- **1850**: ~28-30%
- **1900**: ~55-60%
- **1914**: ~55-60%

Actually, let me recalculate this based on Piketty's research:

Top 10% wealth share:

- **1810-1820**: ~65-70%
- **1850**: ~70-75%
- **1900**: ~80-85%
- **1914**: ~85-90%

Top 1% wealth share:

- **1810-1820**: ~45-50%
- **1850**: ~50-55%
- **1900**: ~55-60%
- **1914**: ~55-60%

Actually extreme concentration increasing through 19th century.

Sources of wealth:

- **Land**: Still 40-50% of elite wealth but declining proportion
- **Industrial capital**: Growing portion—factories, machinery, stocks
- **Government bonds**: Substantial (especially Russian bonds held by French)
- **Colonial investments**: Plantations, mining operations
- **Urban real estate**: Paris especially

Income inequality:

- **Top 10% income share**: ~40-45%
- **Top 1%**: ~20%

Wealth vs. income: Wealth far more concentrated than income, as capital accumulation compounds over generations.

IV. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS: CONSTITUTIONS, CODES, AND LABOR LAW (1815-1914)

A. Constitutional Evolution: From Restricted to Universal Suffrage

The century witnessed gradual democratization of political rights despite elite resistance.

Bourbon Restoration Charter (1814):

- **Suffrage**: 300 francs tax = ~100,000 voters (0.3%)
- **Senate**: Appointed by king
- **Effect**: Wealthy bourgeois and landowners monopolizing politics

July Monarchy Charter (1830):

- **Suffrage**: 200 francs tax = ~170,000 voters (0.5%)
- **Modest expansion**: Still extreme restriction

Second Republic Constitution (1848):

- **Universal male suffrage**: ~9 million voters
- **Revolutionary**: Expanding electorate 50x overnight
- **Presidential**: Strong president elected by universal suffrage

Second Empire (1852-1870):

- **Universal male suffrage maintained**: But manipulated through official candidates
- **Plebiscitary**: Napoleon III using referenda to legitimize dictatorship
- **Legislature**: Weak, controlled

Third Republic Constitutional Laws (1875):

- **Chamber of Deputies**: Universal male suffrage, genuine elections
- **Senate**: Indirectly elected by local councils
    - Conservative: Protecting bourgeois interests
    - Longer terms: Senators serving 9 years vs. 4 for deputies
    - Veto: Could block Chamber legislation
- **Result**: Democracy for men, but Senate moderating radicalism

Women's suffrage: NOT granted throughout 19th century

- Not until 1944 (after WWII)

B. Civil Code Continuation and Commercial Law

Napoleon's Civil Code persisted as foundation with modifications.

Civil Code (continuing):

- **Property rights**: Remaining sacrosanct
- **Inheritance**: Equal division among children continuing
    - Effect: Fragmenting large estates over generations
    - BUT: Wealthy families finding ways to preserve fortunes (trusts, corporations, strategic marriages)
- **Contract law**: Facilitating bourgeois business

Commercial law development:

- **Corporations**: Limited liability corporations becoming standard form
    - Société anonyme (SA): Joint-stock company with shareholder limited liability
- **Stock market regulation**: Minimal—caveat emptor
- **Bankruptcy**: Evolving procedures
- **Labor contracts**: Individual employment contracts (no collective bargaining recognition until 1919)

C. Labor Law Gradual Development

Worker protections developed extremely slowly against employer resistance.

Le Chapelier Law (1791): Remaining in force until 1864

- Prohibiting worker coalitions for 73 years

Strike legalization (1864):

- **Law**: Making strikes no longer criminal offense
- **Limitations**: Intimidation, violence, picketing often prosecuted
- **Effect**: Permitting peaceful strikes but limiting effectiveness

Child labor laws:

- **1841**: First law (poorly enforced)
- **1874**: Strengthened (inspection system)
- **1892**: Raising minimum age to 13, limiting hours

Women's work:

- **1892**: 11-hour maximum for women
- **1900**: 10-hour day for women and adolescents

Workplace safety:

- **1893**: Requiring safety measures in dangerous industries
- **1898**: Workplace accident law establishing employer liability
- **Enforcement**: Limited through underfunded inspection

10-hour day (1900):

- **Law**: Establishing maximum 10-hour workday (previously 12+ hours common)
- **Coverage**: All workers (with some exceptions)
- **Phased**: Gradual implementation to ease business adjustment
- **Significance**: Major worker gain after decades of 12-14 hour days

Weekly rest (1906):

- **Law**: Mandatory one day off per week
- **Sunday**: Not required—could be any day
- **Previously**: Many workers having no guaranteed rest day

Trade union legalization (1884):

- **Waldeck-Rousseau Law**: Finally legalizing unions after 93 years
- **Rights**: Unions could organize, but no collective bargaining requirement
- **Employers**: Free to refuse recognition, fire union members

D. Grandes Écoles: Elite Educational Reproduction

Educational institutions created meritocratic pathways—but access remained class-based.

Grandes Écoles (elite schools):

École Polytechnique (1794):

- **Mission**: Training military engineers
- **Entrance exam**: Highly competitive, requiring extensive mathematics preparation
- **Access**:
    - Theoretically: Open to all based on exam performance
    - Reality: Expensive preparatory education (classes préparatoires) in lycées requiring family resources
    - Result: Overwhelmingly bourgeois students
- **Graduates**: Entering military, civil engineering (bridges and roads corps), eventually industry
- **Network**: Alumni (polytechniciens) forming elite network

École Normale Supérieure (1794, reorganized 1808):

- **Mission**: Training lycée teachers, academic elite
- **Students**: Most brilliant bourgeois students
- **Products**: Intellectuals, professors, high civil servants
- **Examples**: Jean Jaurès, Émile Durkheim, many others

École Libre des Sciences Politiques (Sciences Po, 1872):

- **Private school**: Founded by Émile Boutmy after Commune
    - Boutmy fearing democracy, wanting to ensure elite training
    - Quote: "Forced to submit to the right of the most numerous, our classes can preserve their political hegemony only by invoking the right of the most capable"
- **Mission**: Training diplomatic, administrative elite
- **Students**: Wealthy bourgeois

- **Graduates**: Entering Foreign Ministry, colonial administration, high administration

École Centrale des Arts et Manufactures (1829):

- **Engineering**: Training engineers for industry
- **Graduates**: Entering private sector

Result: Grandes Écoles creating appearance of meritocracy while reproducing class hierarchies:

- Entry: Supposedly by exam merit
- Access: Requiring expensive preparation
- Outcome: Elite positions for wealthy families' children
- Justification: "Merit" rather than birth determining success

E. Ferry Laws: Mass Education and National Identity

Jules Ferry's laws creating free, compulsory, secular primary education had profound impact.

Ferry Laws (1881-1882):

- **Free**: Eliminating school fees for primary education
- **Compulsory**: Requiring school attendance ages 6-13
- **Secular**: Removing religious instruction, emphasizing secular morality
- **Teachers**: Trained in normal schools (écoles normales)

Purposes:

- **Literacy**: Educating entire population
- **National unity**: Teaching standard French, creating French identity
    - Suppressing regional languages (Breton, Occitan, Basque, others)
    - "Nos ancêtres les Gaulois" ("Our ancestors the Gauls")—even in colonies
- **Republican values**: Inculcating republican ideology
- **Military preparation**: Educating future soldiers

Impact:

- **Literacy**: Rising from ~70% (1870) to ~95% (1914)
- **National identity**: Creating French consciousness
- **Social mobility**: Limited—primary education insufficient for professional careers
    - Lycées: Remained preserve of bourgeoisie (tuition until 1930s)
    - University: Accessible almost exclusively to bourgeoisie

Tracking:

- **Primary**: For masses, ending at 13

- **Primary superior**: Extended primary for some (commercial, technical skills)
- **Lycées**: For bourgeoisie, preparing for baccalauréat and university
- **Result**: Educational stratification reproducing class hierarchies despite universal primary education

---

V. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: BOURGEOIS TRIUMPHALISM, REPUBLICAN VIRTUE, AND COLONIAL MISSION (1815-1914)

A. Bourgeois Triumphalism and Property Rights

The French bourgeoisie developed ideological justifications for their dominance.

Property as foundation:

- **Thiers**: "The Republic will be conservative or will not be at all"
    - Emphasizing: Property rights sacrosanct
- **Guizot**: "Enrichissez-vous" ("Get rich")
    - If you want to vote, become wealthy enough
    - Property qualification justified as ensuring responsible citizenship

Meritocracy ideology:

- **Careers open to talent**: Claiming bourgeois success based on merit
- **Grandes Écoles**: Presented as meritocratic—exam-based entry
    - Obscuring: Expensive preparation required
- **Contrast to aristocracy**: Bourgeois contrasting themselves to birth privilege
    - But: Creating new hereditary elite through capital accumulation

Economic liberalism:

- **Free trade**: Generally favored (though some protectionism)
- **Limited government**: Opposition to state intervention in economy
- **Individual responsibility**: Workers responsible for own success/failure

B. Republican Narrative: Democracy and Secularism

Third Republic republicans constructed narrative emphasizing progress and popular sovereignty.

Republican values:

- **Liberty, Equality, Fraternity**: Revolutionary slogan revived
- **Popular sovereignty**: Government deriving authority from people
- **Secular state**: Opposing church influence
    - Ferry Laws establishing secular education
    - Separation of church and state (1905)

Progress narrative:

- **Enlightenment**: Republic as fulfillment of Enlightenment ideals
- **Science**: Embracing scientific progress, rationalism
- **Education**: Universal education creating enlightened citizens
- **Contrast**: Opposing monarchism, clericalism as backward

Parliamentary system:

- **Representation**: Elected Chamber representing popular will
- **Republic**: Presented as government of all vs. monarchy serving privileged
- **Reality**: Senate protecting bourgeois interests, universal suffrage coexisting with wealth concentration

C. Colonial Narratives: Mission Civilisatrice

French justified empire through supposed civilizing mission.

Mission civilisatrice ("civilizing mission"):

- **Ideology**: Claiming France bringing civilization to "backward" peoples
- **Elements**:
    - Education: Teaching French language, culture
    - Technology: Railroads, telegraphs, medicine
    - Law: French legal system replacing "barbaric" customs
    - Religion: Supporting Catholic missions
- **Assimilation**: Theoretically, indigenous people could become French through accepting civilization
    - Reality: Assimilation rarely achieved, discriminatory barriers remained

Racial hierarchies:

- **Evolutionary stage theory**: Portraying non-Europeans as lower evolutionary stages
- **Scientific racism**: Pseudo-scientific theories justifying domination
- **Distinction**: French claiming more "assimilationist" than British (who emphasized racial difference)
    - Reality: French practice as discriminatory as British

Economic justification:

- **Development**: Claiming colonies benefiting from French investment
- **Trade**: Presenting colonial trade as mutually beneficial
- **Exploitation obscured**: Minimizing forced labor, land expropriation, resource extraction

Examples of narrative:

- **Jules Ferry** (architect of colonial expansion): "Superior races have a right and duty to civilize inferior races"
- **Colonial exhibitions**: 1889, 1900, 1931 expositions displaying colonized peoples in "native villages"
    - Human zoos: Presenting colonized as exotic, primitive
- **Textbooks**: Colonial empire presented as France's glory, generous gift to colonized

D. Working-Class Counter-Narratives

Workers developed alternative narratives challenging bourgeois dominance.

Socialist critiques:

- **Louis Blanc**: Advocating "right to work," worker cooperatives
- **Proudhon**: Anarchist critiquing property ("Property is theft!")
- **Blanqui**: Revolutionary socialist advocating insurrection

Marx and French socialism:

- ***The Civil War in France*** (1871): Marx analyzing Paris Commune
- **Guesdistes**: Jules Guesde leading Marxist party (Parti Ouvrier Français)
- **Class struggle**: Analyzing bourgeois republic as class dictatorship

Anarcho-syndicalism:

- **CGT**: Revolutionary syndicalism rejecting parliamentary politics
- **Direct action**: Advocating strikes, sabotage, general strike
- **Anti-statism**: Opposing state socialism, favoring worker control

Reformist socialism:

- **Jean Jaurès**: Leading reformist socialist
    - Advocating: Parliamentary path to socialism, worker rights
    - Supporting: Strikes (Carmaux), opposing colonial wars
- **SFIO** (Socialist Party, 1905): Unifying French socialists
    - Tensions: Between revolutionary and reformist wings

Commune memory:

- **Martyrdom**: Bloody Week creating powerful memory

- **Mur des Fédérés**: Wall where Communards executed, becoming pilgrimage site
- **Commemorations**: Annual remembrances reinforcing working-class identity

---

VI. WEALTH EXTRACTION MECHANISMS: PROFITS, RENTS, AND COLONIAL EXPLOITATION (1815-1914)

A. Industrial Profits and Accumulation

French industrialists accumulated substantial wealth through factory production and state contracts.

Schneider family profits (Le Creusot):

- **Sales**: Growing from millions to tens of millions of francs annually
- **Profit margins**: Armaments especially profitable (15-25% margins common)
- **Government contracts**: French military primary customer, but also exporting
- **Accumulated wealth**: Family fortune reaching 50+ million francs by 1914

De Wendel profits (Lorraine steel):

- **Similar patterns**: High profit margins in steel, rails
- **Vertical integration**: Owning entire production chain maximizing profits
- **Wealth**: Family fortune tens of millions

Textile profits:

- **Lower margins**: More competitive, but vast scale
- **Labor costs**: Keeping wages low (women, children paid minimally)
- **Examples**: Northern mill owners accumulating fortunes of millions

Total industrial profits: Difficult to calculate aggregate, but:

- **Industrial production value**: Growing from ~8-10 billion francs (1850) to ~30-35 billion (1914)
- **Profit rates**: Varying 10-25% depending on sector
- **Aggregate profits**: Perhaps 3-8 billion francs annually by 1914
- **Concentration**: Top industrial families capturing disproportionate share

B. Banking Profits: Interest, Commissions, and Speculation

Banking sector accumulated wealth through lending, underwriting, and financial services.

Rothschild profits:

- **Government loans**: Earning 1-3% commissions on massive loans
    - Example: 1871 indemnity loan—5 billion francs, Rothschild earning tens of millions in commissions
- **Railway financing**: Earning commissions, also holding bonds paying interest
- **Industrial investments**: Owning stakes in profitable companies
- **Total wealth**: Rothschild fortune estimated at hundreds of millions of francs by 1914

Crédit Lyonnais:

- **Net profits**: Rising from millions (1870s) to ~100+ million francs annually (1900s)
- **Distribution**: Dividends to shareholders, retained earnings increasing bank capital
- **Ownership**: Dispersed among thousands of shareholders (not single family)

Banking sector aggregate:

- **Assets**: Major banks' combined assets reaching tens of billions of francs by 1914
- **Profits**: Banking sector profits approximately 200-500 million francs annually
- **Elite concentration**: Top bankers (private banks, bank directors) accumulating fortunes in tens of millions

C. Urban Real Estate: Haussmann's Gift to Property Owners

Paris reconstruction created enormous windfall for property owners.

Haussmann's reconstruction (1853-1870):

- **Expropriation**: Government seizing property in redevelopment areas
    - Compensation: At supposedly fair market value
- **New construction**: Wide boulevards, new buildings
- **Property values**: Skyrocketing in rebuilt areas

Beneficiaries:

- **Original owners**: Those receiving compensation often using to purchase property elsewhere (appreciating)
- **Speculators**: Buying property in anticipated redevelopment areas, selling at profit
- **Developers**: Constructing new buildings, selling or renting at high prices
- **Landlords**: Rental income increasing as Paris property values rose

Quantification:

- **Investment**: Approximately 2.5 billion francs spent on Paris reconstruction
- **Land values**: Parisian land values tripling or quadrupling in some areas
- **Wealth transfer**: Substantial wealth created for property-owning class
    - Some estimates: Property owners gaining 1-2 billion francs in increased values

D. Colonial Extraction Quantification

Algeria:

- **Wine**: European settlers producing wine worth ~100-200 million francs annually by 1914
- **Other agriculture**: Wheat, vegetables, other crops
- **Minerals**: Phosphates, iron ore
- **Trade**: Captive market for French manufactures
- **Total value**: Perhaps 300-500 million francs annually flowing to European settlers, merchants

Indochina:

- **Rice**: Vietnam exporting rice worth ~50-100 million francs annually
- **Rubber**: Plantations producing rubber worth tens of millions (growing rapidly 1900s-1920s)
- **Opium monopoly**: Government revenue ~20-30 million francs annually
- **Coal, tin**: Mining production
- **Total profits**: Perhaps 150-300 million francs annually to French investors, merchants

West Africa and other colonies:

- **Senegal, Ivory Coast**: Peanuts, coffee, cacao
- **Total**: Perhaps 100-200 million francs annually

Aggregate colonial profits (rough estimates):

- **Annual**: 500-1,000 million francs flowing to French from colonies
- **Concentration**: Captured by relatively small number of:
    - Settlers (Algeria especially)
    - Plantation owners
    - Colonial merchants
    - Shipping companies
    - Metropolitan merchants, industrialists

Comparison to domestic economy:

- French national income: ~30-35 billion francs by 1914
- Colonial profits: ~1-3% of national income
- Not huge portion of total economy, but:
    - Highly concentrated among elite
    - Providing captive markets for manufactures
    - Ideological importance exceeding economic

E. Labor Share Decline and Capital Accumulation

Labor share of national income:

- **1850**: Approximately 65-70%
- **1870**: Approximately 63-65%
- **1900**: Approximately 60-62%
- **1914**: Approximately 58-60%

Interpretation:

- Approximately 7-12 percentage points shifting from labor to capital over 64 years
- In absolute terms: Billions of francs annually shifting to capital by 1914

Capital accumulation:

- **National wealth**: Growing from ~100-120 billion francs (1850) to ~250-300 billion (1914)
- **Capital intensity**: Increasing as industry mechanized
- **Ownership**: Capital overwhelmingly owned by top 10% (owning 80-90% by 1914)

VII. INFORMATION AND EDUCATION CONTROL: PRESS, SCHOOLS, AND EXPERT DISCOURSE (1815-1914)

A. Press Development and Ownership

The 19th century witnessed press expansion but ownership remaining concentrated among wealthy.

Censorship evolution:

- **Restoration**: Heavy censorship, prior authorization required
- **July Monarchy**: Somewhat freer, but still restricted
- **Second Empire**: Initial censorship, liberalization in 1860s
- **Third Republic**: Press freedom law (1881) establishing broad freedom

Mass press emergence:

- **Le Petit Parisien**: Reaching 1+ million circulation by 1900
- **Le Petit Journal**: Similarly mass circulation
- **Le Matin**: Major daily
- **Techniques**: Low prices, sensationalism, serialized novels attracting readers

Political press:

- **Conservative**: *Le Figaro*, *Le Gaulois*

- **Liberal**: *Le Temps*
- **Socialist**: *L'Humanité* (founded by Jaurès, 1904)
- **Right-wing**: *La Libre Parole* (anti-Semitic)

Ownership patterns:

- **Wealthy individuals**: Industrialists, bankers owning papers
- **Advertising**: Becoming major revenue source
    - Department stores (Bon Marché, others) major advertisers
- **Result**: Even with press freedom, ownership concentrated among wealthy

B. Educational Stratification and Elite Reproduction

Primary education:

- **Ferry Laws**: Universal, free, compulsory (ages 6-13)
- **Curriculum**: Reading, writing, arithmetic, French language, geography, history, civic instruction
- **Purpose**: Creating literate citizens, national identity
- **Limitation**: Insufficient for professional careers

Secondary education:

- **Lycées**: For bourgeoisie
    - Classical curriculum: Latin, Greek, literature, philosophy, sciences, mathematics
    - Baccalauréat: Exam for university admission
- **Access**: Tuition required (until 1930s)
    - Excluding working-class families
- **Scholarship**: Limited scholarships for exceptionally bright working-class students, but rare

Higher education:

- **Universities**: Free tuition but living costs prohibitive for workers
- **Students**: Overwhelmingly bourgeois
    - 1914: Perhaps 40,000 university students from 40 million population
    - ~0.1% of population
- **Faculties**: Law, medicine, sciences, letters

Grandes Écoles: Already discussed—elite training with class-based access despite meritocratic veneer

Result:

- **Reproduction**: Educational system reproducing class hierarchies

- **Meritocracy myth**: Exam-based advancement creating appearance of fairness while wealthy families maintaining advantages
- **Limited mobility**: Some working-class students rising through scholarship, but exceptional

C. Expert Discourse and Bourgeois Knowledge Production

The bourgeoisie controlled production of authoritative knowledge.

Universities:

- **Professors**: From bourgeois backgrounds predominantly
- **Curriculum**: Classical liberal economics taught as science
- **Legitimation**: Academic credentials legitimizing bourgeois rule

Journalism:

- **Political economy**: Bourgeois newspapers explaining economics from capital perspective
- **Editorials**: Defending property rights, free trade, opposing socialism
- **"Objectivity"**: Claiming neutral expertise while promoting class interests

Social science:

- **Émile Durkheim**: Pioneering sociology
    - Some progressive ideas (supporting Dreyfus) but fundamentally accepting capitalism
- **Statistics**: Government and private statistics shaping policy debates
    - Often: Emphasizing growth, minimizing inequality

Colonial knowledge:

- **Orientalism**: "Experts" studying colonized peoples
    - Often: Reinforcing stereotypes, justifying domination
- **Exhibitions**: Displaying colonized peoples in anthropological/ethnographic context
    - Human zoos: Presenting as scientific education

D. Intellectuals and Counter-Discourse

Despite bourgeois dominance, critical intellectuals challenged mainstream narratives.

Émile Zola:

- **Novels**: Depicting working-class life, social problems
    - *Germinal* (1885): About miners' strike
    - *La Bête Humaine* (1890): Railways workers

    - *L'Assommoir* (1877): Urban poverty, alcoholism
- **Dreyfus Affair**: "J'Accuse" (1898) defending Dreyfus, attacking military, church

Jean Jaurès:

- **Socialist leader**: Intellectual turned politician
- **Writings**: Defending worker rights, opposing colonialism and militarism
- ***L'Humanité***: Founding socialist newspaper (1904)
- **Assassination**: Killed by nationalist (1914)

Anarchist intellectuals:

- **Pierre-Joseph Proudhon**: "Property is theft!"
- **Mikhail Bakunin**: Russian anarchist active in France
- **Kropotkin**: Anarcho-communist theorist

Syndicalist theorists:

- **Georges Sorel**: Theorizing revolutionary violence, general strike
- **Fernand Pelloutier**: Organizing Bourses du Travail (labor exchanges)

These critical voices challenged dominant narratives but remained marginalized compared to mainstream bourgeois discourse.

VIII. RESISTANCE SUPPRESSION: STATE VIOLENCE AGAINST WORKERS (1815-1914)

A. June Days Massacre (1848)

Already detailed above, but emphasizing state violence:

- **3,000 workers killed** in fighting
- **1,500 executed** summarily
- **15,000 arrested**, 4,000 deported
- **Message**: Bourgeois republic would use any violence necessary to maintain property

B. Paris Commune Bloodbath (1871)

Already detailed, emphasizing:

- **20,000-30,000 Communards killed**
- **40,000 arrested**
- **4,500 deported** to New Caledonia

- **Worst state violence** in French history

C. Colonial Wars and Atrocities

Algeria:

- **Conquest casualties**: 500,000-1,000,000 Algerians dying (1830-1875)
- **Methods**: Razzia (village burning), enfumades (suffocating in caves)
- **Resistance suppression**: Brutal campaigns against Abd el-Kader, others

Indochina:

- **Conquest**: Sustained Vietnamese resistance suppressed
- **Casualties**: Tens of thousands Vietnamese killed
- **Continued repression**: Rebellions suppressed brutally throughout colonial period

D. Strike Repression and Labor Violence

Lyon uprisings (1831, 1834):

- **1831**: Workers demanding minimum tariff
    - Uprising suppressed, ~100 killed
- **1834**: More serious uprising
    - Approximately 600 killed
    - Transnonain massacre: Soldiers killing entire apartment building of civilians

Fourmies massacre (May 1, 1891):

- **Context**: May Day demonstration for 8-hour day
- **Police**: Opening fire on peaceful demonstrators
- **Casualties**: 9 killed (including children), dozens wounded
- **Outrage**: Creating martyrs for labor movement

Villeneuve-Saint-Georges (1908):

- **Strike**: Construction workers striking
- **Police**: Firing on strikers
- **Deaths**: 4 killed
- **Pattern**: Republic demonstrating continued willingness to use violence

Strike-breaking tactics:

- **Military deployment**: Army regularly deployed against strikes
- **Mass arrests**: Strike leaders arrested, prosecuted
- **Employer blacklists**: Strikers unable to find future employment
- **Lockouts**: Employers closing factories, starving out strikers

1910 railway strike:

- **Government response**: Briand mobilizing workers into army
    - Strike becoming military insubordination
- **Message**: State power overriding worker rights when property threatened

E. Repression Compared to Worker Gains

Pattern: State granted labor rights (strikes 1864, unions 1884, 10-hour day 1900) while maintaining ultimate violence monopoly:

- **Concessions**: Granted to prevent revolution
- **Limits**: Rights given could be overridden when property threatened
- **Violence**: Maintaining capacity and willingness to massacre workers if necessary

This created **managed democracy**—universal male suffrage, labor rights, but elite maintaining ultimate control through state violence capacity.

IX. ELITE NETWORK COORDINATION: DYNASTIES, BOARDS, AND POLITICAL INFLUENCE (1815-1914)

A. Banking-Industrial Interlocks

Elite families coordinated through interlocking directorates, marriages, and social networks.

Rothschild connections:

- **Railway boards**: Rothschild representatives on Chemin de Fer du Nord, others
- **Industrial stakes**: Owning shares in coal, steel, other industries
- **Political access**: Meeting with every government regardless of regime

Schneider connections:

- **Political careers**: Eugène Schneider served as deputy
- **Industry associations**: Leading Comité des Forges (Iron and Steel Committee)—lobbying organization
- **Banking relationships**: Working with Rothschild, others for financing

Pereire example:

- **Crédit Mobilier**: Financing railways, urban development
- **Compagnie Immobilière de Paris**: Real estate development
- **Multiple boards**: Brothers sitting on various company boards

Patterns:

- **Interlocking directorates**: Same individuals on multiple boards
- **Marriage alliances**: Elite families intermarrying
- **Social clubs**: Exclusive clubs facilitating networking
- **Hunting parties**: Grand Cercle, Jockey Club bringing elite together

B. Political-Economic Revolving Door

Elite moved fluidly between business and politics.

Businessmen in politics:

- **Eugène Schneider**: Industrialist serving as deputy (1845-1875)
- **Adolphe Thiers**: Liberal politician, later president, defending bourgeois order
- **Chamber composition**: Many deputies being lawyers, businessmen, landowners

Politicians to business:

- **Former ministers**: Joining corporate boards after government service
- **Colonial administrators**: Returning from colonies to business careers

Lobbying:

- **Comité des Forges**: Steel industry lobbying for tariffs, contracts
- **Colonial lobbies**: Merchant associations advocating expansion
- **Financial sector**: Banking interests influencing monetary policy

C. Grandes Écoles Networks

Alumni networks provided elite coordination mechanisms.

Polytechniciens:

- **Corps**: Graduates entering elite technical corps (Ponts et Chaussées, Mines, others)
- **Industry**: Many eventually moving to private sector
    - Bringing government connections, prestige
- **Network**: Alumni maintaining connections across careers

Sciences Po graduates:

- **Diplomatic corps**: Dominating Foreign Ministry
- **Colonial administration**: Many colonial governors, administrators
- **Political careers**: Many politicians beginning at Sciences Po

Result: Small elite educated at same institutions, sharing similar worldviews, coordinating across sectors.

D. Senate as Elite Backstop

The Senate functioned as institutional check on democratic excess.

Composition:

- **Indirect election**: Local councils electing senators
    - Favoring: Notables, bourgeois, wealthy landowners
- **Terms**: 9 years (vs. 4 for deputies)
- **Seats**: Some lifetime senators

Function:

- **Blocking progressive legislation**: Senate could veto Chamber bills
- **Examples**: Blocking some labor reforms, slowing social legislation
- **Moderating**: Ensuring bourgeois interests protected

Result: Even with universal male suffrage for Chamber, Senate provided elite veto point.

X. ASSESSMENT: BOURGEOIS CONSOLIDATION THROUGH REGIME INSTABILITY (1815-1914)

The Century's Paradox: Political Instability, Economic Continuity

The 19th century witnessed dramatic political changes—three monarchies, two republics, one empire, multiple revolutions—yet bourgeois economic dominance consolidated throughout.

What changed:

1. **Suffrage expanded**: From 0.3% to universal male by 1848
2. **Worker rights**: Unions legalized, 10-hour day, workplace safety
3. **Education**: Universal primary education, rising literacy
4. **Republican stability**: Third Republic lasting 1870-1940

What persisted:

1. **Wealth concentration**: Top 10% owning 80-90% of wealth by 1914
2. **Family dynasties**: Rothschild, Schneider, De Wendel, Peugeot, Michelin maintaining positions
3. **Elite education**: Grandes Écoles reproducing elite across generations

4. **State violence**: Republic willing to massacre workers (Commune: 20,000-30,000 killed)

Quantitative Assessment

Wealth concentration:

- **Top 1%**: ~55-60% of wealth (1914)
- **Top 10%**: ~80-90% of wealth (1914)
- **Trend**: Increasing concentration through 19th century

This represents **extreme concentration**, approaching or exceeding Ancien Régime levels for top 10% despite Revolution's egalitarian rhetoric.

Elite dynasties:

- **Rothschild**: Ancien Régime → 1815-1914 → continuing (Parts 3-4)
- **Hottinguer, Mallet**: Similarly continuous
- **De Wendel**: 1803 founding → 1914 → continuing
- **Schneider**: 1836 founding → 1914 → continuing
- **Peugeot**: 1890s → 1914 → continuing through 21st century
- **Michelin**: 1886 → 1914 → continuing through 21st century

Worker gains real but limited:

- **Real wages**: +50-60% (1850-1914)
- **Hours**: 12-14 hours → 10 hours (1900)
- **Rights**: Unions legal (1884), strikes legal (1864)
- **But**: Wealth concentration unaffected, elite power maintained

Mechanisms of Elite Consolidation

How did bourgeois elite maintain power despite democratization?

1. **Economic control**: Owning capital provided power independent of politics
2. **Senate**: Upper house blocking radical reforms
3. **State violence**: Republic willing to massacre workers when property threatened
4. **Education**: Grandes Écoles reproducing elite while creating meritocratic appearance
5. **Colonial wealth**: Empire providing additional accumulation
6. **Interlocking directorates**: Elite coordinating across firms, sectors
7. **Legal frameworks**: Property rights protected, labor organization restricted until late
8. **Ideology**: Meritocracy, republican values legitimizing inequality

Revolutionary Moments and Elite Response

June Days (1848):

- **Workers rose**: Demanding work, redistribution
- **Bourgeoisie responded**: With 3,000+ workers killed
- **Lesson**: Universal suffrage acceptable, worker power not

Paris Commune (1871):

- **Workers governed**: For 72 days
- **Bourgeoisie responded**: With 20,000-30,000 Communards killed
- **Lesson**: Worker self-governance would be drowned in blood

These massacres established limits of acceptable politics—democracy within capitalism tolerated, threats to property crushed with extreme violence.

Colonial Empire's Role

The colonial empire contributed to bourgeois wealth but was not essential:

- **Profits**: ~500-1,000 million francs annually
- **National income**: ~30-35 billion francs (1914)
- **Proportion**: ~1-3% of national income

However, empire provided:

- **Captive markets**: For French manufactures
- **Investment opportunities**: For surplus capital
- **Ideological compensation**: Workers could identify with French power even while exploited domestically
- **Elite wealth concentration**: Profits heavily concentrated among relatively few colonial investors, settlers

Comparison to Revolutionary Period (Part 1)

Part 1 conclusion: Revolution displaced aristocracy but created bourgeois elite with similar concentration

Part 2 demonstrates: Bourgeois elite consolidated through 19th century despite regime instability

Combined finding: Eliminating aristocratic privilege didn't democratize wealth—merely changed elite composition. By 1914, wealth concentration approached or exceeded pre-revolutionary levels for top 10%, demonstrating that **political democracy without economic democracy** leaves fundamental power relationships intact.

CONCLUSION TO PART 2: REGIME CHANGES, BOURGEOIS CONTINUITY

The 19th century's political instability—Restoration, July Monarchy, Revolution, Republic, Empire, Commune, Republic—concealed fundamental economic continuity. The bourgeois elite who emerged from Revolution consolidated power across regime changes through capital ownership, interlocking directorates, educational institutions, and state violence.

Worker gains were real—unions legalized, 10-hour day, workplace safety, rising wages. But these occurred **within** a framework of maintained elite dominance. The republic granted political rights while using extreme violence (Commune: 20,000-30,000 killed) against threats to property.

The same banking families (Rothschild, Hottinguer, Mallet) maintained positions from 1815-1914. New industrial dynasties emerged (Schneider, De Wendel, Peugeot, Michelin) joining them. By 1914, the top 10% owned 80-90% of wealth—concentration approaching or exceeding Ancien Régime levels.

Colonial expansion provided additional wealth extraction—Algeria, Indochina, West Africa generating perhaps 1-3% of national income while enriching specific elite families and settlers. The mission civilisatrice narrative justified brutality through claims of bringing civilization.

Part 3 Preview: Wars, Dirigisme, and Postwar Boom (1914-1980)

- World Wars disruption: WWI casualties, WWII occupation, Vichy collaboration
- Popular Front: 1936 labor gains, employer panic
- Liberation nationalizations: 1944-1946 seizures, but elite continuity
- Postwar boom: Thirty Glorious Years, real worker gains
- May 1968: Largest strike in French history
- Assessment: Temporary compression or fundamental transformation?

The question for Part 3: Did World Wars, Popular Front, Liberation nationalizations, and postwar boom finally displace elite, or did wealthy families adapt once again?

[End of Part 2]

France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)

Part 3 of 4: Wars, Dirigisme, and Postwar Boom (1914-1980)

INTRODUCTION: STATE CAPITALISM, WORKER GAINS, AND ELITE ADAPTATION

Did the catastrophic World Wars, Popular Front reforms, Liberation nationalizations, and postwar "Trente Glorieuses" (Thirty Glorious Years) finally displace French elite or enable yet another adaptation? This period witnessed the most severe disruptions to capitalist accumulation in French history—two total wars killing millions, military defeat and occupation, mass worker mobilizations, state seizures of major industries—yet elite power reconstituted through new mechanisms.

The evidence demonstrates **elite adaptation through state-led capitalism** with **temporary but real worker gains**. The same families who dominated banking and industry before 1914—Rothschild, Peugeot, Michelin, Dassault—maintained or expanded their positions through wars, nationalizations, and welfare state expansion. New fortunes emerged (Bettencourt's L'Oréal, expanded industrial empires), joining existing elite networks. Meanwhile, France developed a distinctive model of *dirigisme* (state-directed capitalism) creating revolving door between state administration and corporate leadership through the *grandes écoles* and *pantouflage* system.

Workers achieved unprecedented gains during 1945-1975: real wages doubled, union density reached 30-35%, comprehensive social security was established, working hours declined to 40 weekly, and five weeks paid vacation became standard. These were **historically unprecedented** improvements in material conditions. However, they occurred within maintained elite ownership—nationalizations transferred control from private to state management, but managers typically came from same elite educational institutions (ENA, Polytechnique), and many nationalized firms were eventually reprivatized to wealthy buyers.

Quantitative patterns:

- **Wealth concentration**: Top 10% wealth share declined from approximately 85-90% (1914) to 50-55% (1980)—real compression
- **But absolute elite wealth**: Total national wealth grew so rapidly that elite families maintained or increased absolute wealth despite declining share

- **Labor share of national income**: Increased from approximately 60% (1938) to 68% (1980s peak)
- **Union density**: Rose to 30-35% during 1940s-1950s, maintained through 1970s

Colonial extraction ended but not voluntarily. France fought brutal wars attempting to maintain empire—Indochina (1946-1954) with 400,000-500,000 Vietnamese deaths, Algeria (1954-1962) with 300,000-500,000 Algerian deaths—before losing colonies while some economic relationships persisted through "Françafrique" neo-colonial networks.

Part 3 examines whether this period represents fundamental transformation or temporary accommodation during exceptional circumstances. The assessment: Worker gains were **real but achieved during unique conditions** (reconstruction needs creating full employment, Cold War pressures requiring elite accommodation, high growth rates enabling redistribution without absolute losses for elite). When these conditions changed after 1980, gains would be reversed—previewed in Part 4.

Scope and Structure

This part covers 66 years through five major periods:

1. **World War I and aftermath** (1914-1920): Total war mobilization, profiteering, postwar strikes
2. **Interwar instability** (1920-1939): Economic crisis, Popular Front, elite panic
3. **Vichy and collaboration** (1940-1944): Defeat, occupation, business collaboration
4. **Liberation and reconstruction** (1944-1960): Nationalizations, Fourth Republic, economic planning
5. **Gaullist dirigisme and May 1968** (1958-1980): Fifth Republic, state-led capitalism, largest strike in French history

The 9-part framework documents how foundation conditions enabled state capitalism, labor systems transforming during reconstruction, capital control through nationalizations and family firms, legal frameworks expanding worker rights, narratives legitimizing dirigisme, wealth extraction through corporate profits and colonial wars, information control through state media and grandes écoles, resistance suppression in colonies and against May 1968, and elite coordination through pantouflage system.

I. FOUNDATION CONDITIONS: WARS, CRISES, AND STATE CAPITALISM (1914-1980)

A. World War I: Total Mobilization and Elite Profiteering (1914-1918)

The First World War killed 1.4 million French soldiers (17% of men aged 18-27), wounded 4+ million, destroyed industrial regions, and created unprecedented state intervention in economy—patterns that would shape 20th-century France.

Military mobilization and casualties:

- **Mobilization**: 8.4 million Frenchmen conscripted
- **Deaths**: Approximately 1,397,000 soldiers killed, 300,000 civilians
- **Wounded**: 4.3 million soldiers wounded, many permanently disabled
- **Demographic impact**:
    - Lost generation: 17% of men aged 18-27 killed
    - Birth deficit: ~1.5 million births "missing" 1914-1918
    - Population: Declining from 39.8 million (1914) to 38.7 million (1918)
- **Regional devastation**: Northern industrial regions occupied, systematically stripped by Germans
    - 10 *départements* occupied
    - Coal mines flooded, steel mills destroyed
    - Towns razed: Lens, Arras, Soissons, Reims heavily damaged

War financing and debt:

- **Total cost**: Approximately 140-150 billion francs
- **Financing methods**:
    - War bonds: Domestic lending (~100 billion francs)
    - Allied loans: Britain, U.S. providing credit
    - Money creation: Bank of France expanding note issue
- **National debt**: Rising from 32 billion francs (1913) to 170 billion francs (1919)
- **Inflation**: Franc declining 50%+ in value during war

War production and profiteering:

- **Munitions production**: France producing 290 million shells (1914-1918)
- **Aircraft production**: 68,000 aircraft manufactured
- **War contractors**: Massive profits for suppliers
- **Examples**:
    - **André Citroën**: Converting auto factory to munitions, producing 55,000 shells daily
        - Profits: Tens of millions of francs
        - Postwar: Using profits to found Citroën automobile company
    - **Schneider**: Armaments manufacturer earning enormous war profits
        - Production: Supplying French military with heavy guns, armor
        - Expansion: Increasing workforce, capital stock
    - **Michelin**: Tire production for military vehicles
    - **Renault**: Tanks, trucks, aircraft engines for military

Labor during wartime:

- **Women in factories**: 1.6 million women in war industries by 1918 (400,000 in munitions)
- **Colonial workers**: 225,000+ recruited from colonies
- **Wages**: Generally rising nominally but inflation reducing real purchasing power
- **Hours**: 10-12 hour days common despite exhaustion
- **Strikes prohibited**: "Sacred Union" (*Union Sacrée*) postponing class conflict

State economic intervention:

- **Requisitions**: Government controlling rail transport, coal distribution
- **Price controls**: Attempting to control inflation
- **Rationing**: Bread, coal, other essentials rationed
- **Mobilization authority**: State directing industrial production
- **Result**: Establishing precedent for state economic management

B. Postwar Instability and Reconstruction (1918-1930)

The immediate postwar period witnessed strike waves, reconstruction challenges, inflation, and failed Communist revolution attempt.

Strike wave (1919-1920):

- **May Day 1919**: Massive demonstrations demanding 8-hour day
- **Metalworkers' strike** (June 1919): 300,000 striking for wage increases, 8-hour day
- **Railway workers** (1920): Major strike partially succeeding
- **CGT membership**: Growing to 2+ million (1920) from 600,000 (1914)
- **Repression**: Government breaking strikes through military intervention, arrests

Failed revolutionary moment:

- **Socialist Party split** (Tours, December 1920): Majority joining Communist International
    - PCF (French Communist Party) founded
    - Socialist Party (SFIO) maintaining reformist position
- **Revolutionary fears**: Elite panic about Bolshevik-style revolution
- **Reality**: Revolution not materializing—PCF gradually accommodating to parliamentary system

Reconstruction:

- **Devastated regions**: Northern France requiring massive reconstruction
- **German reparations**: Germany required to pay for reconstruction
    - Initially: 132 billion gold marks demanded
    - Reality: Germany unable/unwilling to pay fully
- **Reconstruction spending**: Approximately 30-40 billion francs (1919-1926)
- **Funding**: Government debt, inflation

Franc crisis and stabilization:

- **Inflation**: Accelerating through mid-1920s
    - Franc declining from 5.18 to pound (1914) to 25 to pound (1926)
    - 80% devaluation against prewar gold parity
- **Debt burden**: War debt reaching 300% of GDP
- **Poincaré stabilization** (1926-1928):
    - Raymond Poincaré (center-right) forming "National Union" government
    - Austerity measures: Tax increases, spending cuts
    - Franc stabilization: Fixing franc at 1/5 prewar gold value (124 francs/pound)
    - **Effect**: Stabilizing currency but confirming 80% devaluation
        - Creditors losing 80% of bond value in real terms
        - Debtors (including many bourgeois) benefiting
        - Small savers devastated

Economic growth (1924-1930):

- **Industrial production**: Exceeding prewar levels by 1924
- **GDP growth**: Approximately 4-5% annually during later 1920s
- **New industries**: Automobiles, chemicals, electricity expanding
- **But**: Agriculture stagnant, many workers not sharing gains

C. Great Depression and Popular Front (1930-1939)

The Depression hit France later but deeper than other nations, triggering political crisis and brief leftist government inspiring elite panic.

Depression impact (1930-1935):

- **Industrial production**: Declining 25-30% peak to trough
- **Unemployment**: Rising from approximately 1% (1930) to 15% (1935)—500,000-800,000 unemployed officially, more underemployed
- **Deflation**: Prices falling 20-25%
- **Government response**: Orthodox economics—austerity, balanced budgets worsening depression
- **Duration**: France in depression longer than most countries (until 1938-1939)

Political polarization:

- **Far right**: Fascist/royalist leagues (Action Française, Croix de Feu) growing
- **February 6, 1934 riot**: Right-wing attempt to storm parliament, 15 killed in clashes
    - Triggering leftist unity against fascism
- **Popular Front**: Socialist-Communist-Radical alliance formed (1935)

Popular Front victory and reforms (1936-1938):

- **Elections** (May 1936): Popular Front winning majority
- **Léon Blum**: Socialist becoming prime minister (first Jewish PM, provoking anti-Semitic attacks from right)
- **Factory occupations** (May-June 1936):
    - **Scale**: 2 million workers occupying factories
    - **Character**: Non-violent, festive—workers staying in plants, families bringing food
    - **Demands**: Wage increases, collective bargaining, paid vacations
    - **Elite panic**: Bourgeoisie fearing revolution, capital flight accelerating

Matignon Accords (June 7, 1936):

- **Negotiations**: Government brokering agreement between employers, unions
- **Gains**:
    - **40-hour week**: Reduced from 48 hours (though implementation gradual)
    - **Paid vacations**: 2 weeks annually—first time French workers guaranteed paid time off
    - **Collective bargaining**: Union recognition, wage negotiations
    - **Wage increases**: 7-15% immediate increases
- **Significance**: Greatest worker victory in interwar period

Other Popular Front reforms:

- **Bank of France**: Partial reform of governing board (still dominated by financial elite)
- **Wheat Office**: Agricultural price supports
- **Public works**: Infrastructure spending combating unemployment
- **Education**: Raising school-leaving age to 14

Elite reaction and capital strike:

- **Capital flight**: Billions of francs fleeing France
    - "Better Hitler than Blum" sentiment among some industrialists, financiers
    - Money moving to Swiss banks, gold hoarding
- **Investment strike**: Employers refusing to invest, opposing reforms
- **Franc crisis**: Currency under pressure from capital flight
- **Right-wing opposition**: Senate (conservative) obstructing reforms

Popular Front collapse (1937-1938):

- **Blum resignation** (June 1937): After 13 months, unable to continue
- **Daladier government**: Radicals shifting right, rolling back reforms
- **40-hour week**: Weakened by exceptions
- **Strike wave** (November 1938): 4 million striking against reform rollbacks
    - **Repression**: Daladier using force to break strikes
    - **Result**: Defeating strikes, Popular Front dead

Assessment: Popular Front achieved real gains (paid vacation, collective bargaining persisting) but:

- Brief duration: 13 months before elite counterattack
- Limited reforms: No nationalization (except Bank of France board reform), no wealth redistribution
- Elite maintained power: Using capital flight, Senate obstruction, eventual force to defeat movement
- Lesson: Reforms without challenging property rights remain vulnerable

D. World War II, Vichy, and Collaboration (1939-1944)

France's rapid defeat and collaborationist Vichy regime exposed elite willingness to accommodate fascism when property threatened.

Defeat and armistice (May-June 1940):

- **German invasion** (May 10, 1940): Blitzkrieg through Belgium
- **French collapse**: Six weeks from invasion to armistice (June 22)
- **Causes**: Military unpreparedness, strategic failures, demoralization
- **Casualties**: 100,000 French dead, 200,000 wounded, 1.9 million captured

Vichy regime (1940-1944):

- **Marshal Philippe Pétain**: WWI hero becoming collaborationist leader
- **National Revolution**: Motto—*Travail, Famille, Patrie* (Work, Family, Fatherland) replacing *Liberté, Égalité, Fraternité*
- **Ideology**:
    - Anti-republican: Blaming Republic for defeat
    - Authoritarian: Suppressing democracy, unions, left
    - Traditional: Catholic, rural, hierarchical values
    - Anti-Semitic: Implementing racial laws
- **Territory**:
    - Northern France: German occupation
    - Southern France: "Free Zone" under Vichy (until November 1942, then fully occupied)

Business collaboration:

- **Collaboration économique**: French industry producing for German war economy
- **Examples**:
    - **Renault**: Producing trucks, military vehicles for Wehrmacht
        - Louis Renault: Accommodating German demands
        - Production: ~34,000 trucks for Germans
    - **Peugeot**: Vehicle production for Germany (though some sabotage by workers)

    - **Michelin**: Tires for German military
    - **Schneider**: Armaments production
    - **Coal, steel**: Lorraine iron ore, coal production for Germany
- **Rationale**: Protecting assets, maintaining operations, profit
- **Degree**: Varying from forced compliance to enthusiastic collaboration

Aryanization and Jewish property theft:

- **Anti-Jewish laws**:
    - October 1940: Defining Jews racially
    - June 1941: Excluding Jews from professions
    - Requiring Jewish businesses to have "Aryan" administrators
- **Property confiscation**: Jewish-owned businesses, property seized
    - Approximately 50,000 businesses confiscated
    - Many sold to non-Jewish French buyers at below-market prices
- **Beneficiaries**: French businessmen purchasing confiscated Jewish property
- **Postwar**: Limited restitution—many buyers retained property

Holocaust complicity:

- **Vel' d'Hiv roundup** (July 16-17, 1942):
    - French police arresting 13,000+ Jews in Paris
    - Holding at Vélodrome d'Hiver, then deporting to Auschwitz
    - Children deported with parents or alone
- **Total deportations**: 76,000 Jews deported from France
    - Survival: Only 2,500 returning
- **Vichy role**: Active collaboration in Holocaust, not just forced compliance

Resistance:

- **De Gaulle and Free French**: Continuing fight from London
- **Internal resistance**: Communist-led FTP-MOI, other networks
    - Sabotage: Railway attacks, factory sabotage
    - Intelligence: Information to Allies
    - Armed resistance: Particularly after D-Day
- **Scale**: Limited until 1943-1944, then expanding
- **Communist dominance**: PCF (illegal) organizing much resistance

Liberation (1944):

- **Allied landings**: Normandy (June 6), Provence (August 15)
- **Paris uprising** (August 19-25): Resistance seizing city before Allies arrive
- **German retreat**: Fighting through September-November
- **Casualties**: 200,000+ French killed during occupation (resistance, reprisals, bombing, deportations)

E. Liberation, Purges, and Nationalizations (1944-1946)

Liberation triggered brief radical moment—purging collaborators, nationalizing industries—but elite largely escaping severe accountability.

Épuration (purge) of collaborators:

- **Summary executions**: Approximately 10,000-10,500 (during liberation chaos)
    - Accused collaborators shot without trial
    - Some justified (Gestapo collaborators), some score-settling
- **Legal trials**: 40,000 imprisoned, 7,000 death sentences (approximately 800 executed)
- **Professional sanctions**: Purging civil service, judiciary
- ***Femmes tondues***: Women accused of sleeping with Germans having heads shaved publicly

Business elite treatment:

- **Louis Renault**: Arrested September 1944
    - Died in prison: October 24, 1944 (possibly murdered, officially stroke)
    - Renault company nationalized as punishment
- **Most industrialists**: Avoiding serious punishment
    - Peugeot family: Claiming workers sabotaged for Germans (partially true)
    - Michelin: Continuing operations, no serious sanctions
    - Schneider: Similarly escaping major accountability
- **Assessment**: Selective prosecution—some scapegoats (Renault), most continuing

Nationalizations (1944-1946):

- **Rationale**:
    - Punishing collaboration (Renault)
    - Economic reconstruction needs
    - Popular demand for change
    - Communist pressure (PCF in government)
- **Industries nationalized**:
    - **Renault** (1945): Seized for collaboration
    - **Coal mines** (1945-1946): Charbonnages de France
        - Previously: Fragmented private ownership
        - Rationale: Strategic resource, reconstruction priority
    - **Gas and electricity** (1946): EDF (Électricité de France), GDF (Gaz de France)
    - **Major banks**:
        - Crédit Lyonnais, Société Générale, BNP, others nationalized
        - But: Many private banks remaining (Rothschild, etc.)
    - **Air France** (1945): National airline
    - **Railways** (1937-1938, confirmed 1946): Already partially nationalized, completed

Extent of nationalizations:

- **Approximately 20% of industrial workforce** in nationalized firms by 1950
- **But**: Most industry remaining private
    - Peugeot, Citroën (automobiles): Private
    - Michelin (tires): Private
    - Dassault (aviation): Private
    - Steel (De Wendel, Usinor): Private until 1980s
    - Chemicals: Mostly private

Management of nationalized firms:

- **Key pattern**: Managers largely from same elite backgrounds
    - **ENA graduates**: Dominating management of nationalized firms
    - **Polytechniciens**: Running industrial nationalized firms (coal, electricity, rail)
    - **Result**: Elite controlling state firms as previously controlled private firms
- **Example**: Nationalized banks managed by former private bankers or ENA graduates

F. Fourth Republic: Political Instability, Economic Planning (1946-1958)

The Fourth Republic (1946-1958) combined political instability (22 governments in 12 years) with economic success through indicative planning.

Constitutional structure:

- **Parliamentary system**: Proportional representation creating unstable coalitions
- **Weak executive**: Prime ministers unable to maintain majorities
- **Result**: Average government duration ~6 months

Tripartite coalition (1945-1947):

- **PCF-SFIO-MRP**: Communists, Socialists, Christian Democrats governing together
- **Social reforms**:
    - Social Security (1945): Universal health insurance, pensions
    - Minimum wage (1950): *SMIG* (later *SMIC*)
- **Collapse**: Communists expelled from government (May 1947) as Cold War begins

Commissariat Général du Plan:

- **Jean Monnet**: Creating planning agency (1946)
- **Indicative planning**:
    - Not Soviet command economy—setting targets, coordinating investment
    - Modernization priority: Steel, coal, cement, electricity, transport, agriculture
- **Monnet Plan** (1947-1953):
    - Infrastructure: Modernizing production capacity
    - Marshall Plan coordination: Distributing U.S. aid

    - Success: Industrial production exceeding prewar levels by 1951

Marshall Plan (1948-1952):

- **Aid total**: $2.3 billion to France
- **Purpose**: Reconstruction, anti-Communist stabilization
- **Effect**: Financing investment, imports of equipment
- **Political condition**: Excluding Communists from government (already done)

Colonial wars draining resources:

- **Indochina War** (1946-1954):
    - **Context**: Vietnamese independence movement (Ho Chi Minh) vs. French colonial restoration
    - **Costs**: 74,000 French Union troops killed, ~10 billion francs annually
    - **Vietnamese casualties**: 400,000-500,000 deaths (military and civilian)
    - **End**: Dien Bien Phu defeat (May 1954), Geneva Accords, French withdrawal
- **Algerian War** beginning (1954): Discussed below in Fifth Republic section

G. Fifth Republic and Gaullist Dirigisme (1958-1974)

General Charles de Gaulle returned to power during Algerian crisis, establishing strong presidential system and perfecting state-led capitalism.

May 1958 crisis and Fifth Republic:

- **Context**: Fourth Republic collapsing under Algerian War pressure
- **Army revolt**: Generals in Algeria threatening coup if government compromises
- **De Gaulle's return**: Called to prevent civil war, granted power to write constitution
- **Constitution of 1958**:
    - **Strong presidency**: President appointing PM, dissolving Assembly, referenda
    - **Weak parliament**: Government setting agenda
    - **Result**: Ending political instability but reducing democracy

Algerian War conclusion (1954-1962):

- **Context**: Algerian independence movement (FLN) vs. French determination to maintain Algeria
- **"Algeria is France"**: One million European settlers (*pieds-noirs*), Algeria officially part of France
- **War costs**:
    - French military: 25,000 dead, 65,000 wounded
    - **Algerian casualties**: 300,000-500,000+ deaths (estimates vary widely)
    - Torture: Systematic French use of torture
    - OAS terrorism: Settler/military extremists (Organisation armée secrète) opposing independence

- **Independence**: Evian Accords (March 1962), referendum approving
- **Aftermath**: 900,000 *pieds-noirs* fleeing to France, Harkis (Algerian collaborators) massacred

De Gaulle's economic policy:

- **Dirigisme perfected**: State directing capitalism through:
    - Industrial policy: Government supporting "national champions"
    - Planning: Indicative plans coordinating investment
    - Nationalized firms: EDF, coal, railways as strategic sectors
    - Credit allocation: State-owned banks directing finance
- **Franc fort**: Monetary stability priority
- **Nuclear weapons**: Force de frappe (1960) asserting independence
- **European integration**: EEC member but maintaining French sovereignty

Economic growth—"Trente Glorieuses" (1945-1975):

- **GDP growth**: Average 5%+ annually
- **Transformation**: Rural-agricultural → Urban-industrial → Service
- **Infrastructure**: Highways, nuclear power, TGV trains
- **Consumption**: Television, refrigerators, automobiles spreading
- **Standard of living**: Doubling during period

H. May 1968: Largest General Strike in French History

May 1968 witnessed the largest strike in French history—10 million workers, student protests, questioning of authority—yet system surviving with concessions.

Origins:

- **Student protests** (March-May): University crowding, authoritarianism, Vietnam War
- **Nanterre University**: Protests beginning, spreading to Sorbonne
- **Police violence** (May 3): Evacuating Sorbonne, beating students
- **Escalation**: Student barricades in Latin Quarter (May 10-11)

General strike (May 13-June):

- **Scale**: 10 million workers striking—largest in French history
    - Manufacturing: Renault, Peugeot, others occupied
    - Transport: Trains, buses, metro stopped
    - Services: Post office, banks
    - Some white-collar: Teachers, professionals
- **Factory occupations**: Workers occupying plants, some discussing workers' control
- **Character**: Wildcat strikes spreading faster than unions controlling

Demands:

- **Economic**: Wage increases, reduced hours, better conditions
- **Political**: Some discussing workers' control, challenging Gaullism
- **Cultural**: Questioning hierarchy, authority, traditional values

Grenelle Agreements (May 27):

- **Negotiations**: Government, employers, unions (CGT, CFDT)
- **Concessions**:
    - **Wage increases**: 35% minimum wage increase, 10% average
    - **Hours**: Movement toward reduced work week
    - **Union rights**: Strengthened workplace sections
- **Initial rejection**: Workers rejecting first agreement as insufficient, continuing strikes

De Gaulle's response:

- **Disappearance** (May 29): Flying secretly to Germany, ensuring military loyalty
- **Return and speech** (May 30): Dissolving Assembly, calling elections
- **Mass counter-demonstration**: 500,000 Gaullists marching to support government
- **Elections** (June): Gaullist landslide—conservative voters terrified by chaos

Strike end (June):

- **Gradual return**: Workers returning after Grenelle improvements, election results
- **De Gaulle surviving**: Unlike 1936 Popular Front, Gaullism containing May 1968

Legacy:

- **Real gains**: Wage increases, union strengthening (though temporary)
- **Cultural impact**: Questioning authority, traditional gender roles, hierarchy
- **But**: System surviving, capitalism unchallenged, de Gaulle continuing until 1969

I. Pompidou and Giscard: Late Gaullist Period (1969-1981)

De Gaulle's successors continued dirigisme while facing oil shocks ending postwar boom.

Georges Pompidou (President 1969-1974):

- **Background**: Former Rothschild Bank employee before becoming PM
- **Policy**: Continuing Gaullist dirigisme, industrial policy
- **Liberalization**: Some market reforms, encouraging concentration

First oil shock (1973):

- **Cause**: OPEC oil embargo, price quadrupling
- **Impact**: Ending high growth, beginning stagflation
- **Unemployment**: Rising from 3% toward 5-6%

Valéry Giscard d'Estaing (President 1974-1981):

- **Background**: Center-right, liberal economics orientation
- **Early reforms**:
    - Abortion legalized (Simone Veil law, 1975)
    - Voting age: Lowered to 18
    - Some education reforms
- **Economic crisis**: Oil shock, inflation, unemployment worsening
- **Austerity**: Budget restraint, fighting inflation
- **Growing unemployment**: Reaching 6-7% by 1981

The period 1969-1981 witnessed erosion of postwar boom conditions—growth slowing, unemployment rising, stagflation challenging Keynesian orthodoxy—setting stage for neoliberal turn in 1980s (Part 4).

II. LABOR SYSTEMS: FROM WARTIME MOBILIZATION TO POSTWAR GOLDEN AGE (1914-1980)

A. World War I: Total Mobilization and Women's Labor (1914-1918)

Total war mobilization transformed French labor markets, drawing women into industry and extracting maximum production.

Military conscription:

- **8.4 million men** mobilized 1914-1918
- **Workforce impact**: Removing primary-age male workers
- **Agriculture**: Older men, women, children maintaining production

Women in war industries:

- **Number**: 1.6 million women in war industries by 1918
    - Pre-war: Approximately 400,000 women in manufacturing
    - **Munitions**: 400,000+ women producing shells (***munitionnettes***)
- **Conditions**:
    - Hours: 10-12 hour days, 6 days weekly
    - Dangers: Explosives causing accidents, deaths
    - Chemicals: TNT yellowing skin (***canaries***)
    - Wages: Lower than men's pre-war wages for same work
- **Result**: Demonstrating women's capability but most losing industrial jobs after war

Colonial workers:

- **Recruited**: 225,000 colonial workers (North African, Indochinese, Chinese)
- **Work**: Factories, docks, construction, some military support
- **Conditions**: Poor, segregated housing, lower pay than French
- **Postwar**: Most returned, some staying creating first significant immigrant communities

Wages and living standards:

- **Nominal wages**: Rising during war
- **Real wages**: Declining due to inflation (50%+ wartime inflation)
- **Food**: Shortages, rationing, prices rising faster than wages
- **Result**: Workers suffering real wage decline despite wartime production demands

B. Interwar Period: Strikes, Depression, Popular Front (1919-1939)

Postwar strikes (1919-1920):

- **1919**: Massive strike wave
    - May Day: 500,000 demonstrating for 8-hour day
    - Metalworkers: 300,000 striking June-July
- **Demands**: 8-hour day, wage increases, recognition
- **Outcome**: Some gains (8-hour day legislation), but strikes broken

8-hour day achievement (1919):

- **Legislation**: Passed April 1919
- **Implementation**: Gradual, incomplete
- **Significance**: Major reduction from pre-war 10-12 hour standard

Depression unemployment (1930-1935):

- **Official unemployment**: 500,000-800,000 at peak (1935)
- **But**: Underestimating true distress
    - Part-time work: Many working reduced hours
    - Rural underemployment: Hidden unemployment
    - Women: Pressured to leave workforce, not counted
- **Real estimate**: 1-1.5 million significantly affected

Popular Front labor gains (1936):

- **40-hour week**: Established (though with exceptions allowing overtime)
- **Paid vacation**: 2 weeks annually—transforming workers' lives
    - First summer: Thousands of workers seeing ocean for first time
    - Cultural impact: Workers gaining leisure previously reserved for bourgeoisie
- **Collective bargaining**: Union recognition, sectoral agreements
- **Wage increases**: 7-15% immediate gains
- **Union membership**: Soaring to 4-5 million (1936-1937)

Popular Front collapse and retreat:

- **Hours**: 40-hour week weakened by exceptions (1938)
- **Wages**: Inflation eroding gains
- **Strikes defeated**: November 1938 strike wave crushed
- **Union membership**: Declining after 1938

C. Vichy Period: Labor Control and Forced Labor (1940-1944)

Vichy regime suppressed unions, sent workers to Germany, maintained production for German war economy.

Union suppression:

- **Unions dissolved**: CGT, other unions banned
- **Strikes prohibited**: Labor unrest criminalized
- **Labor Charter**: Vichy creating corporatist labor organization (never effectively implemented)

Service du Travail Obligatoire **(STO)—Forced labor**:

- **Purpose**: Sending French workers to Germany to replace German workers conscripted
- **Numbers**: Approximately 600,000-650,000 French sent to Germany (1942-1944)
- **Resistance**: Many evading STO, joining resistance
- **Conditions**: German factories, poor conditions, bombing danger
- **Return**: Most returning 1945 but approximately 40,000 dying

Collaboration and production:

- **Factories**: Producing for German war economy
- **Workers**: Compelled to work but some sabotaging
    - Peugeot: Workers sabotaging while management collaborating
    - Resistance: Strikes, slow-downs, sabotage
- **Wages**: Declining in real terms due to rationing, shortages

D. Liberation and Postwar Labor Strength (1944-1960)

Liberation triggered unprecedented worker power—nationalizations, union strength, Communist participation in government.

Union membership explosion:

- **CGT membership**: 5-6 million (1945-1947)—30-35% of workforce
    - Communist-dominated: PCF controlling CGT
- **Other unions**:
    - CFTC (Catholic): 500,000-800,000

    - FO (Force Ouvrière): Split from CGT (1947), anti-Communist, 500,000+

Wage gains:

- **1945-1947**: Real wages recovering to pre-war levels
- **1947-1960**: Continuing growth as economy expands
- **By 1960**: Real wages approximately 75% higher than 1938

Working hours:

- **40-hour week**: Maintained (with overtime)
- **Paid vacation**: Increasing from 2 weeks (1936) to 3 weeks (1956)

Labor relations system:

- **Works councils** (*comités d'entreprise*): Mandatory worker representation in firms (1945)
- **Collective bargaining**: Sectoral agreements covering most industries
- **Minimum wage** (*SMIG*): Established 1950, indexed to inflation

Strike rights:

- **Legal**: Right to strike protected (unlike pre-war)
- **Frequency**: Major strikes 1947-1948, then declining during postwar boom
- **1947-1948 strikes**: Massive strike waves broken by Socialist government
    - CGT calling strikes: Political (Cold War) motivations
    - Repression: Socialist PM Jules Moch deploying military, breaking strikes
    - Result: Weakening CGT, Cold War splitting labor movement

E. Trente Glorieuses: Golden Age for Workers (1945-1975)

The thirty years 1945-1975 represented the best period for French workers in capitalist history—full employment, rising wages, expanding welfare state.

Employment:

- **Unemployment**: 2-3% through 1960s-early 1970s
- **Full employment**: Labor shortage driving wage growth
- **Manufacturing jobs**: Expanding until 1970s
    - Automobiles: Renault, Peugeot, Citroën employing hundreds of thousands
    - Steel: Lorraine mills employing tens of thousands
    - Chemicals, machinery: Major employers

Wage growth:

- **Real wages**: **Doubling** 1950-1975
- **Minimum wage**: Indexed to inflation, rising faster during 1968-1970s

- **Household incomes**: Growing even faster as women's workforce participation increased

Working hours reduction:

- **40-hour week**: Standard (though overtime common)
- **Paid vacation**: Increasing from 2 weeks (1936) → 3 weeks (1956) → 4 weeks (1969)
- **Result**: By 1975, working 1,800-1,900 hours annually (vs. 2,300-2,500 in 1930s)

Social security expansion:

- **Health insurance**: Universal coverage (1945)
- **Pensions**: PAYG system providing generous retirement
- **Family allowances**: Payments for children
- **Unemployment insurance**: Established 1958, expanding
- **Result**: Comprehensive welfare state rivaling Scandinavia

Union strength maintenance:

- **Density**: 20-25% overall, higher in industry
- **Collective bargaining**: Covering most workers
- **Shop-floor power**: Works councils, union delegates
- **Political influence**: Close ties to parties (PCF-CGT, Socialists-CFDT)

May 1968 impact:

- **Wage gains**: 35% minimum wage increase, 10% average
- **Hours**: Some reduction
- **Union rights**: Strengthened workplace presence
- **Long-term**: Inspiring continued militancy through 1970s

F. Immigration and Guest Workers (1945-1974)

French employers recruited immigrant workers for low-wage jobs, creating racialized labor market hierarchy.

Postwar immigration:

- **Italian, Spanish**: Initial waves (1940s-1950s)
- **Portuguese**: Major influx 1960s-1970s
- **Maghrebi** (North African): Algerians, Moroccans, Tunisians from former colonies
- **Numbers**: By 1975, approximately 4 million immigrants (8% of population)

Occupational concentration:

- **Construction**: Heavy immigrant presence

- **Automobiles**: Immigrant workers on assembly lines
    - Renault Billancourt: Large immigrant workforce
    - **OS** (*ouvriers spécialisés*—specialized workers): Lowest-paid production jobs dominated by immigrants
- **Domestic service**: Immigrant women as cleaners
- **Agriculture**: Some immigrant workers in harvesting

Conditions:

- **Wages**: Lower than native workers for same work
- **Housing**: Poor—*bidonvilles* (shantytowns), dormitories, overcrowded apartments
- **Discrimination**: Systematic hiring, housing, social discrimination
- **Union ambivalence**: CGT theoretically supporting but practice varying

Immigration controls (1974):

- **Oil shock**: Government halting immigrant worker recruitment
- **Rationale**: Rising unemployment, political pressure
- **But**: Family reunification continuing, immigrant population growing

G. Emerging Labor Market Dualization (1970s)

Even during postwar boom, dualization emerging—secure permanent workers vs. precarious temporary workers.

Core workforce:

- **CDI** (*contrat à durée indéterminée*—permanent contracts): Strong protections
- **Unionized sectors**: Automobiles, steel, chemicals, public sector
- **Benefits**: Full social security, job security, collective bargaining

Peripheral workforce:

- **Temporary workers**: *Intérimaires* hired through agencies
- **Fixed-term contracts** (*CDD*): Short-term positions
- **Women**: Disproportionately in precarious work
- **Immigrants**: Concentrated in insecure positions

This dualization would accelerate dramatically after 1980 (Part 4).

III. CAPITAL AND RESOURCE CONTROL: NATIONALIZATIONS AND FAMILY CAPITALISM (1914-1980)

A. World War I: War Profiteering and Capital Consolidation (1914-1918)

War contractors accumulated enormous fortunes while financing produced massive public debt enriching bondholders.

War profiteering examples:

- **André Citroën**:
    - Pre-war: Automobile manufacturer
    - Wartime: Converting to munitions, producing 55,000 shells daily
    - Profits: Tens of millions of francs
    - Postwar: Using profits to found Citroën automobile company (1919)
- **Schneider**:
    - Armaments manufacturer earning enormous profits supplying French military
    - Production: Heavy artillery, armor, ammunition
    - By 1918: One of France's wealthiest industrial families
- **Michelin**:
    - Tire production for military vehicles
    - Expanding workforce, facilities
    - Postwar: Dominant position in French tire market

Banking and finance:

- **War bonds**: Government issuing approximately 100 billion francs in domestic bonds
    - Wealthy French buying bonds, earning interest
    - Small savers also buying
- **Allied lending**: Britain, U.S. lending to France
- **Inflation**: Benefiting debtors (including government), hurting creditors
    - But bonds denominated in francs gaining nominal value even as franc declined

Industrial concentration:

- **Mergers**: War accelerating concentration—larger firms absorbing smaller
- **Cartels**: Price-fixing agreements in steel, coal, chemicals

B. Interwar Period: Franc Crisis and Depression (1919-1939)

1920s inflation and stabilization:

- **Inflation winners**: Debtors, including many bourgeois industrialists who borrowed

- **Inflation losers**: Bondholders, rentiers, savers
    - "Rentier euthanasia": Keynes's term—inflation eroding fixed-income wealth
- **1926 stabilization**: Poincaré fixing franc at 1/5 prewar gold value
    - **Effect**: Confirming 80% devaluation
        - Bondholders losing 80% in real terms
        - Property owners, industrial capital maintaining value better

Banking dynasties persisting:

- **Rothschild**: Maintaining position despite war, inflation
- **Hottinguer, Mallet**: Similarly continuing
- **But**: Relative decline as deposit banks grew

Depression impact on capital:

- **Corporate failures**: Some firms bankrupted
- **Stock market crash**: Equity values declining
- **Banking**: Some problems but no systemic crisis (unlike U.S.)
- **Result**: Depression painful but elite largely surviving

Popular Front and capital flight:

- **Capital strike**: Bourgeoisie refusing to invest, moving money abroad
- **Gold hoarding**: Wealthy buying gold, hoarding
- **Franc pressure**: Currency declining due to capital flight
- **Result**: Elite using financial power to undermine Popular Front

C. Vichy Period: Aryanization and Business Collaboration (1940-1944)

Jewish property theft:

- **Aryanization**: Requiring non-Jewish administrators for Jewish businesses
    - Approximately 50,000 businesses affected
    - Many sold to non-Jewish buyers at below-market prices
- **Buyers**: French businessmen purchasing confiscated property
    - Some large firms, some small shopkeepers
- **Art theft**: Famous collections stolen, some never recovered
- **Postwar restitution**: Limited—many buyers retaining property

Business collaboration with Germany:

- **Production for Germany**: French firms producing for German war economy
- **Examples** (covered above): Renault, Peugeot, Michelin, Schneider, coal, steel
- **Profits**: Firms earning from German contracts (though inflation, occupation reducing real value)
- **Rationale**: Protecting assets, maintaining operations, profit

Capital controls and occupation:

- **German requisitions**: Germany taking French resources
- **Occupation costs**: France forced to pay enormous occupation costs (400 million francs daily)
    - Equivalent to approximately 60% of French national income
    - Extracting wealth from France to Germany
- **Result**: French capital depleted by occupation

D. Liberation Nationalizations: Extent and Limits (1944-1946)

Nationalizations seized major firms but most industry remained private, and management remained elite-dominated.

Industries nationalized:

1. **Renault** (1945): Punishment for collaboration
    - Louis Renault dying in prison
    - State taking ownership
    - Management: ENA graduates, engineers
2. **Coal** (1945-1946): Charbonnages de France
    - All major coal mines nationalized
    - Approximately 340,000 miners
    - Management: Mining engineers, administrators
3. **Gas and electricity** (1946): EDF, GDF
    - Universal nationalization of production, distribution
    - EDF employment: Eventually 120,000+
    - Management: Polytechniciens (engineering elite)
4. **Banks**:
    - Crédit Lyonnais, Société Générale, BNP, BNCI (later BNP Paribas)
    - But: Rothschild, Lazard, many private banks remaining
    - Management: ENA graduates, former private bankers
5. **Air France** (1945): National airline
6. **Railways**: SNCF (previously 1938, confirmed 1946)

What remained private:

- **Automobiles**: Peugeot, Citroën remaining private (Renault only nationalized)
- **Steel**: De Wendel, Usinor, others remaining private until 1980s
- **Chemicals**: Rhône-Poulenc, others private
- **Tires**: Michelin private
- **Aviation**: Dassault private
- **Most industry**: Remaining private ownership

Quantitative extent:

- **Approximately 20% of industrial employment** in nationalized firms by 1950
- **80%** remaining private sector

Management of nationalized firms:

- **Key pattern**: Managers from same elite educational institutions
    - **ENA** (*École Nationale d'Administration*): Founded 1945, producing administrative elite
        - ENA graduates (*énarques*) managing nationalized banks, ministries
    - **École Polytechnique**: Technical elite managing EDF, coal, railways
    - **HEC** (*École des Hautes Études Commerciales*): Business elite
- **Result**: "Public" firms managed by same class as private firms
    - Nationalizations transferred ownership to state but not to workers
    - Management remained elite-controlled

E. Postwar Reconstruction and Family Capitalism Continuity (1945-1960)

Existing family dynasties maintained control while growing rapidly during reconstruction boom.

Automobile dynasties:

Peugeot family:

- **Wartime**: Accusations of collaboration but claiming worker sabotage protected company
- **Postwar**: Expanding rapidly
    - Production: Growing from 50,000 cars (1950) to 350,000+ (1960)
    - Workforce: Tens of thousands
- **Family control**: Maintaining ownership across generations
- **Wealth**: Family fortune growing to hundreds of millions, then billions (later)

Citroën:

- **Wartime**: André Citroën died 1935, company had been acquired by Michelin (1934)
- **Postwar**: Michelin-controlled Citroën expanding
    - Innovative designs: 2CV (1948), DS (1955)
    - Production: Growing rapidly
- **1974**: Peugeot acquiring Citroën (discussed Part 4)

Michelin family:

- **Wartime**: Collaboration accusations but avoiding serious consequences
- **Postwar**: Dominating French tire market
    - Production: Expanding as automobile ownership exploded
    - Workforce: Growing to tens of thousands
    - Clermont-Ferrand: Company town dominated by Michelin
- **Family control**: François Michelin (1926-2015) controlling company 1955-1999

- **Wealth**: Family fortune in hundreds of millions, then billions

Steel dynasties:

De Wendel family:

- **Wartime**: Operating under German control in occupied Lorraine
- **Postwar**: Maintaining ownership of Lorraine steel mills
    - Production: Expanding during reconstruction
    - By 1960: Still major steel producer
- **Nationalization**: Not until 1978-1982 (discussed Part 4)

Schneider:

- **Wartime**: Collaboration
- **Postwar**: Continuing in steel, armaments, machinery
- **Family control**: Maintaining until 1960s, then transitioning to corporate management

Banking dynasties:

Rothschild:

- **David René de Rothschild** (1942-): Continuing family bank
- **Remaining private**: While major banks nationalized, Rothschild remained private
- **Activities**: Mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance, private banking
- **Wealth**: Family maintaining enormous fortune

Lazard:

- **Lazard Frères**: Remaining private, growing as M&A adviser
- **André Meyer**: Building Lazard into major investment bank

New fortunes emerging:

Liliane Bettencourt (L'Oréal):

- **Eugène Schueller** (1881-1957): Founding L'Oréal (1909)
    - Wartime: Vichy sympathizer, possible collaboration
- **Liliane Bettencourt** (1922-2017): Inheriting fortune
    - By 1980: Family controlling L'Oréal, worth hundreds of millions
    - Later: Becoming France's wealthiest woman (discussed Part 4)

Serge Dassault (aviation/arms):

- **Marcel Dassault** (1892-1986): Aviation industrialist
    - Wartime: Jewish, imprisoned in concentration camp
    - Postwar: Rebuilding Dassault Aviation

    - Mirage fighters: Major French military aircraft
- **Growing wealth**: Dassault fortune growing through arms sales
- **Family succession**: Serge Dassault inheriting (discussed Part 4)

F. Economic Planning and State-Business Integration (1946-1980)

French *dirigisme* created systematic integration of state and business through planning, credit allocation, national champions policy.

Commissariat Général du Plan:

- **Function**: Coordinating public and private investment
- **Plans**:
    - First Plan (Monnet, 1947-1953): Reconstruction priorities
    - Subsequent plans: Setting growth targets, investment priorities
- **Method**: "Indicative planning"
    - Not command economy: Negotiation with business
    - Credit allocation: State banks financing priority sectors
    - Subsidies: Direct support for key industries

National champions policy:

- **Philosophy**: Creating large French firms competing internationally
- **Methods**:
    - Encouraging mergers: Government pressuring firms to consolidate
    - R&D support: Financing research
    - Procurement: Government contracts supporting national firms
    - Export support: Credits, guarantees for exports
- **Sectors**: Steel, automobiles, aerospace, nuclear, computing, telecommunications

Examples:

- **Aerospace**:
    - Dassault: Private firm receiving massive government contracts for military aircraft
    - Aérospatiale: State-owned firm (helicopters, satellites, Airbus participation)
- **Nuclear**: EDF building nuclear plants, Framatome (later Areva) building reactors
- **Computers**: Bull supported as national champion (ultimately unsuccessful vs. IBM)
- **Telecommunications**: Thomson, Alcatel receiving state support

Credit allocation:

- **Nationalized banks**: Directed to lend to priority sectors
- ***Trésor*** (Treasury): Controlling credit allocation
- **Result**: State directing investment through financial system

G. Wealth Concentration Declining But Elite Maintaining Absolute Wealth (1945-1980)

Postwar boom created wealth compression—top 10% share declining—but rapid growth meant elite absolute wealth often increased.

Wealth concentration trends:

- **Top 10% wealth share**:
  - 1945: ~65-70% (post-war destruction, inflation reducing concentration)
  - 1950: ~60-65%
  - 1960: ~58-60%
  - 1970: ~55-58%
  - 1980: ~50-55%
- **Top 1% wealth share**:
  - 1945: ~45-50%
  - 1950: ~35-40%
  - 1960: ~28-30%
  - 1970: ~23-25%
  - 1980: ~20-22%

Significant compression: Top shares declining substantially 1945-1980.

But elite absolute wealth often grew:

- **Total wealth**: National wealth (real estate, capital) growing rapidly
  - GDP tripling in real terms 1950-1980
  - Total wealth more than tripling
- **Elite absolute wealth**: Even declining share of growing pie often meant growth
  - Example: 1% owning 40% of 100 billion (40 billion) declining to 20% of 400 billion (80 billion)—share halved but absolute doubled

Sources of wealth:

- **Business ownership**: Peugeot, Michelin, others growing rapidly
- **Real estate**: Property values increasing, especially Paris
- **Financial assets**: Stocks, bonds appreciating
- **Inheritance**: Wealth passing across generations

Mechanisms of compression (why did concentration decline?):

1. **Inflation**: Eroding fixed-income wealth (bonds)
2. **Progressive taxation**: Higher top marginal rates (up to 60-65%)
3. **Inheritance taxes**: Reducing intergenerational transmission (though many exemptions)
4. **Wage growth**: Workers' incomes growing rapidly
5. **Homeownership**: Expanding to middle class

6. **Nationalization**: Expropriating some capital (though compensated)

H. Colonial Extraction Ending (But Not Voluntarily) (1945-1962)

France attempted maintaining empire through brutal wars but ultimately forced to decolonize, losing direct extraction sources.

Indochina War costs and failure:

- **French investment**: ~10 billion francs annually (1946-1954)
- **Result**: Defeat, withdrawal—no longer extracting from Indochina
- **Vietnamese control**: Former colony achieving independence

Algeria—most profitable, most brutal:

- **Pre-war extraction**:
  - European settlers: 900,000 *pieds-noirs* controlling best land
  - Wine: Major export to France
  - Oil: Saharan oil discovered 1956
- **War costs**:
  - French military spending: ~10-15% of government budget
  - Economic disruption: Capital flight, instability
- **Independence** (1962): Ending direct extraction
  - *Pieds-noirs*: Fleeing to France
  - Properties: Nationalized by Algerian government
  - But: Oil agreements partially maintaining French access

Sub-Saharan Africa—"Françafrique":

- **Formal independence**: 1960 granting independence to French West/Equatorial Africa
- **But**: Neo-colonial relationships:
  - CFA franc: Common currency controlled by France
  - Military bases: French troops in many countries
  - Business ties: French companies maintaining privileged access
  - Political interference: French supporting/deposing African leaders
- **Extraction continuing**: Resources (oil, uranium, others) flowing to France
  - But: Less direct, more negotiated than colonial period

Net impact: Direct colonial extraction declining substantially but not eliminated.

IV. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS: EXPANSION OF WORKER RIGHTS (1914-1980)

A. World War I and Interwar Labor Law

8-hour day (1919):

- **Legislation**: April 23, 1919 law establishing 8-hour day, 48-hour week
- **Context**: Postwar strike wave, international labor conventions
- **Implementation**: Gradual, some sectors exempt
- **Significance**: Major reduction from pre-war 10-12 hour standard

Collective bargaining (1919-1936):

- **Limited**: No legal framework for systematic bargaining until Popular Front
- **Matignon Accords** (1936): Establishing collective bargaining framework
    - Sectoral agreements: Negotiated between employer associations, unions
    - Extension: Government extending agreements to entire sectors
    - **Significance**: Creating systematic labor relations, continuing to present

40-hour week (1936):

- **Popular Front**: Establishing 40-hour work week
- **Implementation**: Gradual, many exceptions
- **Weakening**: 1938 reforms allowing extensive overtime
- **But**: Principle established

Paid vacation (1936):

- **Two weeks**: Annual paid vacation for all workers
- **Revolutionary**: Workers previously had no guaranteed time off
- **Impact**: Transforming workers' lives—leisure previously bourgeois monopoly
- **Lasting**: Continuing and expanding to present

B. Liberation and Fourth Republic: Social Security and Labor Rights (1944-1958)

Social Security (1945):

- **October 4, 1945**: Ordinances creating Social Security system
- **Coverage**:
    - **Health insurance**: Universal coverage
    - **Old-age pensions**: PAYG (pay-as-you-go) system
    - **Family allowances**: Payments for children
    - **Work injury**: Compensation for workplace accidents
- **Financing**: Employer and employee contributions
- **Administration**: Jointly managed boards (employers, employees, government)
- **Significance**: Creating comprehensive welfare state

Minimum wage (*SMIG*) (1950):

- **Établissement**: February 11, 1950
- **Indexation**: Tied to inflation, rising automatically
- **Coverage**: All workers guaranteed minimum
- **Later**: Renamed *SMIC* (1970), strengthened

Works councils (*comités d'entreprise*) (1945):

- **Mandatory**: Firms over 50 employees required to have works council
- **Functions**:
    - Information: Management required to inform about firm's situation
    - Consultation: Major decisions require consultation
    - Social activities: Managing canteens, vacation facilities
- **Significance**: Institutionalizing worker voice

Collective bargaining expansion:

- **1950 law**: Strengthening collective bargaining framework
- **Extension system**: Government extending sectoral agreements to all firms
- **Result**: Most workers covered by collective agreements even if not unionized

C. Fifth Republic: Consolidation and May 1968 Gains (1958-1980)

Strike right constitutionalized:

- **Constitution of 1946** (continued by Fifth Republic): Right to strike constitutionally protected
- **Limits**: Public service, essential services having some restrictions
- **Generally**: Strong strike rights compared to many countries

Dismissal protection:

- **Gradual strengthening**: Regulations requiring cause for dismissal
- **1973**: Strengthened protections for permanent workers (*CDI*)
- **Procedures**: Advanced notice, consultations, severance requirements
- **Result**: Strong job security for permanent workers (creating incentive for temporary contracts)

May 1968 and Grenelle:

- **Minimum wage**: 35% increase
- **Union rights**: Strengthened workplace union sections (*section syndicale*)
- **Hours**: Movement toward reduced hours (though not immediate)

Fourth week of vacation (1969):

- **Expanding**: From 3 weeks (1956) to 4 weeks (1969)
- **France**: Among most generous in world

Fifth week (1982):

- **Mitterrand**: First Socialist government adding fifth week (discussed Part 4)
- **Total**: Five weeks paid vacation—among world's most

D. Constitutional Structure of Fifth Republic

Strong presidency:

- **Powers**: Appointing PM, dissolving Assembly, referenda, emergency powers (Article 16)
- **Direct election**: From 1962, president directly elected (7-year term, reduced to 5 years in 2000)
- **Result**: Executive dominance over parliament

Weak legislature:

- **National Assembly**: Primary legislative body
- **Senate**: Conservative upper house
- **Government control**: Executive controlling legislative agenda (Article 49-3 allowing government to pass bills without vote)
- **Result**: Limited parliamentary power

Constitutional Council:

- **Created**: 1958 to review legislation constitutionality
- **Expansion**: Gradually becoming more active judicial review
- **Effect**: Some constitutional limits on legislation

V. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: RESISTANCE MYTH, DIRIGISME, SOCIAL MODEL (1914-1980)

A. World War I Memory: *Poilus* and Sacrifice

WWI created French memory emphasizing universal sacrifice, downplaying class differences in war's impacts.

***Poilus* (soldiers)**:

- **Narrative**: French soldiers as heroic defenders
- **Suffering**: Emphasizing trenches, mud, death—universal suffering

- **Unity**: "Sacred Union" transcending class
- **Reality obscured**: Officers vs. enlisted, war profiteers vs. workers

Victory and sacrifice:

- **"War to end all wars"**: Claiming sacrifice preventing future conflict (obviously false)
- **Veterans**: 4+ million disabled veterans visible reminder
- **Memorials**: War memorials in every town, village

Suppressed narratives:

- **Mutinies** (1917): 40,000+ soldiers refusing orders
    - 550 death sentences, 49 executed
    - Memory suppressed until recently
- **Profiteering**: Vast fortunes made while soldiers died
- **Women's exploitation**: Munitions workers poorly paid, then dismissed

B. Resistance Myth and Vichy Denial (1944-1960s)

De Gaulle created myth that "France" resisted while Vichy was aberration, obscuring massive collaboration.

Gaullist narrative:

- **"France was not defeated"**: De Gaulle claiming Free French represented continuity
- **Resistance universalized**: "All of France resisted"
- **Vichy illegitimate**: Portrayed as German imposition, not reflecting "real France"
- **Liberation myth**: French liberating themselves (minimizing Allied role)

Functions:

- **National unity**: Avoiding reckoning with collaboration's extent
- **De Gaulle's legitimacy**: Resistance leader claiming authority to rule
- **Forgetting**: Most French accommodated, many collaborated

Reality suppressed:

- **Vichy support**: Many French supporting Pétain, especially initially
- **Business collaboration**: Extensive corporate collaboration for profit
- **Anti-Semitism**: Vichy French participating in Holocaust
- **Limited resistance**: Resistance small until 1943-1944

Myth's unraveling:

- **Paxton's Vichy France** (1972): Historian exposing collaboration's extent
- **Documentary**: *Le Chagrin et la Pitié* (1969) showing collaboration

- **1990s-2000s**: France gradually acknowledging Vichy crimes (Chirac speech 1995)

C. Dirigisme as French Model (1945-1980)

French state-led capitalism presented as distinctive alternative to Anglo-American laissez-faire and Soviet planning.

Narrative elements:

- **Planning**: Portraying indicative planning as rational coordination avoiding market chaos
- **National champions**: State creating globally competitive French firms
- **Public service** (*service public*): Nationalized utilities providing universal access
- **Infrastructure**: TGV trains, nuclear power, highways showcasing state capacity
- **Technocratic efficiency**: ENA-educated elite managing economy better than markets

Contrasts constructed:

- **vs. U.S./UK**: Presenting dirigisme as avoiding American inequality, British decline
- **vs. USSR**: Maintaining markets, private property unlike Soviet command economy
- **French genius**: Claiming unique French capacity for state-business partnership

Reality obscured:

- **Elite continuity**: Same families, classes controlling public and private firms
- **Worker exclusion**: Nationalized firms managed by elite, not workers
- **Inequality**: Wealth concentration declining but still high
- **Environmental costs**: Nuclear, industrial expansion's environmental damage ignored

D. *Trente Glorieuses* as Progress Narrative (1945-1975)

Postwar boom created narrative of inevitable progress obscuring class dimensions.

Progress proclaimed:

- **Economic growth**: 5%+ annually presented as natural, permanent
- **Consumption**: Television, cars, appliances improving everyone's lives
- **Modernization**: Rural-urban transformation as inevitable modernization
- **Social mobility**: Grandes écoles opening to talent (though actually class-biased)

Class dimensions hidden:

- **Worker gains**: Real but presented as natural progress rather than fought victories
- **Elite wealth**: Growing even faster but less discussed
- **Inequality**: Declining concentration but still enormous top wealth ignored

Collapse of narrative:

- **1973 oil shock**: Ending high growth, exposing boom's temporary nature
- **Unemployment**: Rising after 30 years of full employment
- **Stagflation**: Growth + inflation challenging Keynesian orthodoxy

E. Social Model (*modèle social français*)

French developed pride in comprehensive welfare state, strong labor protections.

Elements celebrated:

- **Social Security**: Universal healthcare, pensions
- **Labor protections**: Strong dismissal protections, collective bargaining
- **Vacation**: Four weeks (later five) as civilizational achievement
- **Public services**: Quality education, healthcare, transport
- **Work-life balance**: Contrasting with American overwork

Function:

- **National identity**: French social model as superior to Anglo-American capitalism
- **Legitimation**: Justifying high taxes, regulations
- **Class compromise**: Workers accepting capitalism for welfare state

Reality:

- **Real gains**: Social model represented real worker achievements
- **But**: Capitalism continuing—ownership concentration, profit extraction
- **Vulnerability**: Gains dependent on growth, full employment, balance of forces
- **After 1980**: Social model attacked, weakened (Part 4)

VI. WEALTH EXTRACTION MECHANISMS: PROFITS, COLONIAL WARS, DIRIGISME (1914-1980)

A. World War I Profiteering and Inflation (1914-1918)

War created enormous profits for military suppliers while inflation eroded workers' real wages.

Munitions profits:

- **Citroën**: Earning tens of millions from shell production
- **Schneider**: Massive armaments profits
- **Other suppliers**: Companies producing uniforms, food, equipment profiting

Banking and war bonds:

- **War bonds**: Government issuing ~100 billion francs
- **Interest**: Bondholders earning returns
- **Inflation**: Reducing real value but nominal gains

Inflation transfer:

- **Real wage decline**: Workers' purchasing power falling 20-30%
- **Bondholder losses**: Real value of bonds declining
- **Property owners**: Real estate, capital maintaining value better
- **Result**: Transferring wealth from workers, small savers to property owners, industrialists

B. Interwar Extraction: Franc Devaluation and Depression (1918-1939)

1920s inflation and stabilization:

- **Inflation beneficiaries**: Debtors (including industrialists who borrowed)
- **Inflation victims**: Bondholders, rentiers losing 80% of purchasing power
- **1926 stabilization**: Confirming devaluation—transferring ~80 billion francs from creditors to debtors

Depression:

- **Unemployment**: 500,000-800,000 unemployed suffering
- **Wage cuts**: Real wages declining 10-15%
- **Elite**: Some firms failing but generally surviving

Popular Front concessions:

- **Wage increases**: 7-15% raising labor costs
- **Reduced hours**: 40-hour week limiting production
- **Result**: Temporary redistribution to workers but elite regaining ground by 1938

C. World War II: German Extraction and Aryanization (1940-1944)

Occupation costs:

- **Germany demanding**: 400 million francs daily
- **Total**: Perhaps 60% of French national income extracted
- **Result**: Massive wealth transfer from France to Germany

Aryanization profits:

- **Jewish businesses**: French buyers purchasing at below-market prices
- **Total value**: Billions of francs in property changing hands
- **Retention**: Many buyers keeping property postwar

Collaboration profits:

- **Renault**: Producing 34,000 trucks for Germany
- **Other firms**: Earning from German contracts
- **But**: Inflation, defeat reducing real value of profits

D. Postwar Boom: Growth Enabling Labor and Capital Gains (1945-1975)

The exceptional circumstance—rapid growth enabling redistribution without absolute elite losses—distinguishing this period.

Economic growth:

- **GDP**: Tripling in real terms 1950-1975
- **Productivity**: Doubling per worker
- **Result**: Total output growing fast enough that workers gaining without capital losing absolutely

Labor share of national income:

- **1938**: ~60% of national income to labor compensation
- **1950**: ~62%
- **1970**: ~67%
- **1980s peak**: ~68%
- **Significant increase**: 8 percentage points of GDP redistributed from capital to labor

But capital profits still strong:

- **Corporate profits**: Growing rapidly in absolute terms
- **Example**: Capital share declining from 40% to 32% of national income
    - But: 32% of much larger total often exceeding 40% of smaller base
- **Elite wealth**: Absolute elite wealth growing despite declining concentration

Sources of elite wealth growth:

- **Business expansion**: Peugeot, Michelin, others growing rapidly
    - Revenues multiplying 5-10x during boom
    - Profits similarly multiplying
- **Real estate**: Property values tripling-quadrupling in real terms
    - Paris property: Major wealth source
- **Financial assets**: Stock market appreciating
- **Result**: Elite families' wealth growing in absolute terms despite declining share

E. Colonial Extraction Costs (1945-1962)

Colonial wars cost France enormous resources while ultimately failing to maintain extraction.

Indochina War (1946-1954):

- **French spending**: ~10 billion francs annually, total ~100 billion
- **Result**: Defeat, withdrawal
- **Net**: Enormous cost, no continuing extraction

Algerian War (1954-1962):

- **Military spending**: 10-15% of government budget (1954-1962)
- **Total**: Perhaps 500 billion francs
- **Economic disruption**: Capital flight, instability
- **Result**: Independence, ending direct extraction
- **Net**: Catastrophic costs for maintaining empire

Assessment: Colonial wars drained French treasury while ultimately losing colonies—failed extraction.

F. Real Estate Appreciation (1945-1980)

Property ownership became major wealth source as French urbanized and rebuilt.

Paris property:

- **Appreciation**: Real values tripling-quadrupling 1950-1980
- **Mechanisms**:
    - Urbanization: Population shifting to cities
    - Income growth: Rising incomes enabling higher prices
    - Supply constraints: Historic preservation, regulations limiting supply
- **Owners**: Wealthy families owning Parisian apartments, buildings seeing enormous appreciation

Provincial property:

- **Urban areas**: Similarly appreciating though less than Paris
- **Rural**: Stagnant or declining

Homeownership expansion:

- **Rate**: Increasing from ~40% (1950) to ~50% (1980)
- **Middle class**: Buying homes, accumulating wealth
- **But**: Elite owning most valuable properties

G. Financial Services Expansion

Banking and insurance growing rapidly as economy expanded and financialized.

Deposit banks:

- **Crédit Agricole**: Growing from agricultural bank to general retail bank
    - Assets: Tens of billions of francs by 1980
- **BNP, Société Générale**: Despite nationalization, growing rapidly
- **Profits**: Billions annually by 1970s-1980s

Insurance:

- **AXA, others**: Insurance companies growing as French became wealthier
- **Assets**: Managing tens of billions

Investment banking:

- **Rothschild, Lazard**: Private banks remaining profitable
- **M&A fees**: Advising on mergers, acquisitions
- **Corporate finance**: Fees from issuance, lending

VII. INFORMATION AND EDUCATION CONTROL: MEDIA, GRANDES ÉCOLES, STATE BROADCASTING (1914-1980)

A. Interwar Press: Concentration and Political Control

Press expansion:

- **Mass circulation**: *Le Petit Parisien*, *Le Petit Journal* reaching millions
- **Political press**: Communist *L'Humanité*, Socialist *Le Populaire*, right-wing papers

Ownership concentration:

- **Wealthy owners**: Industrialists, financiers owning major papers
- **Subsidies**: Some foreign governments subsidizing French papers

Vichy censorship:

- **Suppression**: Closing opposition papers
- **Collaboration**: Remaining papers collaborating
- **Underground**: Resistance papers illegally published

B. Liberation and State Broadcasting (1944-1970s)

Radio-Télévision Française (later ORTF):

- **State monopoly**: Government controlling all radio and television until 1974
- **Programming**: News coverage reflecting official positions
- **Gaulle's use**: De Gaulle skillfully using television (speeches, press conferences)
- **Limited pluralism**: Opposition voices restricted though not eliminated

1974 ORTF breakup:

- **Giscard**: Splitting ORTF into competing public channels (TF1, Antenne 2, FR3)
- **But**: Still publicly owned, government influence continuing

Print press:

- **Le Monde**: Founded 1944, becoming reference newspaper
    - Initially: Close to government
    - Gradually: Developing more independence
- ***Libération***: Founded 1973 by Jean-Paul Sartre, leftist orientation
- **Regional press**: Thriving regional dailies

C. Grandes Écoles: Elite Reproduction System Perfected (1945-1980)

The *grandes écoles* system consolidated as primary elite reproduction mechanism, creating seemingly meritocratic but actually class-biased selection.

ENA (*École Nationale d'Administration*, 1945):

- **Purpose**: Training administrative elite
- **Entrance**: Competitive examination after university
- **Graduates** (*énarques*): Dominating ministries, nationalized firms, eventually private sector
- **Pantouflage**: ENA graduates leaving civil service for lucrative corporate positions
    - Ministers becoming corporate board members
    - Treasury officials joining banks
    - Creating revolving door between state and capital
- **Social composition**:
    - Ostensibly: Meritocratic—anyone can take exam
    - Reality: Upper-middle and upper-class overwhelmingly dominating
    - Family background: ENA students disproportionately from professional, bourgeois families
- **Result**: Reproducing elite while appearing meritocratic

Polytechnique:

- **History**: Founded 1794, becoming elite engineering school
- **Military connection**: Initially military school, later civilian but retaining prestige
- **Graduates**: Dominating technical industries, administration
    - EDF, coal, railways: Managed by *polytechniciens*

    - Private industry: Many entering Schneider, others
- **Social composition**: Similarly class-biased despite ostensible meritocracy

HEC (*École des Hautes Études Commerciales*):

- **Purpose**: Training business elite
- **Graduates**: Leading major corporations
- **Social composition**: Heavily bourgeois

Sciences Po (*Institut d'Études Politiques*):

- **Founded**: 1872 as *École Libre des Sciences Politiques*
- **Purpose**: Training diplomatic, political, journalistic elite
- **Pathway**: Many Sciences Po students continuing to ENA
- **Social composition**: Upper-class dominated

Reproduction mechanism:

1. **Selection**: Exams ostensibly meritocratic
2. **But preparation**: Requiring expensive secondary education (lycée, prépa)
    - Classical languages, mathematics, literature
    - Working-class students disadvantaged
3. **Cultural capital**: Bourgeois culture valued in exams, interviews
4. **Networks**: Students forming lifelong elite networks
5. **Result**: Appearing meritocratic while reproducing class hierarchy

Quantitative evidence:

- **ENA students**: 50-60% from upper and upper-middle class families (top 20% income)
- **Polytechnique**: Similarly skewed
- **Working-class students**: Under 10% at elite schools despite being 60%+ of population

D. University Expansion and Devaluation (1960s-1980)

While elite attended *grandes écoles*, universities massified but became less valuable credential.

May 1968 and university reform:

- **Protests**: Students demanding democratization, participation
- **Edgar Faure reforms** (1968): Some decentralization, student participation

Expansion:

- **Enrollments**: Growing from 200,000 (1960) to 850,000 (1980)
- **Access**: More working and middle-class students attending
- **But**: University degrees declining in labor market value

    - Degree inflation: As more graduated, each degree worth less
    - Elite jobs: Going to *grandes écoles* graduates
- **Result**: University expansion while elite reproduction remained separate

E. Intellectuals and Cultural Production

Postwar intellectual dominance:

- **Existentialism**: Sartre, de Beauvoir, Camus dominating 1940s-1950s
- **Structuralism**: Lévi-Strauss, Lacan, Barthes (1950s-1960s)
- **Post-structuralism**: Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze (1960s-1970s)
- **Political engagement**: Many intellectuals supporting PCF, anticolonialism, May 1968

Cultural production:

- **Cinema**: New Wave (Godard, Truffaut, others)
- **Literature**: Continuing vibrant production
- **Philosophy**: Major global influence

But funding:

- **Universities**: State-funded positions
- **Publishing**: Commercial publishers
- **Patronage**: Some wealthy patronage of arts

VIII. RESISTANCE SUPPRESSION: COLONIAL WARS, STRIKE-BREAKING, MAY 1968 (1914-1980)

A. World War I: Strike Suppression and Mutiny Repression (1914-1918)

Wartime strike prohibition:

- **Sacred Union**: Labor agreeing to no strikes during war
- **Breaking strikes**: Some strikes occurring, rapidly suppressed
- **Military labor**: Some workers militarized, subject to military discipline

1917 mutinies:

- **Context**: After failed Nivelle offensive, soldiers refusing to attack
- **Scale**: 40,000+ soldiers involved across 68 divisions
- **Demands**: Better conditions, leave, end suicidal attacks
- **Repression**:

    - **Trials**: 3,427 convicted
    - **Death sentences**: 554 condemned (49 actually executed)
    - **Result**: Mutinies suppressed, but tactics changed (no more mass frontal assaults)

B. Interwar Strike Repression (1919-1939)

1919-1920 strikes broken:

- **Military deployment**: Troops used against strikers
- **Arrests**: Strike leaders imprisoned
- **Result**: Defeats despite militancy

Popular Front and factory occupations (1936):

- **No violence**: Unlike 1848 or 1871, no state violence against occupiers
- **Negotiation**: Government brokering agreements
- **Explanation**: Popular Front government sympathetic to labor

1938 strike wave repression:

- **Context**: Daladier government rolling back reforms
- **Response**: Military deployed, arrests
- **Result**: Strike defeated, Popular Front dead

C. Vichy Repression (1940-1944)

Union suppression:

- **Dissolved**: All unions banned
- **Strikes prohibited**: Labor unrest criminalized
- **Arrests**: Union leaders, Communists arrested
    - Some executed
    - Many deported to camps

Resistance repression:

- **German**: Gestapo torturing, executing resistance
- **Milice**: Vichy paramilitary collaborating in repression
- **Hostages**: Germans executing civilians as reprisals
- **Deportations**: Resisters sent to concentration camps

D. Colonial Violence: Indochina and Algeria (1945-1962)

French colonial wars killed hundreds of thousands while attempting to maintain empire.

Indochina War (1946-1954):

- **French methods**:
  - Aerial bombardment of villages
  - *Ratissage* (sweeps): Destroying villages suspected of supporting Viet Minh
  - Torture: Systematic use
- **Vietnamese casualties**: 400,000-500,000 deaths (combatants and civilians)
- **Civilian suffering**: Villages destroyed, populations displaced
- **Defeat**: Dien Bien Phu (May 1954), French withdrawal

Algerian War (1954-1962)—most brutal:

- **French methods**:
  - **Torture**: Systematic, institutionalized
    - *Gégène*: Field telephone used for electric torture
    - Water torture, beatings, rape
    - General Aussaresses later admitting: "We tortured because it was necessary"
  - **Summary executions**: *Corvée de bois* ("wood-gathering duty")—taking prisoners to remote areas, killing, claiming escape attempts
  - **Village destruction**: Entire villages destroyed if suspected of harboring FLN
  - **Resettlement camps**: 2+ million Algerians forcibly relocated to *regroupement* camps
  - **Aerial bombardment**: Napalm used on villages
- **Casualties**:
  - **Algerian deaths**: 300,000-500,000+ (estimates varying widely)
    - FLN fighters: 150,000-200,000
    - Civilians: 150,000-300,000+
  - **French military**: 25,000 killed
  - **European civilians**: 10,000-15,000 (OAS terrorism, FLN attacks)
- **OAS** (*Organisation armée secrète*): Settler/military extremists
  - Terrorism: Bombing, assassinations opposing independence
  - Targeting: Algerians, French supporters of independence
  - Failed coup attempts
- **Harkis**: Algerian collaborators
  - Fighting for France: 200,000+ serving
  - Post-independence: Massacred by FLN (estimates 50,000-150,000)
  - Abandoned by France: Most not evacuated

Scale of violence:

- **Total deaths**: Perhaps 700,000-1,000,000+ across both wars
- **Comparison**: More killed than all domestic French political violence 1789-1962

E. Domestic Strike-Breaking (1947-1948, 1968)

1947-1948 strike wave repression:

- **Context**: CGT calling major strikes, Cold War beginning
- **Communist inspiration**: Strikes had political (not just economic) motivations
- **Government response**:
    - **Socialist PM Jules Moch**: Deploying military against strikes
    - **Strikebreaking**: Troops running trains, protecting strikebreakers
    - **Arrests**: Leaders imprisoned
- **Result**: Strikes defeated, CGT weakened

May 1968:

- **Limited violence**: Compared to Algeria, relatively restrained
- **Police violence**: Brutal tactics against students
    - Latin Quarter battles: Police beating students, using tear gas extensively
    - Injuries: Hundreds injured
- **But no massacre**: Unlike June Days 1848 or Commune 1871
- **Explanation**:
    - De Gaulle's strategy: Avoiding martyrs, using elections instead
    - Workers not revolutionary: Grenelle concessions satisfying most
    - Military loyalty: De Gaulle ensuring army support

F. Surveillance and Intelligence

DST (*Direction de la Surveillance du Territoire*):

- **Domestic intelligence**: Monitoring Communists, leftists, independence movements
- **Extent**: Less pervasive than U.S. FBI or Soviet KGB but present

SDECE (later DGSE):

- **External intelligence**: Foreign intelligence service
- **Activities**: Counterespionage, covert action
- **Rainbow Warrior** (1985): Later scandal showing willingness for violence (discussed Part 4)

IX. ELITE NETWORK COORDINATION: PANTOUFLAGE, INTERLOCKING DIRECTORATES, FAMILY TIES (1914-1980)

A. Interwar Elite Networks: Banks and Industry

Banking-industry integration:

- **Interlocking directorates**: Same individuals on multiple corporate boards
- **Credit relationships**: Banks financing industry
- **Examples**:
    - Rothschild: Financing steel (De Wendel), coal, railways
    - Other banks: Similarly connected

Family networks:

- **Schneider-De Wendel**: Intermarriage among industrial elite families
- **Business associations**: Chambers of commerce, employers' federations coordinating interests

B. Vichy Period Elite Collaboration

Business networks under occupation:

- **Collaboration committees**: Businessmen coordinating with Germans
- **Continuation**: Elite relationships surviving occupation
- **Purges**: Limited—most business elite escaping serious punishment

C. Postwar Networks: Pantouflage and Grandes Écoles (1945-1980)

The postwar period perfected elite coordination through *grandes écoles* networks and *pantouflage* (revolving door).

Grandes écoles old-boy networks:

- **ENA**: Creating tight-knit elite
    - *Promotions* (graduating classes): Forming lasting bonds
    - Career paths: Helping each other into positions
    - Dominance: ENA graduates dominating ministries, nationalized firms, eventually private sector
- **Polytechnique**: Similarly creating technical elite network
- **HEC, Sciences Po**: Business and political elite networks

Pantouflage—revolving door:

- **Definition**: Civil servants leaving for private sector ("putting on slippers")
- **Mechanism**:
    - **ENA graduate**: Entering civil service (Treasury, Finance Ministry, ministries)
    - **Career**: 10-20 years in administration, rising to senior positions
    - **Exit**: Leaving for corporate board, CEO position, bank
    - **Wealth**: Earning multiples of civil service salary
- **Examples**:

    - **Georges Pompidou**: Rothschild Bank partner before becoming PM, President
    - **Valéry Giscard d'Estaing**: Finance inspector before political career
    - **Countless others**: ENA graduates moving to BNP, Paribas, industrial firms
- **Result**: Creating seamless integration of state and capital
    - Former Treasury officials: Managing banks, understanding financial regulation intimately
    - Former industry ministry officials: Joining firms they previously regulated

Corporate interlocking directorates:

- **CAC 40 boards**: Same 200-300 individuals dominating major French companies
- **Examples**:
    - Person serving on BNP board also on Renault, EDF boards
    - Interlocking: Creating coordinated elite
- **Quantification**: Studies showing small elite controlling French business

State-business coordination mechanisms:

1. **Planning commissions**: Bringing together state officials, business leaders
2. **Informal networks**: ENA classmates coordinating across public-private divide
3. **Grandes écoles associations**: Alumni networks
4. **Elite clubs**: *Siècle*, others bringing together business, political, media elite
5. **Family ties**: Intermarriage among elite families

D. Family Capitalism Persisting

Industrial dynasties maintaining control across generations.

Peugeot family:

- **Ownership**: Family controlling PSA Peugeot-Citroën across generations
- **Intermarriage**: Peugeot family members intermarrying with other industrial families
- **Wealth**: Family fortune growing to billions by 1980

Michelin family:

- **Control**: François Michelin managing company 1955-1999
- **Secrecy**: Family maintaining private ownership, avoiding disclosure
- **Dynastic**: Multiple Michelin family members in management

Dassault family:

- **Marcel**: Building aviation/arms empire
- **Serge**: Son inheriting control
- **Wealth**: Family becoming among France's wealthiest

Bettencourt (L'Oréal):

- **Liliane Bettencourt**: Inheriting from father Eugène Schueller
- **Control**: Family maintaining control of L'Oréal
- **Wealth**: By 1980, worth hundreds of millions (later billions)

E. Political-Economic Elite Integration

Gaullist networks:

- **De Gaulle's ministers**: Often from grandes écoles, business backgrounds
- **Example**: Pompidou (Rothschild) becoming PM then President

Left-Right consensus on dirigisme:

- **Common background**: Socialists, Gaullists often shared ENA education
- **Technocratic outlook**: Privileging expert management over ideology
- **Result**: Policy continuity across governments

X. COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT: WARS, STATE CAPITALISM, TEMPORARY WORKER GAINS (1914-1980)

Did Elite Break or Adapt? Adaptation Through State Capitalism

The period 1914-1980 witnessed catastrophic disruptions—two world wars, military defeat and occupation, decolonization, nationalizations—yet elite families largely maintained or reconstituted positions through state-led capitalism.

What changed:

1. **Nationalizations**: Approximately 20% of industry state-owned by 1950
2. **Welfare state**: Comprehensive social security, labor protections
3. **Union strength**: Peak 30-35% density, collective bargaining covering most
4. **Wealth compression**: Top 10% share declining from ~85% (1914) to ~50-55% (1980)
5. **Colonial empire lost**: Direct extraction from colonies ending

What persisted:

1. **Family dynasties**: Peugeot, Michelin, Dassault, Rothschild, others maintaining positions
2. **Elite education**: Grandes écoles reproducing elite across generations
3. **Capitalist relations**: Despite nationalizations, ownership remained concentrated
    - Nationalized firms: Managed by same elite class (ENA, Polytechnique graduates)

    - Private firms: Remaining under family or corporate elite control
4. **State-capital integration**: Pantouflage system creating revolving door
5. **Absolute elite wealth**: Often growing despite declining share due to rapid total wealth growth

Worker Gains: Real But Temporary

French workers 1945-1980 achieved best conditions in capitalist history:

- **Real wages**: Doubling
- **Hours**: Declining to 40 weekly, 4 weeks paid vacation
- **Job security**: Strong dismissal protections
- **Social insurance**: Universal healthcare, pensions, unemployment
- **Union density**: 20-30%
- **Political rights**: Universal suffrage, strike rights

But: These gains occurred during **exceptional circumstances**:

1. **Reconstruction**: Postwar rebuilding creating full employment
2. **High growth**: 5%+ annual GDP growth enabling redistribution without absolute elite losses
3. **Cold War**: Elite accommodating workers to prevent Communist revolution
4. **Labor shortage**: Full employment strengthening union bargaining power
5. **Natural gas windfall** (Netherlands comparison): France lacking major natural resource windfall but benefiting from general European boom

Gains were real but vulnerable:

- **Dependent on growth**: Redistribution easier when total growing rapidly
- **Dependent on employment**: Full employment giving workers leverage
- **Dependent on political balance**: CGT strength, Communist threat forcing elite accommodation
- **When conditions changed**: Gains would be reversed (Part 4: 1980-2025)

Mechanisms of Elite Adaptation

How did elite maintain power through wars, nationalizations, welfare state?

1. **Educational control**: Grandes écoles capturing "merit," reproducing elite
    - Nationalizations: Placing ENA graduates in management
    - Private firms: Similarly ENA/Polytechnique-managed
    - Result: Same class controlling public and private
2. **Pantouflage**: Revolving door ensuring state served capital
    - Treasury officials → Banks
    - Industry ministry → Industrial firms

    - Result: Regulation captured by regulated
3. **Family capitalism**: Dynasties maintaining ownership across nationalizations
    - Peugeot, Michelin, Dassault: Remaining private
    - Growing: Multiplying wealth 5-10x during boom
4. **Absolute wealth growth**: Even declining share of growing pie meant wealth growth
    - Elite absolute wealth often doubling-tripling 1950-1980
5. **Dirigisme as elite project**: State capitalism serving elite accumulation
    - National champions: Creating large firms controlled by elite
    - Planning: Coordinating investment benefiting capital
    - State contracts: Enriching Dassault, others

Colonial Wars: Failed Extraction Attempts

France spent enormous resources attempting to maintain empire:

- **Indochina**: ~100 billion francs, 74,000 French deaths, 400,000-500,000 Vietnamese deaths, ending in defeat
- **Algeria**: ~500 billion francs, 25,000 French deaths, 300,000-500,000 Algerian deaths, independence anyway
- **Total**: Perhaps 1+ million killed, enormous French expenditure, ultimate failure

This was not "extraction" but **failed extraction**—costs vastly exceeding any benefits. Unlike British India or Dutch East Indies where colonial extraction enriched metropole, France's late colonial wars drained resources while losing colonies.

Quantitative Summary

Wealth concentration:

- 1914: Top 10% ~85-90%, top 1% ~55-60%
- 1950: Top 10% ~60-65%, top 1% ~35-40%
- 1980: Top 10% ~50-55%, top 1% ~20-22%

Real compression: Unlike Netherlands where natural gas funded welfare without concentration declining, France experienced genuine compression 1914-1980.

But absolute elite wealth:

- National wealth: Quadrupling in real terms 1950-1980
- Elite absolute wealth: Often doubling-tripling despite declining share
- Example: 1% owning 35% of 100 = 35; 1% owning 20% of 400 = 80

Labor share of national income:

- 1938: ~60%
- 1980: ~68%

- **8 percentage point increase**: Significant redistribution to labor

Union density:

- 1938: 10-15%
- 1945-1950: 30-35%
- 1980: 20-25%
- Still strong compared to later (Part 4: declining to 11% by 2020)

Comparison to Other Cases

Netherlands (from reference study):

- **Natural gas windfall**: Funding welfare state without compression (top 1% maintaining ~25-30%)
- **France**: No comparable windfall, genuine compression occurred
- **But**: French elite maintaining absolute wealth growth

England (from reference study):

- **Similar pattern**: Postwar welfare state, nationalization, then neoliberal reversal
- **Elite continuity**: Similar Oxbridge networks to French grandes écoles

All cases: Worker gains 1945-1980 **temporary**, reversed when conditions changed.

The Fundamental Question: Why Did Gains Prove Temporary?

If workers achieved such gains, why didn't they consolidate and expand?

Structural limits:

1. **Ownership unchanged**: Despite nationalizations, elite controlled management
    - Workers didn't control nationalized firms
    - Managers from same elite class
2. **Capital mobility**: By 1970s-1980s, capital increasingly mobile
    - Threatening: Investment strike if reforms too threatening
3. **International competition**: 1970s oil shocks exposing French industry weakness
    - Competitiveness narrative: Justifying "reforms"
4. **Union fragmentation**: CGT, CFDT, FO competing, weakening labor
5. **Political limits**: Even Socialist governments accepting capitalist framework
    - Popular Front: Lasting 13 months
    - No fundamental property redistribution

Elite counterattack prepared:

- **1970s crisis**: Oil shocks, stagflation undermining Keynesian consensus

- **Unemployment rising**: Weakening union leverage
- **Neoliberal ideology**: Preparing intellectual justification for reversal
- **After 1980**: Gains systematically reversed (Part 4)

Conclusion to Part 3

The period 1914-1980 witnessed the greatest worker gains in French capitalist history—real wages doubling, comprehensive welfare state, strong unions, paid vacation, job security. These were **real achievements** fought for and won, dramatically improving millions of lives.

But they occurred within **maintained elite dominance**:

- Same families controlling capital (Peugeot, Michelin, Rothschild, Dassault, Bettencourt)
- Grandes écoles reproducing elite
- Pantouflage integrating state and business
- Nationalized firms managed by elite
- Absolute elite wealth often growing despite declining share

The critical insight: Worker gains were **conditional on exceptional circumstances** (reconstruction, high growth, Cold War, full employment). When circumstances changed after 1980, elite—having maintained ownership, control of management, political access, educational reproduction mechanisms—could reverse gains.

Part 4 will examine this reversal: neoliberal turn, privatizations, labor flexibilization, union collapse, wealth reconcentration, and contemporary France where top 1% wealth share approaches pre-WWI levels despite republican political forms.

The pattern across all three parts: **Political forms change dramatically (monarchy→republic→empire→republic), but wealth concentration patterns prove remarkably persistent, with temporary compression 1914-1980 reversed after 1980.**

[End of Part 3]

Part 4 Preview: Neoliberal Integration and Contemporary France (1980-2025)

- Mitterrand's socialist experiment and neoliberal turn (1981-1995)
- European integration constraints (Maastricht, euro)
- Privatizations and financial concentration
- Labor market dualization and precarity
- Union collapse (30% → 11%)
- Wealth reconcentration approaching pre-WWI levels
- Gilets Jaunes and contemporary resistance
- Assessment: 425 years of elite adaptation

France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)

Part 4 of 4: Neoliberal Integration and Contemporary France (1980-2025)

INTRODUCTION: THE GREAT REVERSAL

Did neoliberal transformation, European integration, and financialization finally democratize French capitalism, or did they enable elite wealth reconcentration after temporary postwar compression? The evidence from 1980-2025 demonstrates **systematic reversal** of postwar worker gains and **return to pre-WWI concentration levels** while maintaining republican political forms.

The pattern is stark: Every major worker achievement from 1945-1980 was weakened or eliminated after 1980. Union density collapsed from 30% to 11% (lowest in developed world). Labor laws were "flexibilized" through repeated reforms (2016, 2017, 2023). Pension age increased despite massive protests. Wealth tax abolished. Real wages stagnated while productivity continued rising. The top 1% wealth share increased from approximately 20% (1980) to 25-27% (2020), approaching pre-WWI levels of 55-60%.

Meanwhile, the same elite families and institutions adapted perfectly: Rothschild bank producing presidents (Macron); Peugeot, Michelin, Dassault maintaining dynastic control; new billionaire fortunes emerging (Arnault's LVMH reaching €200+ billion, making him world's richest). The *grandes écoles-pantouflage* system continued reproducing elite across public-private divide.

European integration provided crucial mechanisms enabling this reversal. Maastricht Treaty (1992) embedded neoliberal economic rules in supranational constitution beyond democratic reach. Euro adoption (1999/2002) removed monetary policy tools. Single market enabled regulatory race to bottom. ECB prioritized inflation over employment. These constraints were presented as "inevitable" responses to "globalization"—obscuring political choices benefiting capital over labor.

This part examines France's neoliberal transformation through four periods:

1. **Mitterrand's socialist experiment and turn** (1981-1995): Brief radicalism, crisis, acceptance of capitalism
2. **Chirac and center-right consolidation** (1995-2012): Neoliberal reforms, EU integration deepening

3. **Hollande and Macron** (2012-2025): Socialist label hiding neoliberal policy, explicit pro-business governance
4. **Contemporary assessment** (2025): 425-year pattern evaluation

---

I. FOUNDATION CONDITIONS: CRISIS, EUROPEAN INTEGRATION, NEOLIBERAL IDEOLOGY (1980-2025)

A. Socialist Experiment and Neoliberal Turn (1981-1995)

François Mitterrand's election (1981) created brief hope for left transformation before capitalist constraints forced policy reversal—demonstrating limits of reform within European capitalism.

Mitterrand victory and radical phase (1981-1983):

- **Election**: May 10, 1981—first Socialist president under Fifth Republic
- **Coalition**: Socialists + Communists in government
- **Initial program**:
    - **Nationalizations**: Banks (36 banks nationalized), industrial groups
        - Saint-Gobain, Rhône-Poulenc, Pechiney-Ugine-Kuhlmann, Thomson, CGE
        - Total: Adding to postwar nationalizations, state controlling ~30% of industrial production
    - **Wealth tax** (*ISF—Impôt de Solidarité sur la Fortune*): Taxing assets above 3 million francs
    - **Worker rights expansion**:
        - 39-hour work week (from 40)
        - Fifth week of paid vacation
        - Retirement age lowered to 60
        - Minimum wage (*SMIC*) increased 10%
    - **Keynesian stimulus**: Deficit spending to combat unemployment
- **Rationale**: Breaking with austerity, redistributing wealth, creating employment

Crisis and *tournant de la rigueur* (austerity turn) (1983):

- **Economic problems**:
    - **Franc under pressure**: Capital flight accelerating
    - **Trade deficit**: Worsening as stimulus increased imports
    - **Inflation**: Rising to 12-13%
    - **Foreign exchange reserves**: Depleting rapidly
- **European constraints**:
    - **EMS** (European Monetary System): France committed to maintaining franc parity
    - **German pressure**: Bundesbank requiring French austerity for continued support

    - **Capital mobility**: Unable to prevent capital fleeing to Germany, Switzerland
- **Policy reversal** (March 1983):
    - **Austerity**: Spending cuts, wage restraint
    - **Franc fort**: Maintaining strong franc despite economic pain
    - **Accepting capitalism**: Abandoning radical transformation
- **Significance**: Demonstrating impossibility of "socialism in one country" within European capitalism
    - Capital mobility: Punishing redistributive policies
    - European rules: Constraining national policy autonomy
    - Elite resistance: Using capital flight as weapon

Privatizations beginning (1986-1988):

- **Context**: Conservative cohabitation government (Chirac PM, Mitterrand President)
- **Firms privatized**:
    - TF1 (television channel)
    - Saint-Gobain, Paribas, Société Générale, Suez
    - CGE, Havas
- **Proceeds**: Approximately 70 billion francs
- **Buyers**: Often wealthy individuals, institutional investors, some foreign

Mitterrand second term (1988-1995):

- **Accepting market economy**: "Neither nationalizations nor privatizations"
- **Maastricht Treaty** (1992):
    - **Creating EU**: From European Community to European Union
    - **Convergence criteria**: Deficit <3% GDP, debt <60% GDP, low inflation
    - **Path to euro**: Single currency requiring monetary discipline
    - **Referendum**: Narrow approval—51% yes, 49% no (September 1992)
        - Revealing: Deep division over European integration
    - **Embedding neoliberalism**: Making fiscal discipline, central bank independence constitutional
- **Social consequences**:
    - **Unemployment**: Rising to 10-12% by mid-1990s
    - **Poverty**: Increasing as welfare state strained
    - **Inequality**: Beginning to rise after postwar compression

B. European Integration Deepening: Maastricht to Euro (1992-2002)

European integration created supranational constraints on national economic policy, embedding neoliberal rules beyond democratic control.

Maastricht Treaty implications:

- **Convergence criteria**: Requiring austerity to meet deficit/debt targets

    - France cutting spending, raising taxes to qualify for euro
- **ECB independence**: European Central Bank prioritizing inflation over employment
    - No democratic accountability
    - Bundesbank model: Conservative monetary policy
- **State aid rules**: Limiting government support for industry
- **Competition policy**: Pressuring privatization, deregulation

Single market completion (1993):

- **Four freedoms**: Goods, services, capital, labor moving freely
- **Capital mobility**: Accelerating—no longer possible to control capital flows
- **Regulatory competition**: Countries competing to attract investment through deregulation
- **Labor mobility**: Creating wage competition (though limited compared to capital)

Euro adoption (1999 accounting, 2002 physical):

- **France joining**: January 1, 1999 (accounting), January 1, 2002 (coins/notes)
- **Exchange rate**: Irrevocably fixed at 6.55957 francs per euro
- **Losing monetary sovereignty**:
    - No longer able to devalue currency
    - No independent interest rate policy
    - ECB setting rates for entire eurozone
- **Fiscal constraints continuing**: Stability and Growth Pact requiring 3% deficit limit

2005 EU Constitution referendum:

- **Proposal**: EU constitutional treaty
- **French vote**: **55% rejected** (May 29, 2005)
    - Working class, left: Overwhelmingly voting no
    - Elite, center-right: Voting yes
    - Geographic: Paris yes, periphery no
- **Elite response**: Ignoring referendum, passing Lisbon Treaty (2007) achieving same ends
- **Significance**: Demonstrating EU elite willing to circumvent democratic opposition

**C. Chirac Era: Center-Right Neoliberalism (1995-2007)

Jacques Chirac's presidency consolidated neoliberal consensus across center-right and "moderate" left.

1995 strikes:

- **Context**: Chirac government proposing social security cuts
- **Response**: Massive strikes (November-December 1995)
    - Transport workers: Paralyzing trains, metro, buses for 3 weeks

    - Public sector: Teachers, postal workers, others joining
    - Scale: Largest since May 1968
- **Outcome**: Government partially retreating but continuing neoliberal course

Privatizations continuing:

- **France Télécom** (1997): Partial privatization (full by 2004)
- **Air France**: Privatized 1999
- **Other firms**: Gradual sell-offs continuing
- **Total proceeds 1986-2002**: Approximately 100-150 billion francs

Labor market reforms:

- **35-hour week** (2000): Lionel Jospin Socialist government
    - Ostensibly: Pro-worker reform
    - Reality: "Flexibility" provisions allowing annualization, overtime
    - Effect: Mixed—some workers benefiting, many working same hours for less pay

D. Hollande: Socialist Label, Neoliberal Policy (2012-2017)

François Hollande's presidency demonstrated "socialist" label meaningless—implementing neoliberal labor reforms despite protests.

Initial promises vs. reality:

- **Campaign** (2012): "My enemy is finance"
- **Reality**: Implementing business-friendly policies

CICE (*Crédit d'Impôt pour la Compétitivité et l'Emploi*)—tax credit for businesses:

- **2013**: 20 billion euros annually in corporate tax cuts
- **Justification**: "Competitiveness," job creation
- **Result**: Minimal job creation, profits increasing

Labor law reform (*Loi Travail*, later *Loi El Khomri*) (2016):

- **Provisions**:
    - Firm-level agreements: Can undercut sectoral standards on hours, pay
    - Dismissal protections: Weakened
    - Working time: More flexibility for employers
- **Protests**: Massive demonstrations, strikes (March-June 2016)
    - Hundreds of thousands demonstrating
    - *Nuit Debout*: Occupy-style movement
- **Passage**: Using Article 49-3 (bypassing parliamentary vote)
- **Significance**: Socialist government attacking worker protections

E. Macron: Explicit Neoliberalism (2017-2025)

Emmanuel Macron represented first French president explicitly embracing neoliberal, pro-business identity without socialist pretense.

Background:

- **Rothschild Bank**: Investment banker 2008-2012
    - Earning millions on Nestlé-Pfizer deal
- **Economy Minister** (2014-2016): Under Hollande
- **En Marche**: Creating "neither left nor right" party (actually center-right)

2017 election:

- **First round**: Macron 24%, Le Pen 21%, Fillon 20%, Mélenchon 20%
- **Second round**: Macron 66%, Le Pen 34%
- **Winning by default**: Against far-right Le Pen, not popular mandate

Labor law ordonnances (2017):

- **Fast-track**: Using executive orders for labor law changes
- **Provisions**:
    - Easier dismissals: Capping severance payments
    - Firm-level agreements: Further empowered over sectoral standards
    - Economic dismissals: Easier to justify
- **Limited protests**: Exhaustion after Loi Travail, Macron's "mandate"

Wealth tax abolition (2018):

- ***ISF* abolished**: Replacing with real estate-only tax (*IFI*)
- **Effect**: Billionaires no longer paying wealth tax on financial assets
- **Revenue loss**: Approximately 3-4 billion euros annually
- **Justification**: Preventing capital flight, encouraging investment
- **Reality**: Massive giveaway to ultra-wealthy

Pension reform attempts:

- **2019-2020**:
    - Proposing: Universal points system replacing current pensions
    - Strikes: Massive strikes (December 2019-January 2020), longest since 1968
        - Transport: Trains, metro paralyzed for weeks
        - Teachers, lawyers, others: Joining strikes
    - **COVID-19**: Interrupting protests, government withdrawing temporarily
- **2023**:
    - **Raising retirement age**: From 62 to 64
    - **Protests**: Millions demonstrating (January-May 2023)

        - January 19: 1-2 million demonstrators
        - March 7: 3.5 million (unions claim)
        - Repeated massive mobilizations
    - **Passage**: Article 49-3—bypassing parliamentary vote despite opposition
    - **Legitimacy crisis**: 70%+ opposing reform in polls
    - **Violence**: Police brutality against protesters, injuries

Gilets Jaunes (Yellow Vests) (2018-2019):

- **Origin**: Fuel tax increase hitting rural/working-class hardest
- **Character**: Working-class, non-union, spontaneous
    - Roundabout occupations
    - Saturday demonstrations in cities
- **Demands**:
    - Economic justice: Higher wages, lower taxes on workers
    - Political: *RIC* (citizen-initiated referenda), Macron resignation
    - Anti-elite: "President of the rich"
- **Scale**:
    - November 17, 2018: 300,000+ demonstrators
    - December: 100,000+ continuing weekly
    - 2019: Gradually declining but continuing
- **Repression**:
    - Police violence: Flashballs, tear gas, stun grenades
    - Injuries: 2,500+ injured, 25+ losing eyes, 5 hands blown off
    - Arrests: 11,000+ arrested
- **Concessions**: Minimum wage increase (100 euros monthly), fuel tax canceled
- **But**: No fundamental change

COVID-19 pandemic (2020-2021):

- **Business support**: Massive subsidies, loan guarantees
- **Worker support**: Limited—unemployment insurance, reduced hours schemes
- **Inequality impact**: Wealthy gaining (stocks rising), working-class suffering
- **Essential workers**: Underpaid, exposed to COVID while elite worked from home

2022 re-election:

- **First round**: Macron 28%, Le Pen 23%, Mélenchon 22%
- **Second round**: Macron 58%, Le Pen 42%
- **Narrower**: Le Pen gaining—far-right rising

II. LABOR SYSTEMS: FLEXIBILIZATION, PRECARITY, UNION COLLAPSE (1980-2025)

A. Deindustrialization and Service Economy (1980-2025)

Manufacturing employment collapsed while service sector expanded, creating fundamentally different labor market.

Manufacturing decline:

- **1980**: 25% of employment in manufacturing
- **2000**: 17%
- **2020**: 12%
- **Absolute numbers**: From ~5 million (1980) to ~3 million (2020)

Industries hit hardest:

- **Steel**: Lorraine mills closing—De Wendel, Usinor employment collapsing
    - 1980: 100,000+ steel workers
    - 2000: 40,000
    - 2020: 20,000
- **Automobiles**: Employment declining despite production increasing
    - 1980: 400,000+ in auto manufacturing
    - 2020: 200,000
    - Automation, outsourcing reducing labor needs
- **Textiles**: Nearly eliminated—unable to compete with Asia
- **Coal mining**: Complete elimination
    - Last mine closing 2004

Service sector expansion:

- **2020**: 75%+ of employment in services
- **Types**:
    - Business services: Finance, consulting, IT
    - Personal services: Healthcare, education, social services
    - Low-wage services: Retail, hospitality, delivery

Geographic impact:

- **Rust belt**: Northern industrial regions devastated
    - Lille, Valenciennes, Lorraine: High unemployment, poverty
- **Paris dominance**: Finance, services concentrating in capital
- **Periphery**: Rural, small-town France declining

B. Labor Market Dualization: Permanent vs. Precarious (1980-2025)

French labor market split into protected insiders (permanent contracts) and precarious outsiders (temporary, self-employed).

Permanent contracts (*CDI—Contrat à Durée Indéterminée*):

- **Protections**: Strong dismissal protections, severance requirements
- **Who**: Primarily older workers, men, white French
- **Share**: Approximately 85% of employed (but declining)

Temporary contracts (*CDD—Contrat à Durée Déterminée*):

- **Growth**: From 5% (1980) to 15% (2020) of employed
- **Characteristics**:
    - Fixed-term: 1-18 months typical
    - No dismissal protection: Simply not renewed
    - Lower wages: 10-20% less than permanent for same work
    - No advancement: Dead-end positions
- **Who**: Disproportionately young, women, immigrants
- **Youth**: 50%+ of workers under 25 on temporary contracts

Temp agency workers (*intérimaires*):

- **Share**: 2-3% of employed but higher in manufacturing
- **Conditions**: Even more precarious than *CDD*
- **Function**: Buffer—hired/fired to adjust production

Self-employed (*auto-entrepreneurs*):

- **Growth**: Legal changes (2009) making self-employment easier
- **2020**: 3+ million self-employed (10%+ of workforce)
- **Reality**: Many "false self-employed"
    - Dependent on single client
    - No bargaining power
    - No benefits, protections
    - Example: Uber, Deliveroo drivers classified as self-employed

Part-time work:

- **Growth**: From 12% (1980) to 18% (2020)
- **Involuntary**: 30%+ wanting full-time but only finding part-time
- **Who**: Disproportionately women—30% of employed women part-time

C. Labor Law Reforms: Systematic Weakening (1986-2023)

Every major labor reform 1986-2023 weakened worker protections, enabling employer flexibility.

1986 reforms (Conservative government):

- **Temporary contracts**: Easier to use
- **Administrative authorization**: No longer required for dismissals

2016 El Khomri Law (Socialist government):

- **Firm-level agreements**: Can undercut sectoral standards
- **Working time**: Annualization, averaging allowing longer hours in busy periods

2017 Macron ordonnances:

- **Severance caps**: Capping unfair dismissal compensation
    - 3 months salary (10 years tenure) down from potentially 15-24 months
- **Economic dismissals**: Easier to justify—firm struggles sufficient
- **Firm agreements**: Further empowered

2023 pension reform:

- **Retirement age**: 62 → 64
- **Contribution years**: Increasing required contributions
- **Effect**: Working longer for same or reduced pension

Cumulative impact:

- **Easier hiring/firing**: Reduced employment security
- **Longer hours potential**: Despite nominal 35-hour week
- **Lower compensation**: Capped severance, wage restraint
- **Weakened unions**: Firm-level bargaining fragmenting solidarity

D. Union Collapse: 30% to 11% Density (1980-2020)

French union density collapsed to lowest in developed world, crippling worker bargaining power.

Union membership decline:

- **1980**: 20-25% overall, 30%+ in industry
- **1990**: 15%
- **2000**: 12%
- **2020**: **11%**—lowest in OECD except Turkey, Estonia

Reasons for collapse:

1. **Deindustrialization**: Manufacturing jobs (most unionized) declining
2. **Precarious workers**: Temporary, self-employed difficult to organize

3. **Unemployment**: High unemployment weakening bargaining power
4. **Individualization**: Neoliberal ideology promoting individual solutions
5. **Union fragmentation**: CGT, CFDT, FO, others competing
6. **Legal changes**: Firm-level bargaining undermining sectoral solidarity

Where unions remain:

- **Public sector**: 20% density (vs. 5% private)
- **Large firms**: Renault, SNCF, EDF maintaining union presence
- **But**: Even these declining

Strike frequency declining:

- **1970s-1980s**: Frequent large strikes
- **1990s-2000s**: Declining frequency
- **2010s-2020s**: Occasional massive mobilizations (2010, 2016, 2019, 2023 pension protests) but overall declining

E. Wages: Stagnation and Inequality (1980-2025)

Real wages stagnated while productivity continued rising—redistribution from labor to capital.

Real wage trends:

- **Median real wage**:
    - 1980-2000: Growing modestly (~1% annually)
    - 2000-2020: **Stagnant or declining**
    - 2020-2025: Inflation eroding purchasing power
- **Minimum wage** (*SMIC*):
    - Indexed to inflation, preventing collapse
    - But: No real gains above inflation
    - 2025: €1,767 gross monthly (~€1,400 net)

Productivity vs. wages:

- **Productivity**: Continuing to rise ~1.5% annually
- **Wages**: Stagnant
- **Gap**: Widening—labor share declining

Wage inequality increasing:

- **Top 10%**: Wages rising faster than median
- **Top 1%**: Wages rising much faster (especially finance, executives)
- **Bottom 50%**: Stagnant or declining real wages
- **Executive pay**: CEO compensation increasing 3-5x faster than median worker

Labor share of national income declining:

- **1980s peak**: 68% of national income to labor compensation
- **2000**: 65%
- **2020**: 62%
- **Transfer**: 6 percentage points (tens of billions annually) from labor to capital

F. Unemployment: Structural 8-10% (1980-2025)

Unlike 2-3% postwar, unemployment became structural at 8-10%, weakening worker bargaining power.

Unemployment rate:

- **1980**: 6-7%
- **1990**: 9%
- **2000**: 10%
- **2010**: 9-10%
- **2020**: 8% (COVID spike to 9%)
- **2025**: 7-8%

Youth unemployment:

- **Persistently high**: 20-25%
- **Under 25**: Facing enormous barriers to stable employment
- **Effect**: Accepting precarious work, unable to organize

Long-term unemployment:

- **40-50%** of unemployed have been jobless over 1 year
- **Scarring**: Difficult to re-enter employment

G. Immigration and Racialized Labor Markets (1975-2025)

Immigrant workers and descendants facing systematic discrimination, concentrated in low-wage precarious jobs.

Immigration halt (1974):

- **Official policy**: Stopping labor recruitment
- **Reality**: Family reunification continuing, immigration continuing

Immigrant population growth:

- **1975**: 4 million (8% of population)
- **2020**: 7 million immigrants + 7.5 million children of immigrants (22% of population)

- **Origins**: Maghreb (Algeria, Morocco, Tunisia), sub-Saharan Africa, Portugal, others

***Banlieues* (suburbs)** and segregation:

- **Concentrated poverty**: Immigrants/descendants concentrated in suburban housing projects
    - Paris banlieue: Seine-Saint-Denis, Val-d'Oise
    - Lyon, Marseille: Similar patterns
- **Unemployment**: 20-30% in some *banlieues* (double national average)
- **Police violence**: Systematic harassment, brutality
- **2005 riots**: Following police killing of two youth
    - 3 weeks of rioting, thousands of cars burned, hundreds arrested

Labor market discrimination:

- **Hiring discrimination**: Studies showing identical résumés with Arab-sounding names receiving 50-60% fewer callbacks
- **Occupational segregation**: Immigrants/descendants concentrated in:
    - Construction: Hard physical labor
    - Security: Guards, cleaning
    - Services: Delivery, food service, retail
    - Manufacturing: Low-wage production
- **Wage gaps**: Earning 10-20% less for same work

Political scapegoating:

- **Far-right**: Le Pen family (FN/RN) blaming immigrants for unemployment, crime
- **Mainstream adoption**: Center-right, even some left adopting anti-immigrant rhetoric
- **Policy**: Restrictive immigration laws, deportations

III. CAPITAL AND RESOURCE CONTROL: PRIVATIZATIONS, FINANCIALIZATION, BILLIONAIRE RISE (1980-2025)

A. Privatizations: Reversing Nationalizations (1986-2025)

Systematic privatizations reversed postwar nationalizations, transferring public assets to wealthy buyers.

Three waves:

First wave (1986-1988): Conservative cohabitation

- **Firms sold**: Saint-Gobain, Paribas, Société Générale, Suez, TF1, Havas, CGE
- **Proceeds**: ~70 billion francs
- **Buyers**: Wealthy individuals, financial institutions, some foreign

Second wave (1993-1997): Conservative governments

- **Firms sold**: BNP, Elf Aquitaine, Renault (partial), France Télécom (partial), others
- **Proceeds**: ~100 billion francs

Third wave (1997-2025): Socialist and center governments continuing

- **France Télécom**: Full privatization (2004)
- **EDF**: Partial privatization (2005)—70% state, 30% private
- **GDF**: Merged with Suez (2008), partially privatized
- **Airports**: Paris airports partially privatized
- **Autoroutes**: Toll highway concessions sold

Total proceeds (1986-2020): Approximately **€150-200 billion**

Who bought:

- **Wealthy individuals**: French billionaires, families
- **Institutional investors**: Pension funds, banks
- **Foreign capital**: Significant foreign ownership

Impact:

- **Transferring wealth**: From public to private ownership
- **Service quality**: Declining in many cases (France Télécom, autoroutes)
- **Prices**: Often rising faster than inflation
- **Employment**: Many privatized firms shedding workers

B. Banking Consolidation and Financial Power (1980-2025)

Banking sector consolidated into massive institutions controlling hundreds of billions in assets.

Major mergers:

- **BNP-Paribas** (2000): Creating European banking giant
    - Assets: €2+ trillion (2020)
    - Profits: €7-8 billion annually
    - Employment: 200,000+ globally
- **Crédit Agricole**: Expanding from agricultural bank to universal bank
    - Assets: €2+ trillion
    - Acquiring other banks: Crédit Lyonnais (2003)
- **Société Générale**: Remaining major institution

    - Assets: €1.5 trillion

Wealth management and private banking:

- **BNP Paribas Wealth Management**: Managing €400+ billion for ultra-wealthy
- **Rothschild & Co**: Private bank for billionaires, millionaires
- **Lazard**: Boutique investment bank, M&A advisory

Financial sector profits:

- **Annual banking profits**: €30-40 billion (all French banks combined)
- **Distribution**: To shareholders (increasingly concentrated), executives
- **Executive compensation**: Bank CEOs earning €5-10 million annually

2008 financial crisis:

- **Limited French bank failures**: But requiring bailouts
- **Société Générale**: €1.7 billion trading loss (Kerviel scandal, 2008)
- **Dexia**: Required €6 billion government rescue
- **No prosecutions**: Executives escaping accountability

C. Industrial Dynasties: Persistence and Adaptation (1980-2025)

Family capitalism continuing—same dynasties controlling major firms across generations.

Peugeot family:

- **PSA Peugeot-Citroën**: Family maintaining control
    - 1980s-1990s: Controlling PSA
    - 2014: Dongfeng/French government investment diluting but family retaining influence
    - 2021: **Stellantis merger**—PSA merging with Fiat Chrysler
        - Creating world's 4th largest automaker
        - Peugeot family: Retaining significant stake, board seats
- **Wealth**: Family fortune ~€1-2 billion

Michelin family:

- **Company control**: Maintaining family ownership
    - François Michelin: CEO 1955-1999
    - Édouard Michelin: CEO 1999-2006 (died in boating accident)
    - Jean-Dominique Senard: CEO 2012-2019
    - Family: Retaining ownership through holding company
- **Production**: Remaining global tire leader
- **Wealth**: Family fortune ~€3-5 billion (estimates vary, private ownership limiting disclosure)

Dassault family:

- **Dassault Aviation**: Family controlling military aircraft manufacturer
    - Serge Dassault: Inheriting from father Marcel, CEO 1986-2000, Chairman 2000-2018
    - Charles Edelstenne: CEO but family retaining ownership
    - Rafale fighters: Major French military aircraft
- **Dassault Systèmes**: Software company spun off (1981), family stake
- **Le Figaro**: Family owning major newspaper
- **Wealth**: Serge Dassault (died 2018) worth ~€17 billion
    - Family inheriting: Among France's wealthiest

Hermès family:

- **Luxury goods**: Family controlling iconic brand
    - Founded 1837, family ownership continuing
    - Axel Dumas: CEO (family member)
- **Resisting takeover**: 2010-2014 LVMH (Arnault) attempting acquisition, family successfully defending
- **Wealth**: Family collective worth ~€70-100 billion

Mulliez family (Auchan, Decathlon):

- **Retail empire**: Auchan supermarkets, Decathlon sporting goods, others
- **Family association**: Approximately 700 Mulliez family members sharing ownership
- **Wealth**: Collective family worth ~€30-40 billion

D. New Billionaire Fortunes: LVMH, Kering, L'Oréal (1980-2025)

Neoliberal era enabled emergence of mega-fortunes dwarfing historical wealth.

Bernard Arnault (LVMH):

- **Background**: Family construction business, acquiring luxury brands 1980s
- **Building LVMH**:
    - 1984: Acquiring Christian Dior
    - 1987-1989: Taking control of LVMH (Louis Vuitton + Moët Hennessy)
    - 1990s-2000s: Acquiring brands—Givenchy, Fendi, Marc Jacobs, Bulgari, Tiffany, others
    - 2024: LVMH portfolio—75+ luxury brands
- **Wealth trajectory**:
    - 1990: Billionaire
    - 2000: €10-15 billion
    - 2010: €25-30 billion
    - 2019: €100 billion

    - 2021-2024: Periodically world's richest person, €200+ billion at peaks
- **Mechanisms**:
    - Luxury goods: High margins, brand power
    - Global expansion: China, Asia driving growth
    - Financial engineering: Controlling LVMH through complex structure
    - Stock market: LVMH share appreciation multiplying wealth

François Pinault (Kering):

- **Background**: Timber trading, acquiring luxury brands 1990s
- **Kering** (formerly PPR):
    - Gucci (acquired 1999), Yves Saint Laurent, Bottega Veneta, Balenciaga, Alexander McQueen, others
- **Wealth**: €30-35 billion
- **Art collection**: One of world's largest private collections

Bettencourt family (L'Oréal):

- **Liliane Bettencourt** (1922-2017): Inheriting from father Eugène Schueller (founder)
    - Wealthiest woman in world for years
    - 2017 death: Worth ~€40 billion
- **Françoise Bettencourt Meyers** (daughter): Inheriting
    - 2025: Worth ~€70-80 billion
    - Wealthiest woman in world
- **L'Oréal**: Family retaining ~33% stake
    - Company worth ~€200 billion
    - Family stake: ~€70 billion

Other major fortunes:

- **Arnaud Lagardère** (media, aerospace): €1-2 billion
- **Martin Bouygues** (construction, telecom): €3-4 billion
- **Alain Wertheimer** (Chanel): €30-40 billion
- **Total**: France having 40-50 billionaires by 2025

E. Wealth Reconcentration: Approaching Pre-WWI Levels (1980-2025)

Systematic wealth reconcentration reversing postwar compression, approaching Belle Époque inequality.

Top 1% wealth share:

- **1980**: 20-22%
- **1990**: 22-23%
- **2000**: 23-24%
- **2010**: 24-25%

- **2020**: 25-27%

Top 10% wealth share:

- **1980**: 50-55%
- **2000**: 55-58%
- **2020**: 55-60%

Bottom 50% wealth share:

- **1980**: 8-10%
- **2020**: 4-5%

Comparison to historical:

- **1910** (Belle Époque): Top 1% ~55-60%, top 10% ~85-90%
- **2020**: Top 1% ~25-27%, top 10% ~55-60%
- **Trend**: Top 10% returning to pre-WWI levels, top 1% halfway back

Mechanisms of reconcentration:

1. **Capital income**: Returns on capital (dividends, capital gains, real estate) exceeding wage growth
2. **Tax cuts**: Wealth tax abolished, capital gains preferentially taxed
3. **Inheritance**: Wealth passing across generations (though inheritance tax limiting somewhat)
4. **Financialization**: Stock market gains accruing to top 10% (owning 80%+ of stocks)
5. **Real estate**: Property appreciation benefiting owners

F. Real Estate Financialization: Housing as Investment (1980-2025)

Housing transformed from social good to investment vehicle, creating crisis for workers.

Paris property prices:

- **Real appreciation**: +250-300% in real terms (1995-2022)
    - 1995: €3,000/m² average
    - 2022: €10,000/m² average
    - Some arrondissements: €15,000/m²
- **Income ratio**: Average property price ~15-20x median annual income (vs. 5-7x historically sustainable)

Homeownership rate:

- **Stagnant**: 55-58% (1980-2020)
- **Class divide**: Upper-middle/upper class owning, working class renting increasingly

Rental market crisis:

- **40%+ of households renting**
- **Rent burden**: Renters spending 30-40% of income on rent
- **Paris**: Worse—often 40-50% of income

Foreign investment:

- **International capital**: Purchasing Paris properties
    - Chinese, Gulf, Russian, other investors
- **Airbnb**: Thousands of units removed from long-term rental market
    - Estimates: 30,000-60,000 Paris units on Airbnb

Homelessness:

- **Rising**: 300,000+ homeless (2022)
- **Visible**: Tent cities in Paris, other cities

Government response:

- **Minimal**: Some rent controls (ineffective), limited social housing construction
- **Priority**: Protecting property values over housing access

G. Stock Market and Financial Asset Concentration (1990-2025)

CAC 40 (major stock index):

- **Composition**: Top 40 French companies
    - LVMH, Hermès (luxury)
    - Total Energies (oil/gas)
    - BNP Paribas, Société Générale, Crédit Agricole (banks)
    - Sanofi (pharma)
    - EDF, Engie (energy—partially state)
    - Airbus (aerospace)
    - Stellantis (autos)
    - Others
- **Market cap**: Total ~€2-2.5 trillion
- **Ownership**:
    - Top 10%: Owning 80%+ of stocks
    - Top 1%: Owning 50%+
    - Foreign investors: 40%+ of CAC 40

Financial asset inequality:

- **Stocks, bonds**: Overwhelmingly owned by top 10%
- **Bottom 50%**: Owning almost no financial assets

- **Returns**: Capital gains significantly exceeding wage growth
    - CAC 40 up ~150% 2010-2020 while real wages stagnant

IV. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS: EU CONSTRAINTS, LABOR FLEXIBILIZATION (1980-2025)

A. European Integration: Embedding Neoliberalism (1992-2025)

European treaties embedded neoliberal economics beyond democratic reach of national governments.

Maastricht Treaty (1992):

- **Convergence criteria**:
    - Deficit: Maximum 3% of GDP
    - Debt: Maximum 60% of GDP
    - Inflation: Low and stable
    - Interest rates: Convergent
- **Effect**: Requiring austerity regardless of economic conditions

Stability and Growth Pact (1997):

- **Reinforcing**: 3% deficit limit with fines for violations
- **Constraining**: Limiting counter-cyclical fiscal policy

ECB mandate:

- **Price stability**: Primary mandate—inflation targeting
- **NOT employment**: Unlike Federal Reserve, ECB not tasked with maximizing employment
- **Independence**: No democratic control, technocratic governance

Single market rules:

- **State aid**: Limits on government support for industry
    - Making industrial policy difficult
- **Competition policy**: Forcing privatization, deregulation
- **Public procurement**: Requiring competitive bidding (advantaging large firms)

Fiscal Compact (2012):

- **Balanced budget rule**: Requiring structural balance
- **Automatic corrections**: Mandating austerity if deficits exceed limits

Effect: Creating constitutional-level commitment to austerity, limiting democratic economic policy choice.

B. Labor Law Evolution: Systematic Flexibilization (1980-2025)

Pre-1980 system:

- **Strong dismissal protections**: Requiring cause, procedures
- **Collective bargaining**: Sectoral agreements covering most workers
- **Working time**: 40-hour week, generous vacation
- **Social security**: Comprehensive coverage

Reforms weakening protections:

- **1986**: Eliminating administrative authorization for dismissals
- **2000**: 35-hour week with flexibility provisions
- **2008**: Making temporary contracts easier
- **2016 El Khomri Law**: Firm-level agreements, working time flexibility
- **2017 Macron ordonnances**: Severance caps, easier dismissals
- **2023**: Pension age increase

Cumulative effect:

- **Easier hiring/firing**: Reduced job security
- **Longer effective hours**: Despite 35-hour nominal week
- **Firm-level bargaining**: Undermining sectoral solidarity
- **Later retirement**: Working additional years

C. Tax Policy: Favoring Capital (1980-2025)

Tax system systematically shifted from taxing capital to taxing labor/consumption.

Wealth tax (*ISF*):

- **Created**: 1982 (Mitterrand)
- **Taxing**: Assets above thresholds (initially 3 million francs, later €1.3 million)
- **Revenue**: €4-5 billion annually at peak
- **Abolished**: 2018 (Macron)
- **Replaced**: *IFI* (real estate only)—billionaires no longer paying on financial assets

Corporate tax:

- **Rate reduction**: From 50% (1985) to 33% (2000s) to 25% (2022)
- **Loopholes**: Numerous deductions, credits

Capital gains tax:

- **Preferential treatment**: Taxed at flat 30% (2018) vs. up to 45% for income tax
- **Effect**: Investment income taxed lower than wages

VAT (consumption tax):

- **Regressive**: 20% standard rate hitting workers harder (larger share of income consumed)
- **Revenue**: Major government revenue source

Social contributions:

- **Burden**: Primarily on wages (employer + employee contributions)
- **Effect**: Taxing labor to fund social security

Overall shift:

- **Capital**: Taxed less (wealth tax gone, corporate tax reduced, capital gains preferential)
- **Labor**: Taxed more (social contributions, VAT, income tax)
- **Result**: Redistribution from labor to capital

D. Constitutional Framework: Fifth Republic Enabling Executive Power

Presidential power (1958 Constitution):

- **Article 49-3**: Government can pass laws without parliamentary vote
    - Used repeatedly: 2016 labor law, 2023 pension reform
    - Enabling: Bypassing democratic opposition
- **Article 16**: Emergency powers for president
- **Dissolution**: President can dissolve Assembly, call elections

Weak parliament:

- **Government control**: Executive setting legislative agenda
- **Limited amendment power**: Controlled by government

Constitutional Council:

- **Limited review**: Can invalidate laws but rarely challenges fundamental economic policy

Effect: Concentration of power enabling neoliberal reforms despite popular opposition.

V. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: TINA, COMPETITIVENESS, POPULISM (1980-2025)

A. "There Is No Alternative" (TINA)

Neoliberal ideology presented as inevitable, not political choice.

Globalization as necessity:

- **Narrative**: "Globalization requires flexible labor markets, lower taxes to compete"
- **Reality**: Political choice to allow capital mobility, deregulate
- **Function**: Presenting elite interests as technical necessity

European integration as progress:

- **Narrative**: "European unity brings peace, prosperity"
- **Reality**: Embedding neoliberal rules beyond democratic control
- **2005 referendum**: Elite ignoring democratic rejection

"Modernization" discourse:

- **Narrative**: "France must modernize—35-hour week, pensions are outdated"
- **Reality**: "Modernization" meaning weakening worker protections
- **Who benefits**: Capital gaining flexibility, workers losing security

B. Competitiveness Narrative

"We must be competitive":

- **Argument**: "French labor costs too high, losing to Germany/China"
- **Solutions presented**:
    - Lower wages
    - Longer hours
    - Weaker protections
    - Corporate tax cuts
- **Reality**: Productivity high, real issue is distribution not competitiveness
- **Effect**: Disciplining labor through international comparison

"Attracting investment":

- **Argument**: "Capital flight if we tax/regulate too much"
- **Evidence**: Wealth tax abolition justified by claimed departures
- **Reality**: Minimal actual flight, but threat used to prevent redistribution

C. Anti-Immigrant Populism

Far-right narrative blaming immigrants for economic problems created by neoliberalism.

Le Pen family (FN/RN):

- **Jean-Marie Le Pen**: Founding Front National (1972)
    - Openly racist, anti-Semitic, Holocaust denial
- **Marine Le Pen**: Taking over (2011), "de-demonizing"
    - Maintaining anti-immigrant core
    - Adding: Anti-EU, anti-elite rhetoric
    - Economic: Some left positions (protecting pensions, wages) combined with xenophobia

Narrative:

- **Immigrants blamed**: For unemployment, crime, cultural change
- **"Great Replacement"**: Conspiracy theory claiming demographic replacement
- **Islam targeted**: Portraying Muslims as threat to "French civilization"

Electoral success:

- **2002**: Jean-Marie Le Pen reaching presidential runoff (shock)
- **2017**: Marine Le Pen 34% in runoff
- **2022**: 42% in runoff
- **2024 European elections**: RN winning ~31%

Mainstream adoption:

- **Sarkozy**: Adopting anti-immigrant rhetoric
- **Even left**: Some accepting restrictive immigration discourse

Function:

- **Dividing workers**: Native vs. immigrant rather than worker vs. capital
- **Scapegoating**: Blaming immigrants for neoliberal consequences
- **Protecting elite**: Far-right rarely challenging capital despite "anti-elite" rhetoric

D. Macron's "Startup Nation"

Narrative: France as entrepreneurial, innovative, dynamic

Reality:

- **Platform economy**: Precarious Uber/Deliveroo workers without protections
- **Startups**: Few succeeding, most workers in precarious conditions
- **Innovation**: Often means labor-saving automation eliminating jobs

Function: Celebrating entrepreneurship while ignoring worker conditions.

E. Gilets Jaunes and Anti-Elite Sentiment

Yellow Vest movement expressing working-class rage at elite.

Slogans:

- **"Fin du monde, fin du mois"**: "End of the world, end of the month"—climate vs. survival
- **"Macron démission"**: "Macron resign"
- **"President of the rich"**: Targeting Macron's elite background

Demands:

- ***RIC*** (*Référendum d'Initiative Citoyenne*): Citizen-initiated referenda
    - Challenging representative democracy's elite capture
- **Economic justice**: Higher wages, lower taxes on workers
- **Elite accountability**: Ending tax evasion, corruption

Elite response:

- **Violence**: Police repression injuring thousands
- **Minimal concessions**: €100 monthly minimum wage increase
- **Narrative**: Dismissing as extremists, "casseurs" (thugs)
- **Fundamental policy**: Unchanged—pension reform proceeding, neoliberalism continuing

---

VI. WEALTH EXTRACTION MECHANISMS: FINANCIALIZATION, LABOR SHARE DECLINE (1980-2025)

A. Corporate Profits and Shareholder Returns (1980-2025)

Corporate profit rates increasing, flowing to shareholders through dividends and buybacks.

CAC 40 profits:

- **Total annual**: €100-150 billion (2010s-2020s)
- **Distribution**:
    - Dividends: €50-60 billion annually
    - Share buybacks: €10-20 billion
    - **Total to shareholders**: €60-80 billion annually

Major profit sources:

- **LVMH**: €10-15 billion annual profit (luxury goods margins)

- **Total Energies**: €15-20 billion (oil/gas)
- **BNP Paribas**: €7-8 billion (banking)
- **Sanofi**: €5-6 billion (pharmaceuticals)

Who receives:

- **Top 10%**: Owning 80%+ of stocks
- **Top 1%**: Owning 50%+
- **Foreign investors**: 40%+ of CAC 40

Executive compensation:

- **CAC 40 CEOs**: Average €5-8 million annually
    - Bernard Arnault (LVMH): €7-10 million salary + stock options
    - Carlos Tavares (Stellantis): €20+ million (2023)—highest in Europe
- **Ratio**: CEO pay 150-200x median worker (vs. 50-60x in 1980s)

B. Labor Share Decline: Redistribution from Workers to Capital

Labor share of national income:

- **1980s peak**: 67-68%
- **2000**: 64-65%
- **2020**: 62%
- **6 percentage point decline**: Approximately €100+ billion annually from workers to capital

Mechanisms:

1. **Real wage stagnation**: Productivity rising, wages flat
2. **Unemployment**: Weakening bargaining power
3. **Union collapse**: From 30% to 11% density
4. **Labor "flexibility"**: Easier firing, temporary contracts
5. **Automation**: Capital substituting for labor

C. Financial Sector Extraction

Banking profits, fees, wealth management charges extracting from economy.

Banking profits: €30-40 billion annually (all French banks)

Fees and charges:

- **Retail banking**: Account fees, overdraft charges, card fees
- **Investment banking**: M&A fees, IPO fees
- **Wealth management**: Fees on managing ultra-wealthy assets

- **Asset management**: Pension fund management fees

Mortgage lending:

- **Interest**: Billions annually from homeowners
- **Example**: €200,000 mortgage at 2.5% over 20 years = €65,000 interest

D. Real Estate Rent Extraction

Property owners extracting from renters as prices soared.

Rental income: Annual rent paid by 40% of French households

Paris example:

- **Average rent**: €1,500-2,000 monthly for 50m² apartment
- **Annual**: €18,000-24,000
- **Landlord return**: 3-5% annual yield on property value
- **Capital appreciation**: Additional 5-8% annually (2010-2020)

Total extraction: Tens of billions annually from renters to landlords

E. Privatization Profits

Buyers of privatized assets earning returns from former public services.

Autoroutes (highways):

- **Privatized**: 2006
- **Buyers**: Vinci, Eiffage, Abertis consortiums
- **Profits**: €3-4 billion annually from tolls
- **Criticism**: Tolls rising faster than inflation, excessive profits

France Télécom/Orange:

- **Privatized**: 2004
- **Dividends**: Billions to shareholders
- **Service**: Declining quality complaints

VII. INFORMATION AND EDUCATION CONTROL: MEDIA CONCENTRATION, GRANDES ÉCOLES (1980-2025)

A. Media Ownership: Billionaire Control

French media increasingly owned by billionaires, shaping coverage of economic issues.

Major owners:

- **Bernard Arnault** (LVMH):
  - *Le Parisien/Aujourd'hui en France*
  - Radio Classique
- **Vincent Bolloré**:
  - Canal+ (TV)
  - *Europe 1* (radio)
  - Multiple publications
  - Known for: Conservative, pro-business orientation
- **Xavier Niel** (Free telecom):
  - Part ownership of *Le Monde*
  - *L'Obs* magazine
- **Patrick Drahi** (Altice telecom):
  - *Libération*
  - *L'Express*
  - BFM TV
- **Famille Dassault**:
  - *Le Figaro* (major conservative paper)

Effect:

- **Economic coverage**: Favoring business perspectives
- **Labor coverage**: Often hostile to strikes, unions
- **Tax policy**: Defending wealth tax abolition, corporate cuts
- **Editorial independence**: Questions about owner influence

Public broadcasting:

- **France Télévisions, Radio France**: Still exist
- **But**: Budget pressures, government influence
- **Quality**: Maintaining some independence but constrained

B. Grandes Écoles: Continued Elite Reproduction (1980-2025)

ENA (*École Nationale d'Administration*):

- **Function**: Training administrative elite
- **Pantouflage**: Continuing—ENA graduates moving to corporate positions
  - Emmanuel Macron: Perfect example—ENA → Finance Ministry → Rothschild Bank → President
  - Countless others: Treasury → Banks, Industry Ministry → Corporations
- **Social composition**:

    - **Upper-class dominated**: 60-70% from top 20% income families
    - **Working-class**: Under 10%
- **2021**: Macron "abolishing" ENA, replacing with INSP (*Institut National du Service Public*)
    - **Reality**: Rebranding, same function continuing

Polytechnique:

- **Technical elite**: Engineering, sciences
- **Graduates**: Managing EDF, industrial firms, joining finance
- **Social composition**: Similarly elite-biased

HEC:

- **Business school**: Training corporate leaders
- **Tuition**: €40,000+ annually (excluding living costs)
    - **Effect**: Excluding working-class students
- **Graduates**: Dominating CAC 40 leadership

Sciences Po:

- **Political/administrative training**: Pathway to ENA, journalism, politics
- **Expansion**: Growing enrollment but maintaining elite character

Reproduction mechanism:

1. **Preparation**: Requiring expensive *classes préparatoires*
2. **Cultural capital**: Bourgeois culture advantages in exams, interviews
3. **Networks**: Alumni networks providing lifelong advantages
4. **Pantouflage**: Revolving door enabling private sector riches

Quantitative: Despite claims of meritocracy, grandes écoles reproduce inequality:

- **Top 1% income families**: 20x more likely to attend grandes écoles than bottom 50%
- **Working-class representation**: Declining 1980-2020

C. University Expansion and Devaluation

Enrollment growth:

- **1980**: 850,000 students
- **2020**: 2.7 million students

But credential devaluation:

- **University degrees**: Labor market value declining
- **Elite jobs**: Going to grandes écoles graduates

- **Unemployment**: University graduates facing higher unemployment than previous generations

Tracking:

- **Lycée**: General vs. vocational tracking at age 15
    - Working-class: Disproportionately vocational
    - Bourgeois: General lycée → grandes écoles

VIII. RESISTANCE SUPPRESSION: STRIKE-BREAKING, POLICE VIOLENCE (1980-2025)

A. Strike Repression (1995-2023)

1995 strikes (Juppé reforms):

- **Massive**: Public sector striking 3 weeks
- **Government response**: Ultimately partially retreating
- **But**: Establishing pattern of limited success

2003, 2010 pension reforms:

- **Protests**: Millions demonstrating
- **Government**: Passing reforms anyway
- **Limited success**: Demonstrating difficulty of forcing reversal through protests alone

2016 Loi Travail protests:

- **Months of demonstrations**: March-June
- **Police violence**: Tear gas, flashballs, arrests
- **Passage**: Article 49-3 bypassing parliament

2019-2020 pension strikes:

- **Duration**: December 2019-January 2020, longest strike since 1968
- **RATP, SNCF**: Transport paralyzed
- **COVID-19**: Interrupting, government withdrawing temporarily
- **But**: Returning to attack 2023

2023 pension protests:

- **Scale**: Millions demonstrating repeatedly
    - January-May: Regular massive mobilizations

    - March 7: 3.5 million (union count)
- **Police violence**:
    - Tear gas, flashballs, stun grenades
    - Elderly protesters tear-gassed
    - Injuries: Hundreds
- **Passage**: Article 49-3 again
- **No-confidence**: Macron government surviving by 9 votes

Pattern: Massive protests unable to prevent reforms when elite determined.

B. Gilets Jaunes Repression (2018-2019)

Scale of violence: Most severe domestic repression since 1968.

Police tactics:

- **Flashballs** (*LBD40*): Rubber ball launchers
    - **Injuries**: 25+ protesters losing eyes, 5 losing hands
    - **Total injured**: 2,500+ from police weapons
- **Tear gas**: Used extensively
- **Stun grenades** (*GLI-F4*): Causing severe injuries
- **Kettling**: Surrounding, trapping protesters
- **Pre-emptive arrests**: Arresting protesters before demonstrations

Arrests and prosecutions:

- **Arrested**: 11,000+ during movement
- **Prosecuted**: Thousands
- **Prison sentences**: Hundreds receiving jail time

Amnesty International, UN:

- **Criticism**: Condemning excessive force
- **Response**: French government ignoring

Effect: Movement declining partly through exhaustion, partly through state violence.

C. *Banlieue* Policing and 2005 Riots

2005 riots:

- **Trigger**: Two youth (Zyed Benna, Bouna Traoré) dying fleeing police (Clichy-sous-Bois)
- **Spread**: 3 weeks of rioting across France
- **Actions**: Car burning, property destruction
- **Police response**: Massive deployment, arrests
- **Political response**: Sarkozy (Interior Minister) calling rioters "scum" (*racaille*)

- **State of emergency**: Declared
- **Result**: No fundamental change in banlieue conditions

Police violence continuing:

- **Systematic**: Harassment, brutality in immigrant neighborhoods
- **Adama Traoré** (2016): Death in police custody sparking protests
- **Nahel M.** (2023): 17-year-old shot by police during traffic stop
    - Riots: Similar to 2005, widespread car burning, property damage
    - Police: Massive repression

Pattern: Racialized police violence continuing unchecked.

D. Anti-Terrorism Laws and Surveillance

State of emergency (2015-2017):

- **Trigger**: November 2015 Paris attacks (130 killed)
- **Powers**: Searches without warrants, house arrests, protest bans
- **Extended**: Repeatedly, maintained 2 years
- **Normalized**: Many powers incorporated into permanent law

Surveillance expansion:

- **Intelligence services**: Expanded powers
- **Digital surveillance**: Communications monitoring
- **Minimal oversight**: Limited judicial review

IX. ELITE NETWORK COORDINATION: PANTOUFLAGE, INTERLOCKS, MACRON PRESIDENCY (1980-2025)

A. Pantouflage System Perfected (1980-2025)

The revolving door between state and business became systematized.

How it works:

1. **ENA/Polytechnique**: Elite education
2. **Civil service**: 10-20 years in Treasury, ministries
    - Gaining expertise, connections
3. **"Leave"**: Resigning or taking leave for private sector
4. **Corporate position**: CEO, board member, consultant

    - Salary: 5-10x civil service pay
5. **Network**: Maintaining connections to former colleagues

Famous examples:

- **Georges Pompidou**: Rothschild Bank → Prime Minister → President
- **Valéry Giscard d'Estaing**: Finance Ministry → President → corporate boards
- **François Fillon**: PM → consulting, board positions
- **Dominique Strauss-Kahn**: Finance Minister → IMF → attempted presidential run
- **Emmanuel Macron**: Finance Ministry → Rothschild Bank → President

Scale: Hundreds of énarques moving to private sector

Effect:

- **Regulatory capture**: Regulators join regulated
- **Policy**: Favoring business interests
- **Revolving door**: Ensuring civil servants serve future employers

B. Corporate Interlocking Directorates

CAC 40 boards: Same individuals serving on multiple boards.

Network density:

- **Approximately 200-300 individuals**: Controlling major French corporations
- **Multiple board seats**: Same person on 3-5 boards common
- **Example network**:
    - Person A: BNP Paribas board + Renault board + Total board
    - Person B: Société Générale board + LVMH board + Peugeot board
    - Etc.

Function:

- **Coordination**: Enabling elite coordination
- **Compensation**: Board fees adding to already high compensation
- **Old boys' club**: Reproducing elite

C. Macron Presidency: Perfect Symbol of Elite Integration

Emmanuel Macron embodies elite power consolidation.

Biography:

- **Family**: Upper-middle class (doctors)
- **Education**:

    - Lycée Henri-IV (elite Paris lycée)
    - Sciences Po
    - ENA (2004)
- **Career**:
    - Finance Ministry (2004-2008): Treasury official
    - **Rothschild Bank** (2008-2012): Investment banker
        - Earning: €2+ million on Nestlé-Pfizer deal
    - Economy Minister (2014-2016): Under Hollande
    - President (2017-present)

Perfect pantouflage example:

- ENA → Civil service → Rothschild → Minister → President
- Network: Using ENA, Rothschild connections throughout career

Policies:

- **Wealth tax**: Abolished (2018)—directly benefiting former employers
- **Labor law**: Weakened (2017 ordonnances)
- **Pension reform**: Despite massive opposition (2023)
- **Corporate tax**: Reduced

Symbolism:

- **"President of the rich"**: Gilets Jaunes slogan
- **Quote**: "I will convince people to become billionaires"
- **Attitude**: Telling unemployed man "Cross the street and find a job"

Macron represents: Seamless elite integration—state, finance, corporations—serving capital.

D. Family Networks Persisting

Dynastic families maintaining positions:

- **Peugeot**: Family retaining influence in Stellantis
- **Michelin**: Family ownership continuing
- **Dassault**: Serge Dassault (died 2018), family inheriting
- **Hermès**: Family defending against Arnault takeover
- **Mulliez**: 700+ family members sharing Auchan/Decathlon empire
- **Bettencourt**: Françoise Bettencourt Meyers (L'Oréal)

Intermarriage:

- **Elite families**: Intermarrying (though less than 19th century)
- **Grandes écoles**: Meeting at elite schools

Social clubs:

- ***Le Siècle***: Elite club bringing together business, politics, media
    - Monthly dinners: CAC 40 CEOs, ministers, media owners
- **Yacht Club de France**: Elite sailing, networking
- **Others**: Various elite social spaces

X. COMPREHENSIVE 425-YEAR ASSESSMENT: ELITE ADAPTATION ACROSS REGIME TRANSFORMATIONS (1600-2025)

The Central Question Answered

Did French elite power break or adapt across 425 years of dramatic regime transformations—absolute monarchy, revolution, empire, constitutional monarchies, republics—including the most violent revolution in European history?

Answer: **Elite reconstitution and adaptation**, not displacement. While specific families changed and mechanisms evolved, patterns of concentrated wealth and political power persisted through revolutionary transformation.

A. Wealth Concentration Patterns Across Four Centuries

The stunning continuity:

- **Pre-Revolution** (1789): Top 10% owning ~60-70% of wealth
- **Post-Revolution** (1815-1820): Top 10% owning ~60-70% of wealth (bourgeoisie replacing aristocracy)
- **Belle Époque** (1914): Top 10% owning ~85-90% of wealth
- **Postwar compression** (1980): Top 10% owning ~50-55% of wealth
- **Contemporary** (2020): Top 10% owning ~55-60% of wealth

The temporary compression (1914-1980):

- **Real**: Top wealth shares genuinely declined
- **Causes**: WWI/WWII destruction, inflation, progressive taxation, strong unions, high growth enabling redistribution
- **But temporary**: Reversed 1980-2025

Current trajectory: Returning toward pre-WWI concentration

B. Revolution's Real But Limited Transformation

The French Revolution was real—it transformed French society:

1. **Feudalism abolished**: Peasants freed from seigneurial obligations
2. **Aristocratic privileges eliminated**: Legal equality established
3. **Church wealth confiscated**: 6-10% of France redistributed
4. **Political transformation**: Monarchy → Republic
5. **Cultural shift**: Secularization, nationalism, citizenship

But elite reconstitution occurred:

1. **Land sales**: Biens nationaux purchased primarily by wealthy bourgeoisie, not landless peasants
2. **Banking families**: Rothschild, Hottinguer, Mallet maintaining positions across regimes
3. **Wealth concentration**: Top 10% maintaining ~60-70% despite elite composition changing
4. **Le Chapelier Law**: Prohibiting worker organization for 80+ years
5. **Civil Code**: Protecting bourgeois property as firmly as Ancien Régime protected noble estates

The pattern: Political revolution without economic democracy—replacing aristocratic elite with bourgeois elite while maintaining concentrated wealth.

C. Mechanisms of Elite Continuity/Reconstitution (1600-2025)

Six primary mechanisms enabling elite power persistence:

1. Educational reproduction—*Grandes Écoles* system:

- **Polytechnique** (1794): Creating technical elite
- **ENA** (1945-2021): Administrative elite
- **HEC, Sciences Po**: Business, political elite
- **Function**: Appearing meritocratic while reproducing class hierarchy
    - Requiring: Expensive preparation, cultural capital
    - Producing: Networked elite
- **Result**: Same class dominating across generations despite "open competition"

2. Banking dynasties:

- **Rothschild**: 1812 founding → 2025 continuing
    - Surviving: Revolution aftermath, nationalizations, all regime changes
    - Producing: Presidents (Pompidou, Macron)
- **Hottinguer, Mallet**: Similarly continuous
- **Modern**: BNP Paribas, Société Générale consolidating power

3. Industrial family capitalism:

- **De Wendel** (steel): 1803 → 1978 nationalization (family compensated)
- **Schneider** (arms/steel): 1836 → mid-20th century
- **Peugeot** (automobiles): 1890s → 2025 (Stellantis)
- **Michelin** (tires): 1889 → 2025
- **Dassault** (aviation/arms): 1920s → 2025
- **Pattern**: Maintaining ownership across 100-150+ years

4. Pantouflage—state-business revolving door:

- **Mechanism**: ENA → Civil service → Corporate leadership
- **Examples**: Pompidou, Macron, hundreds of others
- **Effect**: Ensuring state serves capital
- **Unique to France**: More systematized than most countries

5. Property rights protection:

- **Civil Code** (1804): Protecting bourgeois property
- **All constitutions**: Maintaining property rights inviolability
- **Result**: Wealth transmitting across generations despite political transformations

6. Financial capital concentration:

- **Paris financial center**: From 17th century → present
- **Banking, insurance, asset management**: Concentrating in Paris
- **Effect**: Financial elite maintaining influence regardless of industrial changes

D. Worker Gains: Real But Temporary (1936, 1945-1980)

Popular Front (1936):

- **Achievements**: 40-hour week, 2 weeks paid vacation, collective bargaining
- **Duration**: 13 months before elite counterattack
- **Lessons**: Reforms without challenging property rights remain vulnerable

Postwar Trente Glorieuses (1945-1980):

- **Real gains**:
    - Real wages doubling
    - Union density 30%+
    - Comprehensive welfare state
    - 40-hour week, 4-5 weeks vacation
    - Strong dismissal protections
- **Temporary**: Dependent on exceptional conditions
    - Reconstruction needs

    - High growth (5%+ annually)
    - Cold War pressures
    - Full employment
- **Reversal** (1980-2025):
    - Union density: 30% → 11%
    - Real wages: Stagnant
    - Job security: Weakened
    - Pension age: Increased
    - Wealth tax: Abolished

Pattern: Worker gains conditional on growth, full employment, organized strength—when conditions changed, gains reversed.

E. Elite Adaptation Through Regime Changes

Regime transformations (1600-2025):

1. Absolute monarchy (1600-1789)
2. Revolution, Terror, Directory (1789-1799)
3. Napoleonic Empire (1799-1815)
4. Bourbon Restoration (1815-1830)
5. July Monarchy (1830-1848)
6. Second Republic (1848-1852)
7. Second Empire (1852-1870)
8. Third Republic (1870-1940)
9. Vichy (1940-1944)
10. Fourth Republic (1946-1958)
11. Fifth Republic (1958-present)

11 different regimes in 425 years—yet wealth concentration patterns remarkably persistent.

How elite adapted:

- **Ancien Régime → Revolution**: Bourgeoisie purchasing biens nationaux, banking families surviving
- **Empire → Restoration**: Elite incorporating some old nobles, maintaining bourgeois property
- **Monarchies → Republics**: Accepting universal suffrage while using Senate, wealth to maintain power
- **Vichy → Liberation**: Some scapegoats (Renault) but most business elite escaping serious accountability
- **Nationalizations**: Placing ENA graduates in management, eventual reprivatization to wealthy buyers
- **Neoliberal turn**: Perfectly adapting—Macron exemplifying pantouflage, wealth tax abolished, labor flexibilized

F. Contemporary France (2025): Extreme Inequality Behind Republican Forms

Political forms: Republic, universal suffrage, democratic constitution

Economic reality:

Wealth concentration:

- Top 1%: 25-27% of wealth
- Top 10%: 55-60%
- Bottom 50%: <5%

Billionaires: 40-50, including world's richest (Arnault)

Labor:

- Union density: 11% (lowest in developed world except Turkey)
- Precarious employment: 15-20%
- Real wages: Stagnant 20+ years
- Youth unemployment: 20-25%

Housing: Paris property 15-20x annual median income, homelessness 300,000+

Political power:

- President: Former Rothschild banker
- Media: Owned by billionaires
- Grandes écoles: Reproducing elite
- Article 49-3: Bypassing democracy

The paradox: Most democratic political system in French history (universal suffrage, rights, freedoms) coexisting with return to Belle Époque economic inequality.

G. Comparison to Netherlands Case Study

Netherlands (from reference study):

- **VOC elite families** (Hope, Mees): Maintaining positions 1600s → 2025
- **Extreme continuity**: Same families across 400+ years
- **Natural gas windfall**: Funding welfare state without compression
- **Pattern**: Elite persistence through corporate empire → welfare state → tax haven

France:

- **Greater discontinuity**: Revolution eliminating aristocracy, bourgeoisie replacing them

- **But similar outcome**: Wealth concentration patterns similar despite different families
- **No resource windfall**: Genuine compression 1914-1980 but reversed
- **Pattern**: Elite reconstitution through regime transformations

Common themes:

- **Worker gains temporary**: Both countries—1945-1980 compression reversed after 1980
- **Elite adapting**: Through educational institutions, state-business integration, property rights
- **Contemporary**: Both returning to extreme concentration
- **Neoliberalism**: European integration providing mechanisms for reversal in both

H. What Would Genuine Transformation Require?

France's 425-year experience suggests:

Insufficient:

- Political transformation alone (monarchy → republic)
- Universal suffrage (achieved 1848, inequality continuing)
- Nationalizations without worker control (ENA managing instead)
- Strong unions alone (30% density insufficient to prevent reversal)
- Progressive taxation alone (implemented then reversed)
- Welfare state (built then weakened)

Potentially necessary (not tested in France):

1. **Property redistribution**: Not just regime change but wealth redistribution
    - Land reform: Actually distributing to peasants (not selling to bourgeoisie)
    - Capital ownership: Workers controlling firms
2. **Democratic economic institutions**: Workers controlling nationalized firms
    - Not ENA managing "public" firms
    - Workplace democracy
3. **International coordination**: Preventing capital flight
    - Single country unable to resist capital mobility
4. **Educational democratization**: Breaking grandes écoles monopoly
    - Eliminating tracked system reproducing class
5. **Permanent mobilization**: Not just temporary movements
    - Institutions maintaining worker power

The lesson: Even revolutionary political transformation maintaining similar wealth concentration patterns if property rights protected and elite educational reproduction continuing.

I. The 425-Year Pattern: Elite Power Transcends Political Forms

Across:

- Absolute monarchy → Constitutional monarchy → Republic
- Catholic dominance → Secularization
- Feudalism → Capitalism
- Agricultural → Industrial → Financial economy
- Guillotining aristocrats → Billionaire presidents

Persisting:

- 60-70% wealth concentration for top 10% (with temporary compression 1914-1980)
- Elite families or elite class controlling capital
- State serving wealth accumulation
- Workers: Real gains during exceptional periods, reversed when conditions change
- Education reproducing elite (monasteries → grandes écoles)
- Violence suppressing resistance (Vendée → Algeria → Gilets Jaunes)

The fundamental insight: Political regime transformations—even revolutionary violence killing thousands, confiscating lands, establishing republics—do not necessarily democratize economic power. Elite reconstitution occurs through:

- Capturing new institutions (ENA, planning agencies)
- Adapting mechanisms (pantouflage replacing court patronage)
- Using state power (nationalizing then privatizing to elite)
- Educational monopoly (grandes écoles replacing noble titles)
- International integration (EU embedding neoliberalism)

France 2025: Republican political forms concealing oligarchic economic reality—Macron (Rothschild banker) presiding over society where top 1% owns 25%+ of wealth, billionaires own media, grandes écoles reproduce elite, and massive protests cannot prevent pension reform.

CONCLUSION: 425 YEARS OF ELITE ADAPTATION, NOT TRANSFORMATION

The French case—with its violent revolution, regime instability, and republican political forms—powerfully demonstrates that **political transformation does not necessarily produce economic democracy**. Even guillotining aristocrats, confiscating church lands, and establishing universal suffrage proved compatible with reconstituted elite dominance.

What the French experience reveals:

1. **Elite reconstitution**: Even revolutionary displacement of aristocracy led to bourgeois elite consolidation maintaining similar concentration patterns

2. **Educational capture**: Grandes écoles captured "meritocracy," reproducing elite while appearing open

3. **Property rights primacy**: Revolution protected bourgeois property as firmly as monarchy protected noble estates

4. **Worker gains conditional**: Achievements during 1936 and 1945-1980 dependent on exceptional circumstances—reversed when conditions changed

5. **Regime changes superficial**: 11 different regimes 1600-2025, yet wealth concentration patterns remarkably persistent

6. **Violence insufficient**: Even Terror's extreme violence against aristocracy didn't prevent elite reconstitution

7. **Democratic forms compatible with oligarchy**: Universal suffrage, rights, freedoms coexisting with extreme wealth concentration

8. **International constraints**: European integration embedded neoliberalism beyond national democratic control

9. **State-business integration**: Pantouflage system ensuring state serves capital regardless of political rhetoric

10. **Temporary compression reversible**: Genuine compression 1914-1980 reversed 1980-2025, approaching pre-WWI levels

The 425-year pattern: Wealth concentration ~60-70% for top 10% in 1789, ~60-70% in 1820 (different families), ~85-90% in 1914, compressed to ~50-55% in 1980, returning to ~55-60% by 2020. Political transformations dramatic; wealth concentration patterns persistent.

Contemporary France: Macron—ENA graduate, Rothschild banker, president—abolishing wealth tax, bypassing parliament with Article 49-3, deploying police violence against massive protests, perfectly embodies elite power adapting to republican forms. Forty billionaires control media, grandes écoles reproduce elite, union density at 11%, and Arnault's €200+ billion fortune exceeds total wealth of bottom 40% of French population.

The lesson: Political revolution without economic transformation enables elite reconstitution. French experience suggests that democratizing economic power requires not just regime change but fundamental transformation of property relations, educational systems, and international constraints—none of which France achieved despite revolutionary violence.

Next case studies should examine: Did other revolutions (Russia 1917, China 1949) genuinely displace elite, or did new elite emerge? Did social democratic countries (Sweden, Denmark) achieve more durable redistribution? Does any capitalist society escape elite reconcentration?

The fundamental question remains: If even France's violent revolution maintaining similar concentration patterns, what would genuine economic democracy require?

[End of France Case Study - Part 4 of 4]

Series Complete: France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)

- Part 1: Ancien Régime and Revolutionary Transformation (1600-1815)
- Part 2: Constitutional Monarchies, Empire, and Republican Consolidation (1815-1914)
- Part 3: Wars, Dirigisme, and Postwar Boom (1914-1980)
- Part 4: Neoliberal Integration and Contemporary France (1980-2025)

France: From Absolute Monarchy to Financial Republic (1600-2025)

THEMATIC BIBLIOGRAPHY

I. PRIMARY SOURCES AND DOCUMENT COLLECTIONS

Ancien Régime and Revolutionary Period

Archives Parlementaires de 1787 à 1860. *Recueil complet des débats législatifs et politiques des Chambres françaises*. Multiple volumes. Paris: Librairie Administrative de Paul Dupont, 1867-1913.

Godechot, Jacques, ed. *Les Constitutions de la France depuis 1789*. Paris: Garnier-Flammarion, 1970.

Hardman, John, ed. *The French Revolution Sourcebook*. London: Arnold, 1999.

Lefebvre, Georges, ed. *Recueil de documents relatifs aux séances des États généraux, mai-juin 1789*. Paris: Éditions du CNRS, 1953-1962.

Mavidal, M.J. and E. Laurent, eds. *Archives parlementaires de 1787 à 1860: recueil complet des débats législatifs et politiques des Chambres françaises*. Paris: Librairie Administrative de Paul Dupont, 1879-1913.

Stewart, John Hall, ed. *A Documentary Survey of the French Revolution*. New York: Macmillan, 1951.

Nineteenth Century

Annuaire statistique de la France. Paris: Institut National de la Statistique et des Études Économiques (INSEE), various years.

Ministère des Finances. *Statistique de la France: Industrie*. Paris: Imprimerie Royale/Imprimerie Nationale, 1847-1900.

Twentieth Century

Journal Officiel de la République Française. Paris: Various years (official government journal, laws, debates).

INSEE (Institut National de la Statistique et des Études Économiques). *Annuaire statistique de la France*. Paris: INSEE, 1946-present.

II. GENERAL HISTORIES OF FRANCE

Braudel, Fernand and Ernest Labrousse, eds. *Histoire économique et sociale de la France*. 4 volumes in 7 parts. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1970-1982.

- Comprehensive economic and social history, authoritative reference

Cobban, Alfred. *A History of Modern France*. 3 volumes. London: Penguin Books, 1957-1965.

- Volume 1: 1715-1799; Volume 2: 1799-1871; Volume 3: 1871-1962

Doyle, William. *The Oxford History of the French Revolution*. 2nd edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.

Furet, François. *Revolutionary France, 1770-1880*. Translated by Antonia Nevill. Oxford: Blackwell, 1992.

McPhee, Peter. *A Social History of France, 1780-1880*. London: Routledge, 1992.

Price, Roger. *A Social History of Nineteenth-Century France*. London: Hutchinson, 1987.

Tombs, Robert. *France 1814-1914*. London: Longman, 1996.

Weber, Eugen. *Peasants into Frenchmen: The Modernization of Rural France, 1870-1914*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1976.

III. ANCIEN RÉGIME (1600-1789)

Political and Social Structure

Beik, William. *Absolutism and Society in Seventeenth-Century France: State Power and Provincial Aristocracy in Languedoc*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

Bluche, François. *Louis XIV*. Translated by Mark Greengrass. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1990.

Collins, James B. *The State in Early Modern France*. 2nd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Doyle, William. *Venality: The Sale of Offices in Eighteenth-Century France*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996.

Elias, Norbert. *The Court Society*. Translated by Edmund Jephcott. New York: Pantheon Books, 1983.

- Analysis of Versailles and court aristocracy

Kettering, Sharon. *Patrons, Brokers, and Clients in Seventeenth-Century France*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1986.

Economic and Social History

Goubert, Pierre. *The Ancien Régime: French Society, 1600-1750*. Translated by Steve Cox. New York: Harper & Row, 1973.

Ladurie, Emmanuel Le Roy. *The Peasants of Languedoc*. Translated by John Day. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1974.

Roche, Daniel. *The People of Paris: An Essay in Popular Culture in the 18th Century*. Translated by Marie Evans. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1987.

Financial Crisis

Bosher, J.F. *French Finances, 1770-1795: From Business to Bureaucracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1970.

Riley, James C. *The Seven Years War and the Old Regime in France: The Economic and Financial Toll*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986.

IV. FRENCH REVOLUTION (1789-1799)

General Revolution Studies

Furet, François. *Interpreting the French Revolution*. Translated by Elborg Forster. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.

- Influential revisionist interpretation challenging Marxist orthodoxy

Lefebvre, Georges. *The French Revolution*. 2 volumes. Translated by Elizabeth Moss Evanson and John Hall Stewart. New York: Columbia University Press, 1962-1964.

- Classic social history interpretation

Schama, Simon. *Citizens: A Chronicle of the French Revolution*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1989.

Soboul, Albert. *The French Revolution, 1787-1799: From the Storming of the Bastille to Napoleon*. Translated by Alan Forrest and Colin Jones. New York: Random House, 1974.

- Marxist interpretation emphasizing class conflict

Tackett, Timothy. *Becoming a Revolutionary: The Deputies of the French National Assembly and the Emergence of a Revolutionary Culture (1789-1790)*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1996.

Terror and Violence

Andress, David. *The Terror: The Merciless War for Freedom in Revolutionary France*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2006.

Arasse, Daniel. *The Guillotine and the Terror*. Translated by Christopher Miller. London: Allen Lane, 1989.

Greer, Donald. *The Incidence of the Terror during the French Revolution: A Statistical Interpretation*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1935.

Tilly, Charles. *The Vendée*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1964.

- Analysis of counter-revolutionary peasant uprising

Land Redistribution and Economic Transformation

Godechot, Jacques. *Les Institutions de la France sous la Révolution et l'Empire*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1951.

Jones, P.M. *The Peasantry in the French Revolution*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988.

Lefebvre, Georges. *The Great Fear of 1789: Rural Panic in Revolutionary France*. Translated by Joan White. New York: Pantheon Books, 1973.

Markoff, John. *The Abolition of Feudalism: Peasants, Lords, and Legislators in the French Revolution*. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1996.

Sans-Culottes and Popular Movement

Rudé, George. *The Crowd in the French Revolution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1959.

Soboul, Albert. *The Sans-Culottes: The Popular Movement and Revolutionary Government, 1793-1794*. Translated by Rémy Inglis Hall. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1980.

V. NAPOLEONIC PERIOD (1799-1815)

Bergeron, Louis. *France Under Napoleon*. Translated by R.R. Palmer. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981.

- Excellent on social and economic aspects

Connelly, Owen. *Napoleon's Satellite Kingdoms*. New York: Free Press, 1965.

Ellis, Geoffrey. *Napoleon*. London: Longman, 1997.

Grab, Alexander. *Napoleon and the Transformation of Europe*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2003.

Woloch, Isser. *Napoleon and His Collaborators: The Making of a Dictatorship*. New York: W.W. Norton, 2001.

VI. NINETEENTH CENTURY (1815-1914)

Political History

Agulhon, Maurice. *The Republican Experiment, 1848-1852*. Translated by Janet Lloyd. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.

Hazareesingh, Sudhir. *From Subject to Citizen: The Second Empire and the Emergence of Modern French Democracy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1998.

Jardin, André and André-Jean Tudesq. *Restoration and Reaction, 1815-1848*. Translated by Elborg Forster. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.

McMillan, James F. *Napoleon III*. London: Longman, 1991.

Pinkney, David. *The French Revolution of 1830*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1972.

June Days and Paris Commune

Edwards, Stewart. *The Paris Commune, 1871*. London: Eyre & Spottiswoode, 1971.

Gluckstein, Donny. *The Paris Commune: A Revolution in Democracy*. Chicago: Haymarket Books, 2011.

Merriman, John M. *Massacre: The Life and Death of the Paris Commune*. New York: Basic Books, 2014.

- Vivid account of Bloody Week repression

Price, Roger, ed. *Revolution and Reaction: 1848 and the Second French Republic*. London: Croom Helm, 1975.

Tombs, Robert. *The Paris Commune 1871*. London: Longman, 1999.

- Authoritative modern study

Traugott, Mark. *The Insurgent Barricade*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2010.

Economic Development and Industrialization

Cameron, Rondo. *France and the Economic Development of Europe, 1800-1914*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1961.

Caron, François. *An Economic History of Modern France*. Translated by Barbara Bray. New York: Columbia University Press, 1979.

Gille, Bertrand. *La Banque et le crédit en France de 1815 à 1848*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1959.

Lévy-Leboyer, Maurice and François Bourguignon. *The French Economy in the Nineteenth Century: An Essay in Econometric Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

Plessis, Alain. *The Rise and Fall of the Second Empire, 1852-1871*. Translated by Jonathan Mandelbaum. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

Banking and Finance

Bouvier, Jean. *Le Crédit Lyonnais de 1863 à 1882: les années de formation d'une banque de dépôts*. 2 volumes. Paris: SEVPEN, 1961.

Cameron, Rondo. *Banking in the Early Stages of Industrialization: A Study in Comparative Economic History*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1967.

Ferguson, Niall. *The House of Rothschild*. 2 volumes. New York: Viking, 1998-1999.

- Volume 1: *Money's Prophets, 1798-1848*; Volume 2: *The World's Banker, 1849-1999*

Landes, David S. *Bankers and Pashas: International Finance and Economic Imperialism in Egypt*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1958.

**VII. LABOR HISTORY

Aminzade, Ronald. *Ballots and Barricades: Class Formation and Republican Politics in France, 1830-1871*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1993.

Hanagan, Michael P. *The Logic of Solidarity: Artisans and Industrial Workers in Three French Towns, 1871-1914*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1980.

Magraw, Roger. *A History of the French Working Class*. 2 volumes. Oxford: Blackwell, 1992.

- Volume 1: *Workers and the Bourgeois Republic*; Volume 2: *Workers and the Shaping of Republican France*

Perrot, Michelle. *Workers on Strike: France, 1871-1890*. Translated by Chris Turner. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1987.

Sewell, William H., Jr. *Work and Revolution in France: The Language of Labor from the Old Regime to 1848*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1980.

Stearns, Peter N. *Revolutionary Syndicalism and French Labor: A Cause Without Rebels*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 1971.

VIII. COLONIALISM AND IMPERIALISM

Algeria

Evans, Martin. *Algeria: France's Undeclared War*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

Horne, Alistair. *A Savage War of Peace: Algeria 1954-1962*. New York: Viking, 1978.

- Classic account of Algerian War

Ruedy, John. *Modern Algeria: The Origins and Development of a Nation*. 2nd edition. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2005.

Sessions, Jennifer E. *By Sword and Plow: France and the Conquest of Algeria*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2011.

Indochina

Brocheux, Pierre and Daniel Hémery. *Indochina: An Ambiguous Colonization, 1858-1954*. Translated by Ly Lan Dill-Klein. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2009.

Fall, Bernard B. *Street Without Joy: The French Debacle in Indochina*. Mechanicsburg, PA: Stackpole Books, 1961.

Goscha, Christopher E. *Vietnam: A New History*. New York: Basic Books, 2016.

General Colonial History

Aldrich, Robert. *Greater France: A History of French Overseas Expansion*. London: Macmillan, 1996.

Conklin, Alice L. *A Mission to Civilize: The Republican Idea of Empire in France and West Africa, 1895-1930*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1997.

Thomas, Martin, Bob Moore, and L.J. Butler. *Crises of Empire: Decolonization and Europe's Imperial States, 1918-1975*. London: Hodder Education, 2008.

**IX. WORLD WARS AND INTERWAR PERIOD

World War I

Becker, Jean-Jacques. *The Great War and the French People*. Translated by Arnold Pomerans. Leamington Spa: Berg, 1985.

Keegan, John. *The First World War*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1999.

Smith, Leonard V., Stéphane Audoin-Rouzeau, and Annette Becker. *France and the Great War, 1914-1918*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

Winter, J.M. *The Experience of World War I*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1989.

Interwar Period

Jackson, Julian. *The Popular Front in France: Defending Democracy, 1934-38*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988.

- Excellent on Popular Front period

Sauvy, Alfred. *Histoire économique de la France entre les deux guerres*. 3 volumes. Paris: Fayard, 1965-1972.

Weber, Eugen. *The Hollow Years: France in the 1930s*. New York: W.W. Norton, 1994.

World War II and Vichy

Azéma, Jean-Pierre and François Bédarida, eds. *La France des années noires*. 2 volumes. Paris: Éditions du Seuil, 1993.

Burrin, Philippe. *France Under the Germans: Collaboration and Compromise*. Translated by Janet Lloyd. New York: The New Press, 1996.

Jackson, Julian. *France: The Dark Years, 1940-1944*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.

- Comprehensive modern synthesis

Marrus, Michael R. and Robert O. Paxton. *Vichy France and the Jews*. New York: Basic Books, 1981.

- Definitive study of Holocaust in France

Paxton, Robert O. *Vichy France: Old Guard and New Order, 1940-1944*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1972.

- Groundbreaking work exposing collaboration

Rousso, Henry. *The Vichy Syndrome: History and Memory in France since 1944*. Translated by Arthur Goldhammer. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1991.

X. POSTWAR PERIOD (1945-1980)

Fourth Republic and Reconstruction

Gildea, Robert. *France Since 1945*. 2nd edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.

Kuisel, Richard F. *Capitalism and the State in Modern France: Renovation and Economic Management in the Twentieth Century*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.

- Excellent on postwar planning and dirigisme

Rioux, Jean-Pierre. *The Fourth Republic, 1944-1958*. Translated by Godfrey Rogers. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987.

Fifth Republic and Gaullism

Berstein, Serge. *The Republic of de Gaulle, 1958-1969*. Translated by Peter Morris. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.

Hazareesingh, Sudhir. *In the Shadow of the General: Modern France and the Myth of De Gaulle*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

Lacouture, Jean. *De Gaulle*. 2 volumes. Translated by Alan Sheridan and Patrick O'Brian. New York: W.W. Norton, 1990-1992.

May 1968

Kurlansky, Mark. *1968: The Year That Rocked the World*. New York: Ballantine Books, 2004.

Ross, Kristin. *May '68 and Its Afterlives*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2002.

Singer, Daniel. *Prelude to Revolution: France in May 1968*. Cambridge, MA: South End Press, 2002.

Suri, Jeremi. *Power and Protest: Global Revolution and the Rise of Détente*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2003.

Economic History

Carré, Jean-Jacques, Paul Dubois, and Edmond Malinvaud. *French Economic Growth*. Translated by John P. Hatfield. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1975.

- Analysis of *Trente Glorieuses*

Fourastié, Jean. *Les Trente Glorieuses, ou la révolution invisible de 1946 à 1975*. Paris: Fayard, 1979.

- Origin of "Thirty Glorious Years" concept

XI. NEOLIBERAL PERIOD (1980-2025)

General Contemporary France

Cole, Alistair, Sophie Meunier, and Vincent Tiberj, eds. *Developments in French Politics 5*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.

Daley, Anthony, ed. *The Mitterrand Era: Policy Alternatives and Political Mobilization in France*. New York: New York University Press, 1996.

Levy, Jonah D. *Tocqueville's Revenge: State, Society, and Economy in Contemporary France*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1999.

Neoliberalism and European Integration

Clift, Ben. *French Socialism in a Global Era: The Political Economy of the New Social Democracy in France*. London: Continuum, 2003.

Hall, Peter A. *Governing the Economy: The Politics of State Intervention in Britain and France*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1986.

Howarth, David. *The French Road to European Monetary Union*. New York: Palgrave, 2001.

Parsons, Craig. *A Certain Idea of Europe*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2003.

Schmidt, Vivien A. *From State to Market? The Transformation of French Business and Government*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.

Labor and Social Movements

Beaud, Stéphane and Michel Pialoux. *Retour sur la condition ouvrière: Enquête aux usines Peugeot de Sochaux-Montbéliard*. Paris: Fayard, 1999.

Groux, Guy and René Mouriaux. *La CGT: Crises et alternatives*. Paris: Economica, 1992.

Waters, Sarah. *Social Movements in France: Towards a New Citizenship*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2003.

Immigration and Integration

Beriss, David. *Black Skins, French Voices: Caribbean Ethnicity and Activism in Urban France*. Boulder: Westview Press, 2004.

Hargreaves, Alec G. *Immigration, 'Race' and Ethnicity in Contemporary France*. London: Routledge, 1995.

Weil, Patrick. *How to Be French: Nationality in the Making since 1789*. Translated by Catherine Porter. Durham: Duke University Press, 2008.

Contemporary Politics

Drake, Helen. *Jacques Delors: Perspectives on a European Leader*. London: Routledge, 2000.

Gaffney, John, ed. *The French Presidential and Legislative Elections of 2012*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.

Hewlett, Nick. *The Sarkozy Phenomenon*. Exeter: Societas, 2011.

Kuhn, Raymond. *The Media in Contemporary France*. Maidenhead: Open University Press, 2011.

XII. WEALTH, INEQUALITY, AND ELITE STUDIES

Historical Wealth Data

Piketty, Thomas. *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*. Translated by Arthur Goldhammer. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2014.

- **ESSENTIAL**: Comprehensive historical data on French wealth concentration 1800-2010

Piketty, Thomas. *Capital and Ideology*. Translated by Arthur Goldhammer. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2020.

- Analysis of ideological justifications for inequality

Piketty, Thomas, Gilles Postel-Vinay, and Jean-Laurent Rosenthal. "Wealth Concentration in a Developing Economy: Paris and France, 1807-1994." *American Economic Review* 96, no. 1 (2006): 236-256.

Elite Reproduction

Bourdieu, Pierre. *The State Nobility: Elite Schools in the Field of Power*. Translated by Lauretta C. Clough. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1996.

- Analysis of *grandes écoles* reproduction

Bourdieu, Pierre and Jean-Claude Passeron. *Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture*. Translated by Richard Nice. London: Sage Publications, 1977.

Suleiman, Ezra N. *Elites in French Society: The Politics of Survival*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1978.

Suleiman, Ezra N. *Private Power and Centralization in France: The Notaires and the State*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1987.

Pantouflage and State-Business Relations

Bauer, Michel and Bénédicte Bertin-Mourot. *Les 200: Comment devient-on un grand patron?* Paris: Éditions du Seuil, 1987.

Birnbaum, Pierre. *The Heights of Power: An Essay on the Power Elite in France*. Translated by Arthur Goldhammer. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1982.

Rouban, Luc. *Le pouvoir anonyme: Les mutations de l'État à la française*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po, 1994.

Savage, Mike and Karel Williams, eds. *Remembering Elites*. Oxford: Blackwell, 2008.

XIII. COMPARATIVE AND THEORETICAL WORKS

Anderson, Perry. *Lineages of the Absolutist State*. London: New Left Books, 1974.

Mann, Michael. *The Sources of Social Power*. 4 volumes. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986-2013.

- Volume 2 particularly relevant for modern France

Moore, Barrington, Jr. *Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy: Lord and Peasant in the Making of the Modern World*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1966.

- Classic comparative study including France

Skocpol, Theda. *States and Social Revolutions: A Comparative Analysis of France, Russia, and China*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1979.

Tilly, Charles. *Coercion, Capital, and European States, AD 990-1992*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 1990.

XIV. REFERENCE WORKS AND DATA SOURCES

INSEE (Institut National de la Statistique et des Études Économiques). Website: www.insee.fr

- Official French statistical agency, comprehensive data

Maddison, Angus. *The World Economy: Historical Statistics*. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2003.

- Long-run GDP and population data

Mitchell, Brian R. *International Historical Statistics: Europe, 1750-2000*. 5th edition. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2003.

World Inequality Database. Website: wid.world

- Piketty and collaborators' comprehensive inequality data, essential for France

---

XV. FILMS AND DOCUMENTARIES (Supplementary)

Le Chagrin et la Pitié (*The Sorrow and the Pity*). Directed by Marcel Ophüls. 1969.

- Groundbreaking documentary exposing Vichy collaboration

La Commune (Paris, 1871). Directed by Peter Watkins. 2000.

- Epic dramatization of Paris Commune

Total Sources: 70+ entries covering:

- Primary sources and government documents
- General histories
- Ancien Régime
- French Revolution
- Napoleonic period
- 19th century political, economic, labor history
- Banking and finance
- Colonial history (Algeria, Indochina)
- World Wars and Vichy
- Postwar boom and May 1968
- Neoliberal transformation
- Contemporary France
- Wealth inequality and elite reproduction (Piketty essential)
- Comparative and theoretical works
- Data sources

Key Essential Works:

- **Piketty** (*Capital in the Twenty-First Century*, *Capital and Ideology*): Historical wealth data
- **Paxton** (*Vichy France*): Collaboration
- **Bourdieu** (*State Nobility*): Elite reproduction
- **Kuisel** (*Capitalism and the State*): Dirigisme

- **Jackson** (*Popular Front*, *Dark Years*): Interwar and WWII
- **Soboul**, **Lefebvre**, **Furet**: Revolution (different perspectives)

This bibliography provides foundation for comprehensive study of French elite continuity across 425 years.

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

You are free to:
- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:
- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection *Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations*